

45/pt/7

1

DESCRIPTION

ELLIPTIC CURVE SCALAR MULTIPLICATION METHOD,
APPARATUS, AND STORAGE MEDIUM

TECHNICAL FIELD

The present invention relates to a security technique in a computer network, particularly to a cryptography processing execution method in an elliptic
5 curve cryptosystem.

BACKGROUND ART

An elliptic curve cryptosystem is a type of a public key cryptosystem proposed by N. Koblitz, V.S. Miller. The public key cryptosystem includes informa-
10 tion called a public key which may be opened to the public, and private information called a private key which has to be concealed. The public key is used to encrypt a given message or to verify signature, and the private key is used to decrypt the given message or to
15 generate signature. The private key in the elliptic curve cryptosystem is carried by a scalar value. Moreover, security of the elliptic curve cryptosystem originates from difficulty in solving a discrete logarithm problem on an elliptic curve. The discrete
20 logarithm problem on the elliptic curve is a problem of obtaining a scalar value d , when a certain point P on the elliptic curve and a scalar-multiplied point dP are

given. Here, the point on the elliptic curve refers to a set of numerals which satisfy a defining equation of the elliptic curve. For all points on the elliptic curve, an operation in which a virtual point called the point at infinity is used as an identity element, that is, addition on the elliptic curve is defined. Moreover, particularly the addition of the same points on the elliptic curve is called doubling on the elliptic curve. The addition of two points on the elliptic curve is calculated as follows. A line drawn through two points intersects the elliptic curve in another point. A point which is symmetric with the intersected point with respect to an x-axis is set as a result of the addition. The doubling of the point on the elliptic curve is carried out as follows. When a tangent line in the point on the elliptic curve is drawn, the tangent line intersects the elliptic curve in another point. A point symmetric with the intersected point with respect to x-coordinate is set as a result of the doubling. A specified number of additions performed with respect to a certain point is referred to as scalar multiplication, a result of the multiplication is referred to as a scalar-multiplied point, and the number is referred to as a scalar value.

With progress of information communication network, a cryptography technique is an indispensable element for concealment or authentication with respect to electronic information. There is a demand for

security of the cryptography technology and speed increase. The discrete logarithm problem on the elliptic curve is very difficult, and therefore a key length of the elliptic curve cryptosystem can be set to
5 be relatively short as compared with an RSA cryptosystem in which difficulty of integer factorization is a ground for security. Therefore, a relatively fast cryptography processing is possible. However, in a smart card whose processing ability is limited, a
10 server in which a large amount of cryptography processing needs to be performed, and the like, the speed is not necessarily or satisfactorily high. Therefore, it is necessary to further increase the speed of the cryptography.

15 An elliptic curve called a Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is usually used in the elliptic curve cryptosystem. In A. Miyaji, T. Ono, H. Cohen, Efficient elliptic curve exponentiation using mixed coordinates, Advances in Cryptology Proceedings of
20 ASIACRYPT'98, LNCS 1514, Springer-Verlag, (1988) pp.51-65, a scalar multiplication method using a window method and the mixed coordinates mainly including Jacobian coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is described as a fast scalar multiplication
25 method. In this calculation method, coordinates of the scalar-multiplied point are not omitted and are exactly indicated. That is, all values of x-coordinate and y-coordinate are given in affine coordinates, and all

values of X-coordinate, Y-coordinate, and Z-coordinate are given in projective coordinates or Jacobian coordinates.

On the other hand, it is described in P.L.

- 5 Montgomery, Speeding the Pollard and Elliptic Curve Methods of Factorization, Math. Comp. 48(1987) pp.243-264 that an operation can be executed at a higher speed using a Montgomery-form elliptic curve $BY^2=X^3+AX^2+X(A, B \in F_p)$ rather than using the Weierstrass-form elliptic
- 10 curve. This is because with use of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the scalar multiplication method for repeatedly calculating a set of points $(2mP, (2m+1)P)$ or a set of points $((2m+1)P, (2m+2)P)$ from a set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ on the elliptic curve depending
- 15 upon the value of a specified bit of the scalar value, a calculation time of addition or doubling is reduced. A calculation speed of the scalar multiplication method is higher than that of a case in which the window
- 20 method is used and the mixed coordinates mainly including Jacobian coordinates are used in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. However, a value of y-coordinate of the point on the elliptic curve is not calculated in this method. This does not matter in many cryptography
- 25 processings because the y-coordinate is intrinsically unused. However, the value of y-coordinate is also necessary in order to execute some of the cryptography processings or to conform to standards in a complete form.

A case in which characteristics of a defined field of the elliptic curve are primes of 5 or more has been described above. On the other hand, for the elliptic curve defined on a finite field having

5 characteristics of 2, a fast scalar multiplication method for giving a complete coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point is described in J. Lopez, R. Dahab, Fast Multiplication on Elliptic Curves over $GF(2^m)$ without Precomputation, Cryptographics Hardware and

10 Embedded Systems: Proceedings of CHES'99, LNCS 1717, Springer-Verlag, (1999) pp.316-327.

According to the conventional art, when the elliptic curve defined on the finite field with characteristics of 5 or more is used to constitute the

15 elliptic curve cryptosystem, and the window method and mixed coordinates are used in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point can completely be calculated. However, the calculation cannot be performed as fast as the calculation

20 using the scalar multiplication method of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. With the use of the scalar multiplication method in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, the calculation can be performed at a higher speed than with use of the window method and

25 mixed coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. However, it is impossible to completely give the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point, that is, it is impossible to calculate the y-coordinate.

Therefore, when an attempt is made to speed the scalar multiplication method, the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point cannot completely be given. When an attempt is made to completely give the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point, a fast calculation cannot be achieved.

DISCLOSURE OF INVENTION

An object of the present invention is to provide a scalar multiplication method which can completely give a coordinate of a scalar-multiplied point at a high speed substantially equal to a speed of a scalar multiplication in a Montgomery-form elliptic curve in an elliptic curve defined on a finite field with characteristics of 5 or more. That is, the x-coordinate and y-coordinate can be calculated.

As one means for achieving the object, according to the present invention, there is provided a scalar multiplication method for calculating a scalar-multiplied point from a scalar value and a point on an elliptic curve in the elliptic curve defined on a finite field with characteristics of 5 or more in an elliptic curve cryptosystem, the method comprising: a step of calculating partial information of the scalar-multiplied point; and a step of recovering a complete coordinate from the partial information of the scalar-multiplied point.

Moreover, as one means for achieving the

object, there is provided a scalar multiplication method for calculating a scalar-multiplied point from a scalar value and a point on an elliptic curve in the elliptic curve defined on a finite field with characteristics of 5 or more in an elliptic curve cryptosystem, the method comprising: a step of calculating partial information of the scalar-multiplied point; and a step of recovering a complete coordinate in affine coordinates from the partial information of the scalar-multiplied point.

Furthermore, as one means for achieving the object, there is provided a scalar multiplication method for calculating a scalar-multiplied point from a scalar value and a point on an elliptic curve in the elliptic curve defined on a finite field with characteristics of 5 or more in an elliptic curve cryptosystem, the method comprising: a step of calculating partial information of the scalar-multiplied point; and a step of recovering a complete coordinate in projective coordinates from the partial information of the scalar-multiplied point.

Additionally, as one means for achieving the object, there is provided a scalar multiplication method for calculating a scalar-multiplied point from a scalar value and a point on a Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve defined on a finite field with characteristics of 5 or more in an elliptic curve cryptosystem, the method comprising: a

step of calculating partial information of the scalar-multiplied point; and a step of recovering a complete coordinate from the partial information of the scalar-multiplied point.

5 Moreover, as one means for achieving the object, there is provided a scalar multiplication method for calculating a scalar-multiplied point from a scalar value and a point on a Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve defined on
10 a finite field with characteristics of 5 or more in an elliptic curve cryptosystem, the method comprising: a step of calculating partial information of the scalar-multiplied point; and a step of recovering a complete coordinate from the partial information of the scalar-
15 multiplied point.

 Furthermore, as one means for achieving the object, there is provided a scalar multiplication method for calculating a scalar-multiplied point from a scalar value and a point on a Montgomery-form elliptic
20 curve in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve defined on a finite field with characteristics of 5 or more in an elliptic curve cryptosystem, the method comprising: a step of calculating partial information of the scalar-multiplied point; and a step of giving X-coordinate and
25 Z-coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point given as the partial information of the scalar-multiplied point in projective coordinates and X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of a point obtained by adding the scalar-

multiplied point and the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the projective coordinates, and recovering a complete coordinate in affine coordinates.

Additionally, as one means for achieving the
 5 object, there is provided a scalar multiplication method for calculating a scalar-multiplied point from a scalar value and a point on a Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve defined on a finite field with characteristics of 5 or more in an
 10 elliptic curve cryptosystem, the method comprising: a step of calculating partial information of the scalar-multiplied point; and a step of giving X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point given as the partial information of the scalar-multiplied point
 15 in projective coordinates and X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of a point obtained by adding the scalar-multiplied point and the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the projective coordinates, and recovering a complete coordinate in the projective
 20 coordinates.

Moreover, as one means for achieving the object, there is provided a scalar multiplication method for calculating a scalar-multiplied point from a scalar value and a point on a Montgomery-form elliptic
 25 curve in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve defined on a finite field with characteristics of 5 or more in an elliptic curve cryptosystem, the method comprising: a step of calculating partial information of the scalar-

multiplied point; and a step of giving X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point given as the partial information of the scalar-multiplied point in projective coordinates, X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of a point obtained by adding the scalar-multiplied point and the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the projective coordinates, and X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of a point obtained by subtracting the scalar-multiplied point and the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the projective coordinates, and recovering a complete coordinate in affine coordinates.

Furthermore, as one means for achieving the object, there is provided a scalar multiplication method for calculating a scalar-multiplied point from a scalar value and a point on a Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve defined on a finite field with characteristics of 5 or more in an elliptic curve cryptosystem, the method comprising: a step of calculating partial information of the scalar-multiplied point; and a step of giving X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point given as the partial information of the scalar-multiplied point in projective coordinates, X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of a point obtained by adding the scalar-multiplied point and the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the projective coordinates, and X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of a point obtained by

subtracting the scalar-multiplied point and the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the projective coordinates, and recovering a complete coordinate in the projective coordinates.

5 Additionally, as one means for achieving the object, there is provided a scalar multiplication method for calculating a scalar-multiplied point from a scalar value and a point on a Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve defined on
10 a finite field with characteristics of 5 or more in an elliptic curve cryptosystem, the method comprising: a step of calculating partial information of the scalar-multiplied point; and a step of giving x-coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point given as the partial
15 information of the scalar-multiplied point in affine coordinates, x-coordinate of a point obtained by adding the scalar-multiplied point and the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the affine coordinates, and x-coordinate of a point obtained by
20 subtracting the scalar-multiplied point and the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the affine coordinates, and recovering a complete coordinate in the affine coordinates.

 Moreover, as one means for achieving the
25 object, there is provided a scalar multiplication method for calculating a scalar-multiplied point from a scalar value and a point on a Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve defined on

—

Furthermore, as one means for achieving the object, there is provided a scalar multiplication method for calculating a scalar-multiplied point from a scalar value and a point on a Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve defined on a finite field with characteristics of 5 or more in an elliptic curve cryptosystem, the method comprising: a step of calculating partial information of the scalar-multiplied point; and a step of giving X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point given as the partial information of the scalar-multiplied point in projective coordinates, X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of a point obtained by adding the scalar-

multiplied point and the point on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the projective coordinates, and X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of a point obtained by subtracting the scalar-multiplied point and the point on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the projective coordinates, and recovering a complete coordinate in the projective coordinates.

Additionally, as one means for achieving the object, there is provided a scalar multiplication method for calculating a scalar-multiplied point from a scalar value and a point on a Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve defined on a finite field with characteristics of 5 or more in an elliptic curve cryptosystem, the method comprising: a step of calculating partial information of the scalar-multiplied point; and a step of giving x-coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point given as the partial information of the scalar-multiplied point in affine coordinates, x-coordinate of a point obtained by adding the scalar-multiplied point and the point on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the affine coordinates, and x-coordinate of a point obtained by subtracting the scalar-multiplied point and the point on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the affine coordinates, and recovering a complete coordinate in the affine coordinates.

Moreover, as one means for achieving the object, there is provided a scalar multiplication

method for calculating a scalar-multiplied point from a scalar value and a point on a Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve defined on a finite field with characteristics of 5 or more in an elliptic curve cryptosystem, the method comprising: a step of transforming the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve to a Montgomery-form elliptic curve; a step of calculating partial information of the scalar-multiplied point in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve; and a step of recovering a complete coordinate in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the partial information of the scalar-multiplied point in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve.

Furthermore, as one means for achieving the object, there is provided a scalar multiplication method for calculating a scalar-multiplied point from a scalar value and a point on a Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve defined on a finite field with characteristics of 5 or more in an elliptic curve cryptosystem, the method comprising: a step of transforming the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve to a Montgomery-form elliptic curve; a step of calculating partial information of the scalar-multiplied point in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve; a step of recovering a complete coordinate in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve from the partial information of the scalar-multiplied point in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve; and a step of calcu-

lating the scalar-multiplied point in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the scalar-multiplied point in which the complete coordinate is recovered in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve.

5 Additionally, as one means for achieving the object, there is provided a scalar multiplication method for calculating a scalar-multiplied point from a scalar value and a point on a Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve defined on
10 a finite field with characteristics of 5 or more in an elliptic curve cryptosystem, the method comprising: a step of transforming the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve to a Montgomery-form elliptic curve; a step of calculating partial information of the scalar-
15 multiplied point in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve; and a step of giving X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point given as the partial information of the scalar-multiplied point in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in projective coordi-
20 nates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of a point obtained by adding the scalar-multiplied point and the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the projective coordinates, and recovering a complete coordinate in
25 affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve.

Moreover, as one means for achieving the object, there is provided a scalar multiplication

method for calculating a scalar-multiplied point from a scalar value and a point on a Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve defined on a finite field with characteristics of 5 or more in an elliptic curve cryptosystem, the method comprising: a step of transforming the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve to a Montgomery-form elliptic curve; a step of calculating partial information of the scalar-multiplied point in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve; and a step of giving X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point given as the partial information of the scalar-multiplied point in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of a point obtained by adding the scalar-multiplied point and the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the projective coordinates, and recovering a complete coordinate in the projective coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve.

Furthermore, as one means for achieving the object, there is provided a scalar multiplication method for calculating a scalar-multiplied point from a scalar value and a point on a Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve defined on a finite field with characteristics of 5 or more in an elliptic curve cryptosystem, the method comprising: a step of transforming the Weierstrass-form elliptic

curve to a Montgomery-form elliptic curve; a step of
calculating partial information of the scalar-
multiplied point in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve;
and a step of giving X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of
5 the scalar-multiplied point given as the partial
information of the scalar-multiplied point in the
Montgomery-form elliptic curve in projective coordi-
nates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X-
coordinate and Z-coordinate of a point obtained by
10 adding the scalar-multiplied point and the point on the
Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the projective
coordinates, and X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of a
point obtained by subtracting the scalar-multiplied
point and the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic
15 curve in the projective coordinates, and recovering a
complete coordinate in affine coordinates in the
Weierstrass-form elliptic curve.

Additionally, according to the present
invention, there is provided a scalar multiplication
20 method for calculating a scalar-multiplied point from a
scalar value and a point on a Weierstrass-form elliptic
curve in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve defined on
a finite field with characteristics of 5 or more in an
elliptic curve cryptosystem, the method comprising: a
25 step of transforming the Weierstrass-form elliptic
curve to a Montgomery-form elliptic curve; a step of
calculating partial information of the scalar-
multiplied point in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve;

and a step of giving X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point given as the partial information of the scalar-multiplied point in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of a point obtained by adding the scalar-multiplied point and the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the projective coordinates, and X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of a point obtained by subtracting the scalar-multiplied point and the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the projective coordinates, and recovering a complete coordinate in the projective coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve.

Moreover, as one means for achieving the object, there is provided a scalar multiplication method for calculating a scalar-multiplied point from a scalar value and a point on a Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve defined on a finite field with characteristics of 5 or more in an elliptic curve cryptosystem, the method comprising: a step of transforming the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve to a Montgomery-form elliptic curve; a step of calculating partial information of the scalar-multiplied point in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve; and a step of giving x-coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point given as the partial information of the scalar-multiplied point in the Montgomery-form

elliptic curve in affine coordinates in the Montgomery-
 form elliptic curve, x-coordinate of a point obtained
 by adding the scalar-multiplied point and the point on
 the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the affine
 5 coordinates, and x-coordinate of a point obtained by
 subtracting the scalar-multiplied point and the point
 on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the affine
 coordinates, and recovering a complete coordinate in
 the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic
 10 curve.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a constitution diagram of an
 cryptography processing system of the present inven-
 tion.

15 FIG. 2 is a diagram showing a flow of a
 processing in a scalar multiplication method and
 apparatus according to an embodiment of the present
 invention.

FIG. 3 is a sequence diagram showing a flow
 20 of a processing in the cryptography processing system
 of FIG. 1.

FIG. 4 is a flowchart showing a fast scalar
 multiplication method in the scalar multiplication
 method according to first, second, fourteenth, and
 25 fifteenth embodiments of the present invention.

FIG. 5 is a flowchart showing the fast scalar
 multiplication method in the scalar multiplication

method according to third and fourth embodiments of the present invention.

FIG. 6 is a flowchart showing the fast scalar multiplication method in the scalar multiplication method according to a fifth embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 7 is a flowchart showing the fast scalar multiplication method in the scalar multiplication method according to sixth, seventh, and eighth embodiments of the present invention.

FIG. 8 is a flowchart showing the fast scalar multiplication method in the scalar multiplication method according to ninth, tenth, twentieth, and twenty-first embodiments of the present invention.

FIG. 9 is a flowchart showing a coordinate recovering method in the scalar multiplication method according to the second embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 10 is a flowchart showing the fast scalar multiplication method in the scalar multiplication method according to eleventh and twelfth embodiments of the present invention.

FIG. 11 is a flowchart showing the coordinate recovering method in the scalar multiplication method according to the first embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 12 is a flowchart showing the coordinate recovering method in the scalar multiplication method

according to the third embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 13 is a flowchart showing the coordinate recovering method in the scalar multiplication method according to the fourth embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 14 is a flowchart showing the coordinate recovering method in the scalar multiplication method according to the sixth embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 15 is a flowchart showing the coordinate recovering method in the scalar multiplication method according to the seventh embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 16 is a flowchart showing the coordinate recovering method in the scalar multiplication method according to the eighth embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 17 is a flowchart showing the coordinate recovering method in the scalar multiplication method according to the ninth embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 18 is a flowchart showing the coordinate recovering method in the scalar multiplication method according to the tenth embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 19 is a flowchart showing the coordinate recovering method in the scalar multiplication method

according to the eleventh embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 20 is a flowchart showing the coordinate recovering method in the scalar multiplication method according to the twelfth embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 21 is a flowchart showing the coordinate recovering method in the scalar multiplication method according to a thirteenth embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 22 is a constitution diagram of a signature generation unit according to the embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 23 is a constitution diagram of a decryption unit according to the embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 24 is a flowchart showing the fast scalar multiplication method in the scalar multiplication method according to the thirteenth embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 25 is a flowchart showing the scalar multiplication method in a scalar multiplication apparatus of FIG. 2.

FIG. 26 is a flowchart showing the coordinate recovering method in the scalar multiplication method according to the fifth embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 27 is a diagram showing a flow of a

processing in the scalar multiplication method and apparatus according to the embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 28 is a flowchart showing a signature generation method in the signature generation unit of FIG. 22.

FIG. 29 is a sequence diagram showing a flow of a processing in the signature generation unit of FIG. 22.

FIG. 30 is a flowchart showing a decryption method in the decryption unit of FIG. 23.

FIG. 31 is a sequence diagram showing a flow of a processing in the decryption unit of FIG. 23.

FIG. 32 is a flowchart showing a cryptography processing method in the cryptography processing system of FIG. 1.

FIG. 33 is a flowchart showing the scalar multiplication method in the scalar multiplication apparatus of FIG. 27.

FIG. 34 is a flowchart showing the coordinate recovering method in the scalar multiplication method according to the fourteenth embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 35 is a flowchart showing the coordinate recovering method in the scalar multiplication method according to the fifteenth embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 36 is a flowchart showing the coordinate

recovering method in the scalar multiplication method according to a sixteenth embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 37 is a flowchart showing the coordinate recovering method in the scalar multiplication method according to a seventeenth embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 38 is a flowchart showing the coordinate recovering method in the scalar multiplication method according to an eighteenth embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 39 is a flowchart showing the coordinate recovering method in the scalar multiplication method according to a nineteenth embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 40 is a flowchart showing the coordinate recovering method in the scalar multiplication method according to the twentieth embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 41 is a flowchart showing the coordinate recovering method in the scalar multiplication method according to the twenty-first embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 42 is a flowchart showing the coordinate recovering method in the scalar multiplication method according to a twenty-second embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 43 is a flowchart showing the fast

scalar multiplication method in the scalar multiplication method according to the sixteenth embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 44 is a flowchart showing the fast scalar multiplication method in the scalar multiplication method according to the seventeenth, eighteenth, and nineteenth embodiments of the present invention.

FIG. 45 is a flowchart showing the fast scalar multiplication method in the scalar multiplication method according to the twenty-second embodiment of the present invention.

BEST MODE FOR CARRYING OUT THE INVENTION

Embodiments of the present invention will be described hereinafter with reference to the drawings.

FIG. 1 shows a constitution of an encryption/decryption processing apparatus. An encryption/decryption processing apparatus 101 performs either one of encryption of an inputted message and decryption of the encrypted message. Additionally, an elliptic curve handled herein is an elliptic curve having characteristics of 5 or more.

When the inputted message is encrypted, and the encrypted message is decrypted, the following equation 1 is generally established.

$$P_{m+k(aQ)-a(kQ)} = P_m \quad \dots \text{Equation 1}$$

Here, P_m denotes a message, k denotes a

random number, a denotes a constant indicating a private key, and Q denotes a fixed point. In this equation, aQ of $P_{m+k(aQ)}$ indicates a public key, and indicates that the inputted message is encrypted by the public key. On the other hand, a of $a(kQ)$ indicates the private key, and indicates that the message is decrypted by the private key.

Therefore, when the encryption/decryption processing apparatus 101 shown in FIG. 1 is used only in the encryption of the message, $P_{m+k(aQ)}$ and kQ are calculated and outputted. When the apparatus is used only in the decryption, $-a(kQ)$ is calculated from the private key a and kQ , and $(P_{m+k(aQ)})-a(kQ)$ may be calculated and outputted.

The encryption/decryption processing apparatus 101 shown in FIG. 1 includes a processing unit 110, storage unit 120, and register unit 130. The processing unit 120 indicates a processing necessary for an encryption processing in functional blocks, and includes an encryption/decryption processor 102 for encrypting the inputted message and decrypting the encrypted message, and a scalar multiplication unit 103 for calculating parameters necessary for the encryption/decryption performed by the encryption/decryption processor 102. The storage unit 120 stores a constant, private information (e.g., the private key), and the like. The register unit 130 temporarily stores a result of operation in the encryption/

decryption processing, and the information stored in the storage unit 120. Additionally, the processing unit 110, and register unit 130 can be realized by an exclusive-use operation unit, CPU, and the like which
 5 perform a processing described hereinafter, and the storage unit 120 can be realized by a RAM, ROM, and the like.

An operation of the encryption/decryption processing apparatus 101 shown in FIG. 1 will next be
 10 described. FIG. 3 shows transmission of information of each unit when the encryption/decryption processing apparatus 101 performs the encryption/decryption. The encryption/decryption processor 102 is represented as the encryption processor 102 when performing an encryp-
 15 tion processing, and as the decryption processor 102 when performing a decryption processing.

An operation for encrypting the inputted message will first be described with reference to FIG. 30.

20 A message is inputted into the encryption/decryption processor 102 (3001), and it is then judged whether or not a bit length of the inputted message is a predetermined bit length. When the length is longer than the predetermined bit length, the message is
 25 divided in order to obtain the predetermined bit length (it is assumed in the following description that the message is divided into the predetermined bit length). Subsequently, the encryption/decryption processor 102

calculates a value (y1) of y-coordinate on an elliptic curve having a numeric value (x1) represented by a bit string of the message in x-coordinate. For example, a Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by

5 $By1^2=x1^3+Ax1^2+x1$, and the value of y-coordinate can be obtained from this curve. Additionally, B, A are constants. The encryption processor 102 sends a public key aQ and values of x-coordinate and y-coordinate of Q to the scalar multiplication unit 103. In this case,
10 the encryption processor 102 generates a random number, and sends this number to the scalar multiplication unit 103 (3002). The scalar multiplication unit 103 calculates a scalar-multiplied point (xd1, yd1) by the values of x-coordinate and y-coordinate of Q and the
15 random number, and a scalar-multiplied point (xd2, yd2) by the values of x-coordinate and y-coordinate of the public key aQ and the random number (3003), and sends the calculated scalar-multiplied points to the encryption processor 102 (3004). The encryption processor
20 102 uses the sent scalar-multiplied point to perform an encryption processing (3005). For example, with respect to the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, encrypted messages xe1, xe2 are obtained from the following equation.

$$\begin{aligned} 25 \quad xe1 &= B((yd1-y1)/(xd1-x1))^2 - A - x1 - xd1 \quad \dots \text{Equation 2} \\ xe2 &= xd2 \quad \dots \text{Equation 3} \end{aligned}$$

The encryption/decryption processing

apparatus 101 outputs the message encrypted by the encryption/decryption processor 102. (3006)

An operation for decrypting the encrypted message will next be described with reference to FIG.

5 32.

When the encrypted message is inputted into the encryption/decryption processor 102 (3201), the value of y-coordinate on the elliptic curve having the numeric value represented by the bit string of the encrypted message in x-coordinate is calculated. Here, the encrypted message is a bit string of x_{e1} , x_{e2} , and with the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, a value (y_{e1}) of y-coordinate is obtained from $By_{e1}^2 = x_{e1}^3 + Ax_{e1}^2 + x_{e1}$. Additionally, B, A are respective constants. The encryption/decryption processor 102 sends values (x_{e1} , y_{e1}) of x-coordinate and y-coordinate to the scalar multiplication unit 103 (3202). The scalar multiplication unit 103 reads private information from the storage unit 120 (3203), calculates a scalar-multiplied point (x_{d3} , y_{d3}) from the values of x-coordinate and y-coordinate and the private information (3204), and sends the calculated scalar-multiplied points to the encryption/decryption processor 102 (3205). The encryption/decryption processor 102 uses the sent scalar-multiplied point to perform a decryption processing (3206). For example, the encrypted message is a bit string of x_{e1} , x_{e2} , and with the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, x_{f1} is obtained by the following

equation.

$$xf1=B((ye2+yd3)/(xe2-xd3))^2-A-xe2-xd3 \dots \text{Equation 4}$$

This `xf1` corresponds to the message `x1` before encrypted.

5 The decryption processor 102 outputs the
decrypted message xfl (3207).

As described above, the encryption/decryption processor 102 performs the encryption or decryption processing.

10 A processing of the scalar multiplication
unit 103 of the encryption processing apparatus 101
will next be described. Here, an example in which the
encryption processing apparatus 101 performs the
decryption processing will be described hereinafter.

15 FIG. 2 shows functional blocks of the scalar
multiplication unit 103. FIG. 25 shows an operation of
the scalar multiplication unit 103.

A fast scalar multiplication unit 202 receives the scalar value as the private information and encrypted message, and a point on the elliptic curve as a value of Y-coordinate on the elliptic curve having the encrypted message on X-coordinate (step 2501). Then, the fast scalar multiplication unit 202 calculates some values of the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point from the received scalar value and point on the elliptic curve (step 2502), and gives the information to a coordinate recovering unit 203 (step

2503). The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point from information of the given scalar-multiplied point and the inputted point on the elliptic curve (step 2504). A scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar-multiplied point with the coordinate completely given thereto as a calculation result (step 2505). Here, the scalar-multiplied point with the coordinate completely given thereto means that the y-coordinate is calculated and outputted (this also applied to the following).

Some embodiments of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202 and coordinate recovering unit 203 of the scalar multiplication unit 103 will be described hereinafter.

In a first embodiment, the scalar multiplication unit 103 calculates and outputs a scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto as a point of affine coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve from a scalar value d and a point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. The scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve are inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103 and then received by the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 calculates X_d and Z_d in a coordinate of a scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in a

coordinate of a point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates from the received scalar value d and the given point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and gives the information together with an inputted point $P=(x, y)$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates to the coordinate recovering unit 203. The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers coordinates x_d and y_d of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve from the given coordinate values $X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}, x$ and y . The scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the coordinate completely given thereto in the affine coordinates as the calculation output.

A processing of the coordinate recovering unit which outputs x_d, y_d from the given coordinates $x, y, X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}$ will next be described with reference to FIG. 11.

The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates, and (x, y) as representation of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in

the affine coordinates inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto in the affine coordinates in the following procedure. Here, the affine coordinate of the inputted point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x, y) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_1, Y_1, Z_1) . Assuming that the inputted scalar value is d, the affine coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point dP in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_d, y_d) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) . The affine coordinate of a point $(d-1)P$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_{d-1}, y_{d-1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by $(X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1})$. The affine coordinate of the point $(d+1)P$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_{d+1}, y_{d+1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by $(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$.

20 In step 1101 $X_d \times x$ is calculated, and stored in a register T_1 . In step 1102 $T_1 - Z_d$ is calculated. Here, $X_d \times x$ is stored in the register T_1 , and $X_d \times x - Z_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 1103 $Z_d \times x$ is calculated, and stored in a register T_2 . In step 1104 $X_d - T_2$ is calculated. Here, $Z_d \times x$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $X_d - xZ_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1105 $X_{d+1} \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, $X_d - xZ_d$ is

stored in the register T_2 , and $X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in a register T_3 . In step 1106 a square of T_2 is calculated. Here, $(X_d - xZ_d)$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is therefore
5 calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1107 $T_2 \times X_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, $(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1108 $T_2 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, $X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is
10 stored in the register T_2 , and $Z_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1109 $T_2 \times y$ is calculated. Here, $Z_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $yZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the
15 register T_2 . In step 1110 $T_2 \times B$ is calculated. Here, $yZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1111 $T_2 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the
20 register T_2 , and $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1112 $T_2 \times X_d$ is calculated. Here, $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d X_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in a
25 register T_4 . In step 1113 $T_2 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1114 an inverse

element of the register T_2 is calculated. Here,
 $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d-xZ_d)^2Z_d^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and
therefore $1/ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d-xZ_d)^2Z_d^2$ is calculated. The
result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1115 $T_2 \times T_4$
5 is calculated. Here, $1/ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d-xZ_d)^2Z_d^2$ is stored in
the register T_2 , and $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d-xZ_d)^2Z_dX_d$ is stored in
the register T_4 . Therefore, $(ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d-xZ_d)^2Z_dX_d) /$
 $(ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d-xZ_d)^2Z_d^2) (=X_d/Z_d)$ is calculated. The result
is stored in a register x_d . In step 1116 $T_1 \times Z_{d+1}$ is
10 calculated. Here $X_d x - Z_d$ is stored in the register T_1 ,
and therefore $Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d)$ is calculated. The result is
stored in the register T_4 . In step 1117 a square of the
register T_1 is calculated. Here, $(X_d x - Z_d)$ is stored in
the register T_1 , and therefore $(X_d x - Z_d)^2$ is calculated.
15 The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 1118
 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, $(X_d x - Z_d)^2$ is stored in the
register T_1 , $1/ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d-xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register
 T_2 , and therefore $(X_d x - Z_d)^2 / ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d-xZ_d)^2 Z_d^2$ is calcu-
lated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In
20 step 1119 $T_3 + T_4$ is calculated. Here $X_{d+1}(X_d-xZ_d)$ is
stored in the register T_3 , $Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d)$ is stored in the
register T_4 , and therefore $X_{d+1}(X_d-xZ_d) + Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d)$ is
calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 .
In step 1120 $T_3 - T_4$ is calculated. Here $X_{d+1}(X_d-xZ_d)$ is
25 stored in the register T_3 , $Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d)$ is stored in the
register T_4 , and therefore $X_{d+1}(X_d-xZ_d) - Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d)$ is
calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 .
In step 1121 $T_1 \times T_3$ is calculated. Here $X_{d+1}(X_d-xZ_d) +$

$Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d)$ is stored in the register T_1 , $X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d) - Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d)$ is stored in the register T_3 , and therefore $\{X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d) + Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d)\} \{X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d) - Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d)\}$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 .

- 5 In step 1122 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here $\{X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d) + Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d)\} \{X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d) - Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d)\}$ is stored in the register T_1 , $(X_d x - Z_d)^2 / ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and therefore the following is calculated.

$$\frac{\{X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d) + Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d)\} \{X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d) - Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d)\} (X_d x - Z_d)^2}{ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d^2}$$

... Equation 5

- 10 The result is stored in y_d . In step 1115 $(ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d X_d) / (ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 X_d^2)$ is stored in x_d , and is not updated thereafter, and the value is therefore held.

- A reason why all values in the affine coordinate (x_d, y_d) of the scalar-multiplied point in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve are recovered from $x, y, X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}$ given to the coordinate recovering unit 203 by the aforementioned procedure is as follows. Additionally, point $(d+1)P$ is a point obtained by adding the point P to the point dP , and point $(d-1)P$ is a point obtained by subtracting the point P from the point dP . Assignment to addition formulae in the affine coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic

curve results in the following equations.

$$(A+x+x_d+x_{d+1})(x_d-x)^2 = B(y_d-y)^2$$

... Equation 6

$$(A+x+x_d+x_{d-1})(x_d-x)^2 = B(y_d+y)^2$$

5 ... Equation 7

When opposite sides are individually subjected to subtraction, the following equation is obtained.

$$(x_{d-1}-x_{d+1})(x_d-x)^2 = 4By_dy$$

... Equation 8

10 Therefore, the following results.

$$y_d = (x_{d-1}-x_{d+1})(x_d-x)^2 / 4By$$

... Equation 9

Here, $x_d=X_d/Z_d$, $x_{d+1}=X_{d+1}/Z_{d+1}$, $x_{d-1}=X_{d-1}/Z_{d-1}$. The value is assigned and thereby converted to a value of the projective coordinate. Then, the following equation is obtained.

$$y_d = (X_{d-1}Z_{d+1}-Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})(X_d-Z_dx)^2 / 4ByZ_{d-1}Z_{d+1}Z_d^2$$

... Equation 10

The addition formulae in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve are as follows.

$$X_{m+n} = Z_{m-n}[(X_m-Z_m)(X_n+Z_n)+(X_m+Z_m)(X_n-Z_n)]^2$$

... Equation 11

$$Z_{m+n} = X_{m-n} \left[(X_m - Z_m)(X_n + Z_n) - (X_m + Z_m)(X_n - Z_n) \right]^2$$

... Equation 12

Here, X_m and Z_m are X-coordinate and Z-coordinate in the projective coordinate of a m-multiplied point mP of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_n and Z_n are X-coordinate and Z-coordinate in the projective coordinate of an n-multiplied point nP of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{m-n} and Z_{m-n} are X-coordinate and Z-coordinate in the projective coordinate of a (m-n)-multiplied point (m-n)P of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{m+n} and Z_{m+n} are X-coordinate and Z-coordinate in the projective coordinate of a (m+n)-multiplied point (m+n)P of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and m, n are positive integers satisfying $m > n$. In the equation when $X_m/Z_m = x_m$, $X_n/Z_n = x_n$, $X_{m-n}/Z_{m-n} = x_{m-n}$ are unchanged, $X_{m+n}/Z_{m+n} = x_{m+n}$ is also unchanged. Therefore, this functions well as the formula in the projective coordinate. On the other hand, the following equations are assumed.

$$X'_{m-n} = Z_{m+n} \left[(X_m - Z_m)(X_n + Z_n) + (X_m + Z_m)(X_n - Z_n) \right]^2$$

... Equation 13

$$Z'_{m-n} = X_{m+n} \left[(X_m - Z_m)(X_n + Z_n) - (X_m + Z_m)(X_n - Z_n) \right]^2$$

... Equation 14

In this equation, when $X_m/Z_m = x_m$, $X_n/Z_n = x_n$, $X_{m+n}/Z_{m+n} = x_{m+n}$ are unchanged, X'_{m-n}/Z'_{m-n} is also unchanged. Moreover, since

$X'_{m-n}/Z'_{m-n}=X_{m-n}/Z_{m-n}$ is satisfied, X'_{m-n} , Z'_{m-n} may be taken as the projective coordinate of x_{m-n} . When $m=d$, $n=1$ are set, the above formula is used, X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} are deleted from the equation of y_d , and $X_1=x$, $Z_1=1$ are set, the following equation is obtained.

$$y_d = \frac{\{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) + X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)\}\{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) - X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)\}(X_d x - Z_d)^2}{ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d^2}$$

... Equation 15

Although $x_d=X_d/Z_d$, reduction to a denominator common with that of y_d is performed for a purpose of reducing a frequency of inversion, and the following equation is obtained.

$$x_d = \frac{ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2 X_d}{ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d}$$

... Equation 16

Here, x_d , y_d are given by the processing of FIG. 11. Therefore, all the values of the affine coordinate (x_d, y_d) are recovered.

For the aforementioned procedure, in the steps 1101, 1103, 1105, 1107, 1108, 1109, 1110, 1111, 1112, 1113, 1115, 1116, 1118, 1121, and 1122, a computational amount of multiplication on a finite field is required. Moreover, the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is required in the

steps 1106 and 1117. Moreover, the computational amount of inversion on the finite field is required in the step 1114. The computational amounts of addition and subtraction on the finite field are relatively small as compared with the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field and the computational amounts of squaring and inversion, and may be ignored. Assuming that the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is M , the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is S , and the computational amount of inversion on the finite field is I , the above procedure requires a computational amount of $15M+2S+I$. This is very small as compared with the computational amount of fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication is estimated to be a little less than about 1500 M . Assuming $S=0.8M$, $I=40M$, the computational amount of coordinate recovering is 56.6 M , and this is very small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. Therefore, it is indicated that the coordinate can efficiently be recovered.

Additionally, even when the above procedure is not taken, the values of x_d , y_d given by the above equation can be calculated, and the values of x_d , y_d can then be recovered. In this case, the computational amount necessary for the recovering generally

increases. Moreover, when the value of B as a parameter of the elliptic curve is set to be small, the computational amount of multiplication in the step 1110 can be reduced.

5 A processing of the fast scalar multiplication unit which outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve will next be described with reference to FIG. 4.

 The fast scalar multiplication unit 202
 10 inputs the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and outputs X_d and Z_d in the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinate in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in
 15 the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinate by the following procedure. In step 401, an initial value 1 is assigned to a variable I . A doubled point $2P$ of the point P is calculated in step 402. Here, the
 20 point P is represented as $(x, y, 1)$ in the projective coordinate, and a formula of doubling in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is used to calculate the doubled point $2P$. In step 403, the point P on the elliptic curve inputted into the
 25 scalar multiplication unit 103 and the point $2P$ obtained in the step 402 are stored as a set of points $(P, 2P)$. Here, the points P and $2P$ are represented by the projective coordinate. It is judged in step 404

whether or not the variable I agrees with the bit length of the scalar value d . With agreement, the flow goes to step 413. With disagreement, the flow goes to step 405. The variable I is increased by 1 in the step 5 405. It is judged in step 406 whether the value of an I -th bit of the scalar value is 0 or 1. When the value of the bit is 0, the flow goes to the step 407. When the value of the bit is 1, the flow goes to step 410. In step 407, addition $mP + (m+1)P$ of points mP and $(m+1)P$ 10 is performed from a set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinate, and a point $(2m+1)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 408. Here, the addition $mP + (m+1)P$ is calculated using the addition formula in the projective coordinate 15 of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In step 408, doubling $2(mP)$ of the point mP is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinate, and the point $2mP$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 409. Here, the doubling 20 $2(mP)$ is calculated using the formula of doubling in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 409, the point $2mP$ obtained in the step 408 and the point $(2m+1)P$ obtained in the step 407 are stored as a set of points $(2mP,$ 25 $(2m+1)P)$ instead of the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow returns to the step 404. Here, the points $2mP$, $(2m+1)P$, mP , and $(m+1)P$ are all represented in the projective coordinates. In step

410, addition $mP + (m+1)P$ of the points mP , $(m+1)P$ is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and the point $(2m+1)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 411. Here, the addition $mP + (m+1)P$ is calculated using the addition formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 411, doubling $2((m+1)P)$ of the point $(m+1)P$ is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and a point $(2m+2)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 412. Here, the doubling $2((m+1)P)$ is calculated using the formula of doubling in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 412, the point $(2m+1)P$ obtained in the step 410 and the point $(2m+2)P$ obtained in the step 411 are stored as a set of points $((2m+1)P, (2m+2)P)$ instead of the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow returns to the step 404. Here, the points $(2m+1)P$, $(2m+2)P$, mP , and $(m+1)P$ are all represented in the projective coordinates. In step 413, from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates, X_m and Z_m are outputted as X_d and Z_d from the point $mP = (X_m, Y_m, Z_m)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and X_{m+1} and Z_{m+1} are outputted as X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} from the point $(m+1)P = (X_{m+1}, Y_{m+1}, Z_{m+1})$ represented by the projective coordinates. Here, Y_m and Y_{m+1} are not obtained, because Y-coordinate cannot be obtained by

the addition and doubling formulae in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. Moreover, by the aforementioned procedure, m and the scalar value d have an equal bit length and further
5 have the same pattern of the bit, and are therefore equal.

The computational amount of the addition formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$ with $Z_1=1$.
10 Here, M is the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field, and S is the computational amount of squaring on the finite field. The computational amount of the formula of doubling in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is
15 $3M+2S$. When the value of the i -th bit of the scalar value is 0, the computational amount of addition in the step 407, and the computational amount of doubling in the step 408 are required. That is, a computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. When the value of the i -
20 th bit of the scalar value is 1, the computational amount of addition in the step 410, and the computational amount of doubling in the step 411 are required. That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. In any case, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is
25 required. The number of repetitions of the steps 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, or the steps 404, 405, 406, 410, 411, 412 is $(\text{bit length of the scalar value } d)-1$. Therefore, in consideration of the computational amount

of doubling in the step 402, the entire computational amount is $(6M+4S)(k-1)+3M+2S$. Here, k is a bit length of the scalar value d . In general, since a computational amount S is estimated to be of the order of $S=0.8M$, the entire computational amount is approximately $(9.2k-4.6)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount of algorithm of the aforementioned procedure is about 1467 M. The computational amount per bit of the scalar value d is about 9.2 M. In A. Miyaji, T. Ono, H. Cohen, Efficient elliptic curve exponentiation using mixed coordinates, Advances in Cryptology Proceedings of ASIACRYPT'98, LNCS 1514 (1988) pp.51-65, a scalar multiplication method using a window method and mixed coordinates mainly including Jacobian coordinates in a Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is described as a fast scalar multiplication method. In this case, the computational amount per bit of the scalar value is estimated to be about 10 M. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount of the scalar multiplication method is about 1600 M. Therefore, the algorithm of the aforementioned procedure can be said to have a small computational amount and high speed.

25 Additionally, instead of using the algorithm
of the aforementioned procedure in the fast scalar
multiplication unit 202, another algorithm may be used
as long as the algorithm outputs X_d , Y_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} from

the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve at high speed.

The computational amount required for recovering the coordinate of the coordinate recovering unit 203 in the scalar multiplication unit 103 is $15M+2S+1$, and this is far small as compared with a computational amount of $(9.2k-4.6)M$ necessary for fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. Therefore, the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is substantially equal to the computational amount necessary for the fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit. Assuming $I=40M$, $S=0.8M$, the computational amount can be estimated to be about $(9.2k+52)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication is 1524 M. The Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve, the scalar multiplication method is used in which the window method and the mixed coordinates mainly including the Jacobian coordinates are used, and the scalar-multiplied point is outputted as the affine coordinates. In this case, the required computational amount is about 1640 M, and as compared with this, the required computational amount is reduced.

In a second embodiment, the scalar multiplication unit 103 calculates and outputs a scalar-

multiplied point (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto as a point of the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic

5 curve. The scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve are inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103 and then received by the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 calculates X_d and Z_d in the

10 coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ represented by the projective

15 coordinates from the received scalar value d and the given point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and gives the information together with the inputted point $P=(x, y)$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates to the coordinate

20 recovering unit 203. The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers coordinate X_d , Y_d , and Z_d of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve from the given coordinate values X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} ,

25 x and y . The scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar-multiplied point (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) with the coordinate completely given thereto in the projective coordinates as the calculation output.

A processing of the coordinate recovering unit which outputs X_d, Y_d, Z_d from the given coordinate $x, y, X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}$ will next be described with reference to FIG. 9.

5 The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point on the Montgomery-form
10 elliptic curve $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ represented by the projective coordinates, and (x, y) as representation of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103 in the affine coordinates, and outputs the scalar-multiplied
15 point (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto in the projective coordinates in the following procedure. Here, the affine coordinate of the inputted point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x, y) , and the projective coordinate
20 thereof is represented by (X_1, Y_1, Z_1) . Assuming that the inputted scalar value is d , the affine coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point dP in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_d, y_d) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by
25 (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) . The affine coordinate of the point $(d-1)P$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_{d-1}, y_{d-1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by $(X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1})$. The affine coordinate of

the point $(d+1)P$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_{d+1}, y_{d+1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by $(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$.

In step 901 $X_d \times x$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_1 . In step 902 $T_1 - Z_d$ is calculated. Here, $X_d \times x$ is stored in the register T_1 , and $X_d \times x - Z_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 903 $Z_d \times x$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_2 . In step 904 $X_d - T_2$ is calculated. Here, $Z_d \times x$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $X_d - x Z_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 905 $Z_{d+1} \times T_1$ is calculated. Here, $X_d \times x - Z_d$ is stored in the register T_1 , and $Z_{d+1}(X_d \times x - Z_d)$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 906 $X_{d+1} \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, $X_d - x Z_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $X_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_4 . In step 907 a square of T_1 is calculated. Here, $X_d \times x - Z_d$ is stored in the register T_1 , and $(X_d \times x - Z_d)^2$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 908 a square of T_2 is calculated. Here, $X_d - x Z_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $(X_d - x Z_d)^2$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 909 $T_2 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, $(X_d - x Z_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $Z_d(X_d - x Z_d)^2$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 910 $T_2 \times X_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, $Z_d(X_d - x Z_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $X_{d+1} Z_d(X_d - x Z_d)^2$ is therefore calculated.

The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 911 $T_2 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, $X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $Z_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 .

- 5 In step 912 $T_2 \times y$ is calculated. Here, $Z_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $yZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 913 $T_2 \times B$ is calculated. Here, $yZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and
- 10 $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 914 $T_2 \times X_d$ is calculated. Here, $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2 X_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register X_d .
- 15 In step 915 $T_2 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register Z_d . In step 916 $T_3 + T_4$ is calculated. Here $X_{d+1}(X_{dx} - Z_d)$ is stored in the register T_3 , $X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)$ is
- 20 stored in the register T_4 , and therefore $Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) + X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 917 $T_3 - T_4$ is calculated. Here $Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d)$ is stored in the register T_3 , $X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)$ is stored in the register T_4 , and therefore $Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) -$
- 25 $X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 918 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here $(X_d x - Z_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_1 , $Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) + X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)$ is stored in the register T_2 , and therefore

$\{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) + X_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)\}(X_d x - Z_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 919 $T_1 \times T_3$ is calculated. Here $\{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) + X_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)\}(X_d x - Z_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_1 , $Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) - X_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)$ is

5 stored in the register T_3 , and therefore $\{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) + X_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)\}\{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) - X_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)\}(X_d x - Z_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register Y_d .

Therefore, $\{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) + X_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)\}\{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) - X_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)\}(X_d x - Z_d)^2$ is stored in the register Y_d . In the step

10 914 $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)^2 X_d$ is stored in the register X_d , and is not updated, and the value is held. In the step

915 $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)^2$ is stored in the register Z_d , and is not updated thereafter, and the value is therefore held.

15 A reason why all values in the projective coordinate (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) of the scalar-multiplied point are recovered from $x, y, X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}$ given by the aforementioned procedure is as follows. The point $(d+1)P$ is a point obtained by adding the point P to the point dP ,

20 and the point $(d-1)P$ is a point obtained by subtracting the point P from the point dP . Assignment to the addition formulae in the affine coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve results in Equations 6, 7. When the opposite sides are individually subjected

25 to subtraction, Equation 8 is obtained. Therefore, Equation 9 results. Here, $x_d = X_d / Z_d$, $x_{d+1} = X_{d+1} / Z_{d+1}$, $x_{d-1} = X_{d-1} / Z_{d-1}$. The value is assigned and thereby converted to the value of the projective coordinate.

Then, Equation 10 is obtained.

The addition formulae in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve are Equations 11 and 12. Here, X_m and Z_m are X-coordinate and Z-coordinate in the projective coordinate of the m-multiplied point mP of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_n and Z_n are X-coordinate and Z-coordinate in the projective coordinate of the n-multiplied point nP of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{m-n} and Z_{m-n} are X-coordinate and Z-coordinate in the projective coordinate of the (m-n)-multiplied point (m-n)P of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{m+n} and Z_{m+n} are X-coordinate and Z-coordinate in the projective coordinate of the (m+n)-multiplied point (m+n)P of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and m, n are positive integers satisfying $m > n$. In the equation when $X_m/Z_m = x_m$, $X_n/Z_n = x_n$, $X_{m-n}/Z_{m-n} = x_{m-n}$ are unchanged, $X_{m+n}/Z_{m+n} = x_{m+n}$ is also unchanged. Therefore, this functions well as the formula in the projective coordinate. On the other hand, for Equations 14, 15, when $X_m/Z_m = x_m$, $X_n/Z_n = x_n$, $X_{m+n}/Z_{m+n} = x_{m+n}$ are unchanged in this equation, X'_{m-n}/Z'_{m-n} is also unchanged. Moreover, since $X'_{m-n}/Z'_{m-n} = X_{m-n}/Z_{m-n} = x_{m-n}$ is satisfied, X'_{m-n} , Z'_{m-n} may be taken as the projective coordinate of x_{m-n} . When $m=d$, $n=1$ are set, the above formula is used, X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} are deleted from the equation of y_d , and $X_1=x$, $Z_1=1$ are set, Equation 15 is obtained. Although $x_d = X_d/Z_d$, reduction to the

denominator common with that of y_d is performed, and Equation 16 is obtained.

As a result, the following equation is obtained.

$$5 \quad Y_d = \{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) + X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)\} \{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) - X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)\} (X_d x - Z_d)^2$$

... Equation 17

Then, X_d and Z_d may be updated by the following equations.

$$10 \quad \begin{aligned} & ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2 X_d \\ & \dots \text{Equation 18} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d \\ & \dots \text{Equation 19} \end{aligned}$$

Here, X_d , Y_d , Z_d are given by the processing of FIG. 9. Therefore, all the values of the projective coordinate
 15 (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) are recovered.

For the aforementioned procedure, in the steps 901, 903, 905, 906, 909, 910, 911, 912, 913, 914, 915, 918, and 919, the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is required. Moreover,
 20 the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is required in the steps 907 and 908. The computational amounts of addition and subtraction on the finite field are relatively small as compared with the computational amount of multiplication on the
 25 finite field and the computational amount of squaring,

and may therefore be ignored. Assuming that the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is M , and the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is S , the above procedure requires a computational amount of $13M+2S$. This is far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication is estimated to be a little less than about 1500 M . Assuming $S=0.8M$, the computational amount of coordinate recovering is 14.6 M , and far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. Therefore, it is indicated that the coordinate can efficiently be recovered.

Additionally, even when the above procedure is not taken, the values of X_d , Y_d , Z_d given by the above equation can be calculated, and the values of X_d , Y_d , Z_d can then be recovered. Moreover, the values of X_d , Y_d , Z_d are selected so that x_d , y_d take the values given by the aforementioned equations, the values can be calculated, and then X_d , Y_d , Z_d can be recovered. In this case, the computational amount required for recovering generally increases. Furthermore, when the value of B as the parameter of the elliptic curve is set to be small, the computational amount of multiplication in the step 913 can be reduced.

An algorithm which outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1}

from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve will next be described.

The fast scalar multiplication method of the first embodiment is used as the fast scalar multiplication method of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202 of the second embodiment. Thereby, as the algorithm which outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, a fast algorithm is achieved. Additionally, instead of using the aforementioned algorithm in the fast scalar multiplication unit 202, another algorithm may be used as long as the algorithm outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve at high speed.

The computational amount required for recovering the coordinate of the coordinate recovering unit 203 in the scalar multiplication unit 103 is $13M+2S$, and this is far small as compared with the computational amount of $(9.2k-4.6)M$ necessary for fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. Therefore, the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is substantially equal to the computational amount necessary for the fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit. Assuming $S=0.8M$, the computational amount can be estimated to be about $(9.2k+10)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the

computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication is 1482 M. The Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve, the scalar multiplication method is used in which the window method and the
5 mixed coordinates mainly including the Jacobian coordinates are used, and the scalar-multiplied point is outputted as the Jacobian coordinates. In this case, the required computational amount is about 1600 M, and as compared with this, the required computa-
10 tional amount is reduced.

In a third embodiment, the scalar multiplication unit 103 calculates and outputs a scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto as a point of the affine coordinates in
15 the Montgomery-form elliptic curve from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. The scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve are inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103 and then received by the
20 fast scalar multiplication unit 202. The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 calculates X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the
25 coordinate of the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ represented by the projective coordinates, and X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} in the coordinate of the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve $(d-1)P=$

($X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1}$) represented by the projective coordinates from the received scalar value d and the given point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and gives the information together with the inputted point $P=(x, y)$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates to the coordinate recovering unit 203. The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers coordinate x_d , and y_d of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve from the given coordinate values $X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}, X_{d-1}, Z_{d-1}, x$ and y . The scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the coordinate completely given thereto in the affine coordinates as the calculation output.

A processing of the coordinate recovering unit which outputs x_d, y_d from the given coordinate $x, y, X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}, X_{d-1}, Z_{d-1}$ will next be described with reference to FIG. 12.

The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ represented by the projective coordinates, X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} in the coordinate of the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve $(d-1)P=(X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1})$ represented by the projective coordinates,

and (x,y) as representation of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the affine coordinates inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d,y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto in the affine coordinates in the following procedure. Here, the affine coordinate of the inputted point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x,y) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_1,Y_1,Z_1) . Assuming that the inputted scalar value is d , the affine coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point dP in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_d,y_d) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_d,Y_d,Z_d) . The affine coordinate of the point $(d-1)P$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_{d-1},y_{d-1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by $(X_{d-1},Y_{d-1},Z_{d-1})$. The affine coordinate of the point $(d+1)P$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_{d+1},y_{d+1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by $(X_{d+1},Y_{d+1},Z_{d+1})$.

In step 1201 $X_{d-1} \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_1 . In step 1202 $Z_{d-1} \times X_{d+1}$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_2 . In step 1203 $T_1 - T_2$ is calculated. Here, $X_{d-1}Z_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_1 , $Z_{d-1}X_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1}$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 1204 $Z_d \times x$ is calculated, and

stored in the register T_2 . In step 1205 $X_d - T_2$ is calculated. Here, $Z_d x$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $X_d - xZ_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1206 a square of T_2 is calculated. Here, $(X_d - xZ_d)$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1207 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, $X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_1 , $(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and therefore $(X_d - xZ_d)^2 (X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 1208 $4B \times y$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1209 $T_2 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, $4By$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $4ByZ_{d+1}$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1210 $T_2 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, $4ByZ_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $4ByZ_{d-1}Z_{d+1}$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1211 $T_2 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1212 $T_2 \times X_d$ is calculated. Here, $4ByZ_{d-1}Z_{d+1}Z_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dX_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 1213 $T_2 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1214 the inverse element of the register T_2 is calculated.

Here, $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and therefore $1/4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1215 $T_2 \times T_3$ is calculated. Here, $1/4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dX_d$ is stored in the register T_3 , and therefore $(4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dX_d) / (4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d)$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register x_d . In step 1216 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, $(X_d - xZ_d)^2 (X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})$ is stored in the register T_1 , $1/4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and therefore $(X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1}) (X_d - xZ_d)^2 / 4ByZ_{d-1}Z_{d+1}Z_d^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register y_d . Therefore, $(X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1}) (X_d - Z_d x)^2 / 4ByZ_{d-1}Z_{d+1}Z_d^2$ is stored in the register y_d . In the step 1215 $(4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dX_d) / (4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d)$ is stored in the register x_d , and is not updated thereafter, and therefore the value is held.

A reason why all values in the affine coordinate (x_d, y_d) of the scalar-multiplied point in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve are recovered from $x, y, X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}, X_{d-1}, Z_{d-1}$ given by the aforementioned procedure is as follows. The point $(d+1)P$ is a point obtained by adding the point P to the point dP , and the point $(d-1)P$ is a point obtained by subtracting the point P from the point dP .

Assignment to the addition formulae in the affine coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve results in Equations 6, 7. When the opposite sides are individually subjected to subtraction,

Equation 8 is obtained. Therefore, Equation 9 results. Here, $x_d = X_d/Z_d$, $x_{d+1} = X_{d+1}/Z_{d+1}$, $x_{d-1} = X_{d-1}/Z_{d-1}$. The value is assigned and thereby converted to the value of the projective coordinate. Then, Equation 10 is obtained.

5 Although $x_d = X_d/Z_d$, reduction to the denominator common with that of y_d is performed for the purpose of reducing the frequency of inversion, and the following equation is obtained.

$$x_d = \frac{4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dX_d}{4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d}$$

10 ... Equation 20

Here, x_d , y_d are given by the processing shown in FIG. 12. Therefore, all the values of the affine coordinate (x_d, y_d) are recovered.

For the aforementioned procedure, in the
15 steps 1201, 1202, 1204, 1207, 1208, 1209, 1210, 1211, 1212, 1213, 1215, and 1216, the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is required. Moreover, the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is required in the step 1206. Moreover,
20 the computational amount of inversion on the finite field is required in the step 1214. The computational amounts of addition and subtraction on the finite field are relatively small as compared with the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field and the
25 computational amounts of squaring and inversion, and may be ignored. Assuming that the computational amount

of multiplication on the finite field is M , the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is S , and the computational amount of inversion on the finite field is I , the above procedure requires a
5 computational amount of $12M+S+I$. This is very small as compared with the computational amount of fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication is estimated to be a little
10 less than about 1500 M . Assuming $S=0.8M$, $I=40M$, the computational amount of coordinate recovering is 52.8 M , and this is very small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. Therefore, it is indicated that the coordinate can
15 efficiently be recovered.

Additionally, even when the above procedure is not taken, the values of x_d , y_d given by the above equation can be calculated, and the values of x_d , y_d can then be recovered. In this case, the computational
20 amount required for recovering generally increases. Furthermore, when the value of B as the parameter of the elliptic curve is set to be small, the computational amount of multiplication in the step 1208 can be reduced.

25 A processing of the fast scalar multiplication unit which outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , X_{d-1} , Z_{d-1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve will next be described with

reference to FIG. 5.

The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 inputs the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and outputs X_d and Z_d in the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinate in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinate, and X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} in the point $(d-1)P=(X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinate by the following procedure. In step 501, the initial value 1 is assigned to the variable I. The doubled point 2P of the point P is calculated in step 502. Here, the point P is represented as $(x, y, 1)$ in the projective coordinate, and the formula of doubling in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is used to calculate the doubled point 2P. In step 503, the point P on the elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103 and the point 2P obtained in the step 502 are stored as a set of points $(P, 2P)$. Here, the points P and 2P are represented by the projective coordinate. It is judged in step 504 whether or not the variable I agrees with the bit length of the scalar value d. With agreement, $m=d$ is satisfied, and the flow goes to step 514. With disagreement, the flow goes to step 505. The variable

I is increased by 1 in the step 505. It is judged in step 506 whether the value of an I-th bit of the scalar value is 0 or 1. When the value of the bit is 0, the flow goes to the step 507. When the value of the bit is 1, the flow goes to step 510. In step 507, addition $mP + (m+1)P$ of points mP and $(m+1)P$ is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinate, and the point $(2m+1)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 508. Here, the addition $mP + (m+1)P$ is calculated using the addition formula in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In step 508, doubling $2(mP)$ of the point mP is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinate, and the point $2mP$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 509. Here, the doubling $2(mP)$ is calculated using the formula of doubling in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 509, the point $2mP$ obtained in the step 508 and the point $(2m+1)P$ obtained in the step 507 are stored as the set of points $(2mP, (2m+1)P)$ instead of the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow returns to the step 504. Here, the points $2mP$, $(2m+1)P$, mP , and $(m+1)P$ are all represented in the projective coordinates. In step 510, addition $mP + (m+1)P$ of the points mP , $(m+1)P$ is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and the point $(2m+1)P$ is

calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 511. Here, the addition $mP + (m+1)P$ is calculated using the addition formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 511,

5 doubling $2((m+1)P)$ of the point $(m+1)P$ is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and the point $(2m+2)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 512. Here, the doubling $2((m+1)P)$ is calculated using the

10 formula of doubling in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 512, the point $(2m+1)P$ obtained in the step 510 and the point $(2m+2)P$ obtained in the step 511 are stored as the set of points $((2m+1)P, (2m+2)P)$ instead of the set

15 of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow returns to the step 504. Here, the points $(2m+1)P$, $(2m+2)P$, mP , and $(m+1)P$ are all represented in the projective coordinates. In step 514, from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates,

20 X-coordinate X_{m-1} and Z-coordinate Z_{m-1} in the projective coordinates of the point $(m-1)P$ are obtained as X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} . Thereafter, the flow goes to step 513. In the step 513, X_m and Z_m are obtained as X_d and Z_d from the point $mP = (X_m, Y_m, Z_m)$ represented by the projective

25 coordinates, X_{m+1} and Z_{m+1} are obtained as X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} from the point $(m+1)P = (X_{m+1}, Y_{m+1}, Z_{m+1})$ represented by the projective coordinates, and these are outputted together with X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} . Here, Y_m and Y_{m+1} are not

obtained, because Y-coordinate cannot be obtained by the addition and doubling formulae in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. Moreover, by the aforementioned procedure, m and the scalar value d have an equal bit length and further have the same pattern of the bit, and are therefore equal. Moreover, when $(m-1)P$ is obtained in the step 514, Equations 10, 11 may be used. When m is an odd number, a value of $((m-1)/2)P$ is separately held in the step 512, and $(m-1)P$ may be obtained from the value by the formula of doubling of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve.

The computational amount of the addition formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$ with $Z_1=1$. Here, M is the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field, and S is the computational amount of squaring on the finite field. The computational amount of the formula of doubling in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$. When the value of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 0, the computational amount of addition in the step 507, and the computational amount of doubling in the step 508 are required. That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. When the value of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 1, the computational amount of addition in the step 510, and the computational amount of doubling in the step 511 are required.

That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required.

In any case, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. The number of repetitions of the steps 504, 505, 506, 507, 508, 509, or the steps 504, 505, 506, 510, 511, 512 is (bit length of the scalar value d)-1.

Therefore, in consideration of the computational amount of doubling in the step 502, and the computational amount necessary for calculating $(m-1)P$ in the step 514, the entire computational amount is $(6M+4S)k+M$.

Here, k is the bit length of the scalar value d . In general, since the computational amount S is estimated to be of the order of $S=0.8M$, the entire computational amount is approximately $(9.2k+1)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount of algorithm of the aforementioned procedure is about 1473 M . The computational amount per bit of the scalar value d is about 9.2 M . In A. Miyaji, T. Ono, H. Cohen, Efficient elliptic curve exponentiation using mixed coordinates, Advances in Cryptology Proceedings of ASIACRYPT'98, LNCS 1514 (1998) pp.51-65, the scalar multiplication method using the window method and mixed coordinates mainly including Jacobian coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is described as the fast scalar multiplication method. In this case, the computational amount per bit of the scalar value is estimated to be about 10 M . For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount of

the scalar multiplication method is about 1600 M.

Therefore, the algorithm of the aforementioned procedure can be said to have a small computational amount and high speed.

5 Additionally, instead of using the aforementioned algorithm in the fast scalar multiplication unit 202, another algorithm may be used as long as the algorithm outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve
10 at high speed.

 The computational amount required for recovering the coordinate of the coordinate recovering unit 203 in the scalar multiplication unit 103 is $12M+S+I$, and this is far small as compared with the
15 computational amount of $(9.2k+1)M$ necessary for fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. Therefore, the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is substantially equal to the
20 computational amount necessary for the fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit. Assuming $I=40M$, $S=0.8M$, the computational amount can be estimated to be about $(9.2k+53.8)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the
25 computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication is about 1526 M. The Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve, the scalar multiplication method is used in which the window

10

10

Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates to the coordinate recovering unit 203. The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers coordinates X_d , Y_d , and Z_d of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve from the given coordinate values X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , X_{d-1} , Z_{d-1} , x and y . The scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar-multiplied point (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) with the coordinate completely given thereto in the projective coordinates as the calculation result.

A processing of the coordinate recovering unit which outputs X_d , Y_d , Z_d from the given coordinates x , y , X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , X_{d-1} , Z_{d-1} will next be described with reference to FIG. 13.

The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates, X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} in the coordinate of the point $(d-1)P=(X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates, and (x, y) as representation of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103 in the affine coordinates, and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (X_d, Y_d, Z_d)

with the complete coordinate given thereto in the projective coordinates in the following procedure. Here, the affine coordinate of the inputted point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by

5 (x, y) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_1, Y_1, Z_1) . Assuming that the inputted scalar value is d , the affine coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point dP in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_d, y_d) , and the projective

10 coordinate thereof is represented by (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) . The affine coordinate of the point $(d-1)P$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by

(x_{d-1}, y_{d-1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by $(X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1})$. The affine coordinate of

15 the point $(d+1)P$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_{d+1}, y_{d+1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by $(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$.

In step 1301 $X_{d-1} \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_1 . In step 1302 $Z_{d-1} \times X_{d+1}$ is calculated,

20 and stored in the register T_2 . In step 1303 $T_1 - T_2$ is calculated. Here, $X_{d-1} Z_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_1 , $Z_{d-1} X_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $X_{d-1} Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1} X_{d+1}$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 1304 $Z_d \times x$ is calculated, and

25 stored in the register T_2 . In step 1305 $X_d - T_2$ is calculated. Here, $Z_d x$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $X_d - x Z_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1306 a square of T_2 is

calculated. Here, $X_d - xZ_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1307 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, $X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1}$ is stored in the

5 register T_1 , $(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and therefore $(X_d - xZ_d)^2(X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register Y_d . In step 1308 $4B \times y$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1309 $T_2 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, $4By$ is stored

10 in the register T_2 , and $4ByZ_{d+1}$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1310 $T_2 \times Z_{d-1}$ is calculated. Here, $4ByZ_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1311 $T_2 \times Z_d$

15 is calculated. Here, $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1312 $T_2 \times X_d$ is calculated. Here, $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dX_d$ is therefore calculated.

20 The result is stored in the register X_d . In step 1313 $T_2 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in Z_d . Therefore, $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$ is stored in Z_d . In the step 1307 $(X_d - xZ_d)^2(X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})$

25 is stored in the register Y_d , and is not updated thereafter, and therefore the value is held.

A reason why all values in the projective coordinate (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) of the scalar-multiplied point are

recovered from $x, y, X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}, X_{d-1}, Z_{d-1}$ given by the aforementioned procedure is as follows. The point $(d+1)P$ is a point obtained by adding the point P to the point dP , and the point $(d-1)P$ is a point obtained by subtracting the point P from the point dP . Thereby, Equation 7 can be obtained. The coordinate recovering unit 203 outputs (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) as the complete coordinate represented by the projective coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point.

Assignment to the addition formulae in the affine coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve results in Equations 6, 7. When the opposite sides are individually subjected to subtraction, Equation 8 is obtained. Therefore, Equation 9 results. Here, $x_d = X_d/Z_d, x_{d+1} = X_{d+1}/Z_{d+1}, x_{d-1} = X_{d-1}/Z_{d-1}$. The value is assigned and thereby converted to the value of the projective coordinate. Then, Equation 7 is obtained.

Although $x_d = X_d/Z_d$, reduction to the denominator common with that of y_d is performed, and thereby Equation 20 results. As a result, the following equation is obtained.

$$Y_d = (X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})(X_d - Z_dx)^2$$

... Equation 21

Then, X_d and Z_d may be updated by the following equations, respectively.

$$4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dX_d$$

... Equation 22

$$4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$$

... Equation 23

5 Here, X_d , Y_d , Z_d are given by the processing of FIG. 13. Therefore, all the values of the projective coordinate (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) are recovered.

For the aforementioned procedure, in the steps 1301, 1302, 1304, 1307, 1308, 1309, 1310, 1311,
 10 1312, and 1313, the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is required. Moreover, the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is required in the step 1306. The computational amount of subtraction on the finite field is relatively small as
 15 compared with the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field and the computational amount of squaring, and may therefore be ignored. Assuming that the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is M , and the computational amount of
 20 squaring on the finite field is S , the above procedure requires a computational amount of $10M+S$. This is far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational
 25 amount of the fast scalar multiplication is estimated to be a little less than about 1500 M . Assuming $S=0.8M$, the computational amount of coordinate

recovering is 10.8 M, and far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. Therefore, it is indicated that the coordinate can efficiently be recovered.

5 Additionally, even when the above procedure is not taken, the values of X_d , Y_d , Z_d given by the above equation can be calculated, and the values of X_d , Y_d , Z_d can then be recovered. Moreover, the values of X_d , Y_d , Z_d are selected so that x_d , y_d take the values given by
10 the aforementioned equations, the values can be calculated, and then X_d , Y_d , Z_d can be recovered. In this case, the computational amount required for recovering generally increases. Furthermore, when the value of B as the parameter of the elliptic curve is
15 set to be small, the computational amount of multiplication in the step 1308 can be reduced.

 An algorithm which outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , X_{d-1} , Z_{d-1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve will next be described.

20 The fast scalar multiplication method of the third embodiment is used as the fast scalar multiplication method of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202 of the fourth embodiment. Thereby, as the algorithm which outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , X_{d-1} , Z_{d-1} from
25 the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, the fast algorithm is achieved. Additionally, instead of using the aforementioned algorithm in the fast scalar multiplication unit 202,

another algorithm may be used as long as the algorithm outputs $X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}, X_{d-1}, Z_{d-1}$ from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve at high speed.

5 The computational amount required for recovering the coordinate of the coordinate recovering unit 203 in the scalar multiplication unit 103 is $10M+S$, and this is far small as compared with the computational amount of $(9.2k+1)M$ necessary for fast
10 scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. Therefore, the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is substantially equal to the computational amount necessary for the fast scalar
15 multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit. Assuming $S=0.8M$, the computational amount can be estimated to be about $(9.2k+11.8)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount necessary for the scalar multipli-
20 cation is 1484 M. The Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve, the scalar multipli- cation method is used in which the window method and the mixed coordinates mainly including the Jacobian coordinates are used, and the scalar-multiplied point
25 is outputted as the Jacobian coordinates. In this case, the required computational amount is about 1600 M, and as compared with this, the required computational amount is reduced.

In a fifth embodiment, the scalar multiplication unit 103 calculates and outputs a scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto as a point of the affine coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. The scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve are inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103 and then received by the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 calculates x_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, x_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(x_{d+1}, y_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates, and x_{d-1} in the coordinate of the point $(d-1)P=(x_{d-1}, y_{d-1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates from the received scalar value d and the given point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and gives the information together with the inputted point $P=(x, y)$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates to the coordinate recovering unit 203. The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers coordinates y_d of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve from the given coordinate values x_d, x_{d+1}, x_{d-1}, x

and y . The scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the coordinate completely given thereto in the affine coordinates as the calculation result.

5 A processing of the coordinate recovering unit which outputs x_d, y_d from the given coordinates x, y, x_{d+1}, x_{d-1} will next be described with reference to FIG. 26.

 The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs x_d
 10 in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, x_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(x_{d+1}, y_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates,
 15 x_{d-1} in the coordinate of the point $(d-1)P=(x_{d-1}, y_{d-1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates, and (x, y) as representation of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103 in the affine
 20 coordinates, and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto in the affine coordinates in the following procedure.

 In step 2601 $x_d - x$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_1 . In step 2602 a square of T_1 , that is,
 25 $(x_d - x)^2$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_1 . In step 2603 $x_{d-1} - x_{d+1}$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_2 . In step 2604 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, $(x_d - x)^2$ is stored in the register T_1 , $x_{d-1} - x_{d+1}$ is stored

in the register T_2 , and therefore $(x_d - x)^2(x_{d-1} - x_{d+1})$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 2605 $4Bxy$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_2 . In step 2606 an inverse element of T_2 is
5 calculated. Here, $4By$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $1/4By$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 2607 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, $(x_d - x)^2(x_{d-1} - x_{d+1})$ is stored in the register T_1 , $1/4By$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $(x_d - x)^2(x_{d-1} -$
10 $x_{d+1})/4By$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in register y_d . Therefore, $(x_d - x)^2(x_{d-1} - x_{d+1})/4By$ is stored in the register y_d . Since register x_d is not updated, the inputted value is held.

A reason why the y coordinate y_d of the
15 scalar-multiplied point is recovered by the aforementioned procedure is as follows. Additionally, the point $(d+1)P$ is a point obtained by adding the point P to the point dP , and the point $(d-1)P$ is a point obtained by subtracting the point P from the point dP .
20 Thereby, assignment to the addition formulae in the affine coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve results in Equations 6, 7.

When the opposite sides are individually subjected to subtraction, Equation 8 is obtained.
25 Therefore, Equation 9 results.

Here, x_d , y_d are given by the processing of FIG. 26. Therefore, all the values of the affine coordinate (x_d, y_d) are all recovered.

For the aforementioned procedure, in the steps 2604, 2605, and 2607, the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is required. Moreover, the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is required in the step 2602. Furthermore, the computational amount of inversion on the finite field is required in the step 2606. The computational amount of subtraction on the finite field is relatively small as compared with the computational amounts of multiplication on the finite field, squaring, and inversion, and may therefore be ignored. Assuming that the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is M , the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is S , and the computational amount of inversion on the finite field is I , the above procedure requires a computational amount of $3M+S+I$. This is far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication is estimated to be a little less than about 1500 M . Assuming $S=0.8M$ and $I=40M$, the computational amount of coordinate recovering is 43.8 M , and far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. Therefore, it is indicated that the coordinate can efficiently be recovered.

Additionally, even when the above procedure

is not taken, and when the value of the right side of the equation can be calculated, the value of y_d can be recovered. In this case, the computational amount required for recovering generally increases. Further-
 5 more, when the value of B as the parameter of the elliptic curve is set to be small, the computational amount of multiplication in the step 2605 can be reduced.

A processing of the fast scalar multiplication unit which outputs x_d , x_{d+1} , x_{d-1} from the scalar
 10 value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve will next be described with reference to FIG. 6.

The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 inputs the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic
 15 curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and outputs x_d in the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinate in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, x_{d+1} in the point $(d+1)P=(x_{d+1}, y_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by
 20 the affine coordinate, and x_{d-1} in the point $(d-1)P=(x_{d-1}, y_{d-1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinate by the following procedure. In step 601, the initial value 1 is assigned to the variable I . The doubled point $2P$ of
 25 the point P is calculated in step 602. Here, the point P is represented as $(x, y, 1)$ in the projective coordinate, and the formula of doubling in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is

used to calculate the doubled point $2P$. In step 603, the point P on the elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103 and the point $2P$ obtained in the step 602 are stored as a set of points
5 $(P, 2P)$. Here, the points P and $2P$ are represented by the projective coordinate. It is judged in step 604 whether or not the variable I agrees with the bit length of the scalar value d . With agreement, the flow goes to step 614. With disagreement, the flow goes to
10 step 605. The variable I is increased by 1 in the step 605. It is judged in step 606 whether the value of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 0 or 1. When the value of the bit is 0, the flow goes to the step 607. When the value of the bit is 1, the flow goes to step 610.
15 In step 607, addition $mP + (m+1)P$ of points mP and $(m+1)P$ is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinate, and the point $(2m+1)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 608. Here, the addition $mP + (m+1)P$ is calculated
20 using the addition formula in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In step 608, doubling $2(mP)$ of the point mP is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinate, and the point $2mP$ is calculated. There-
25 after, the flow goes to step 609. Here, the doubling $2(mP)$ is calculated using the formula of doubling in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 609, the point $2mP$

obtained in the step 608 and the point $(2m+1)P$ obtained in the step 607 are stored as the set of points $(2mP, (2m+1)P)$ instead of the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow returns to the step 604. Here,

5 the points $2mP, (2m+1)P, mP$, and $(m+1)P$ are all represented in the projective coordinates. In step 610, addition $mP+(m+1)P$ of the points $mP, (m+1)P$ is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and the

10 point $(2m+1)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 611. Here, the addition $mP+(m+1)P$ is calculated using the addition formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 611, doubling $2((m+1)P)$ of the point $(m+1)P$ is

15 performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and the point $(2m+2)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 612. Here, the doubling $2((m+1)P)$ is calculated using the formula of doubling in the projective

20 coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 612, the point $(2m+1)P$ obtained in the step 610 and the point $(2m+2)P$ obtained in the step 611 are stored as the set of points $((2m+1)P, (2m+2)P)$ instead of the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow

25 returns to the step 604. Here, the points $(2m+1)P, (2m+2)P, mP$, and $(m+1)P$ are all represented in the projective coordinates. In step 614, from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective

coordinates, X-coordinate X_{m-1} and Z-coordinate Z_{m-1} in the projective coordinates of the point $(m-1)P$ are obtained as X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} . Thereafter, the flow goes to step 615. In the step 615, X_m and Z_m are obtained as X_d and Z_d from the point $mP=(X_m, Y_m, Z_m)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and X_{m+1} and Z_{m+1} are obtained as X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} from the point $(m+1)P=(X_{m+1}, Y_{m+1}, Z_{m+1})$ represented by the projective coordinates. Here, Y_m and Y_{m+1} are not obtained, because Y-coordinate cannot be obtained by the addition and doubling formulae in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. From X_{d-1} , Z_{d-1} , X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , and Z_{d+1} , x_{d-1} , x_d , x_{d+1} are obtained as follows.

$$x_{d-1} = X_{d-1}Z_dZ_{d+1}/Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_{d+1}$$

15 ... Equation 24

$$x_d = Z_{d-1}X_dZ_{d+1}/Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_{d+1}$$

 ... Equation 25

$$x_{d+1} = Z_{d-1}Z_dX_{d+1}/Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_{d+1}$$

 ... Equation 26

20 Thereafter, the flow goes to step 613. In the step 613, x_{d-1} , x_d , x_{d+1} are outputted. In the above procedure, m and scalar value d are equal in the bit length and bit pattern, and are therefore equal. Moreover, when $(m-1)P$ is obtained in step 614, it may be obtained by Equations 13, 14. If m is an odd number, a value of $((m-1)/2)P$ is separately held in the step 612, and $(m-1)P$ may be obtained from the value by

the doubling formula of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve.

The computational amount of the addition formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$ with $Z_1=1$. Here, M is the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field, and S is the computational amount of squaring on the finite field. The computational amount of the formula of doubling in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$. When the value of the i -th bit of the scalar value is 0, the computational amount of addition in the step 607, and the computational amount of doubling in the step 608 are required. That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. When the value of the i -th bit of the scalar value is 1, the computational amount of addition in the step 610, and the computational amount of doubling in the step 611 are required. That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. In any case, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. The number of repetitions of the steps 604, 605, 606, 607, 608, 609, or the steps 604, 605, 606, 610, 611, 612 is (bit length of the scalar value d)-1. Therefore, in consideration of the computational amount of doubling in the step 602, the computational amount necessary for calculating $(m-1)P$ in the step 614, and the computational amount of transform to the affine coordinate, the entire computational amount is

($6M+4S$) $k+11M+I$. Here, k is the bit length of the scalar value d . In general, since the computational amount S is estimated to be of the order of $S=0.8 M$, and the computational amount I is estimated to be of the order of $I=40 M$, the entire computational amount is approximately $(9.2k+51)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount of algorithm of the aforementioned procedure is about 1523 M . The computational amount per bit of the scalar value d is about 9.2 M . In A. Miyaji, T. Ono, H. Cohen, Efficient elliptic curve exponentiation using mixed coordinates, Advances in Cryptology Proceedings of ASIACRYPT'98, LNCS 1514 (1998) pp.51-65, the scalar multiplication method using the window method and mixed coordinates mainly including Jacobian coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is described as the fast scalar multiplication method. In this case, the computational amount per bit of the scalar value is estimated to be about 10 M , and additionally the computational amount of the transform to the affine coordinates is required. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount of the scalar multiplication method is about 1650 M . Therefore, the algorithm of the aforementioned procedure can be said to have a small computational amount and high speed.

Additionally, instead of using the aforementioned algorithm in the fast scalar multiplication

unit 202, another algorithm may be used as long as the algorithm outputs x_d , x_{d+1} , x_{d-1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve at high speed.

5 The computational amount required for recovering the coordinate of the coordinate recovering unit 203 in the scalar multiplication unit 103 is $3M+S+I$, and this is far small as compared with the computational amount of $(9.2k+51)M$ necessary for fast
10 scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. Therefore, the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is substantially equal to the computational amount necessary for the fast scalar
15 multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit. Assuming $S=0.8M$ and $I=40M$, the computational amount can be estimated to be about $(9.2k+94.8)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount necessary for the scalar multipli-
20 cation is about 1567 M. The Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve, the scalar multiplication method is used in which the window method and the mixed coordinates mainly including the Jacobian coordinates are used, and the scalar-
25 multiplied point is outputted as the affine coordinates. In this case, the required computational amount is about 1640 M, and as compared with this, the required computational amount is reduced.

In a sixth embodiment, the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve. That is, the elliptic curve for use in input/output of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. Additionally, as the elliptic curve used in internal calculation of the scalar multiplication unit 103, the Montgomery-form elliptic curve to which the given Weierstrass-form elliptic curve can be transformed may be used. The scalar multiplication unit 103 calculates a scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto as the point of the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and received by the scalar multiplication unit 202. The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 calculates X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates, and X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} in the coordinate of the point $(d-1)P=(X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates from the received scalar value d and the given point P on the

Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, and gives the information together with the inputted point $P=(x,y)$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates to the coordinate recovering unit

5 203. The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers coordinates x_d and y_d of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the given coordinate values $X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}, X_{d-1}, Z_{d-1}, x$ and y .

10 The scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the coordinate completely given thereto in the affine coordinates as the calculation result.

A processing of the coordinate recovering unit which outputs x_d, y_d from the given coordinates $x, y, X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}, X_{d-1}, Z_{d-1}$ will next be described with reference to FIG. 14.

The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point

20 $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates, X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} in the coordinate of

25 the point $(d-1)P=(X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates, and (x,y) as representation of the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve inputted into the

scalar multiplication unit 103 in the affine coordinates, and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto in the affine coordinates in the following procedure. Here,

5 the affine coordinate of the inputted point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is represented by (x, y) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_1, Y_1, Z_1) . Assuming that the inputted scalar value is d, the affine coordinate of the scalar-

10 multiplied point dP in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_d, y_d) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) . The affine coordinate of the point $(d-1)P$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is represented by

15 (x_{d-1}, y_{d-1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by $(X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1})$. The affine coordinate of the point $(d+1)P$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_{d+1}, y_{d+1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by $(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$.

20 In step 1401 $X_{d-1} \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_1 . In step 1402 $Z_{d-1} \times X_{d+1}$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_2 . In step 1403 $T_1 - T_2$ is calculated. Here, $X_{d-1} Z_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_1 , $Z_{d-1} X_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $X_{d-1} Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1} X_{d+1}$ is

25 therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 1404 $Z_d \times x$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_2 . In step 1405 $X_d - T_2$ is calculated. Here, $Z_d x$ is stored in the register T_2 , and

In step 1415 $T_2 \times T_3$ is calculated. Here, $1/4yZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $4yZ_{d-1}Z_{d+1}Z_dX_d$ is stored in the register T_3 . Therefore, $(4yZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dX_d)/(4yZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d)$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register x_d .

5 In step 1416 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, the register T_1 stores $(X_d - xZ_d)^2(X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})$ and the register T_2 stores $1/4yZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$. Therefore, $(X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})(X_d - Z_dx)^2/4yZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_d^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register y_d . Therefore, the register y_d stores $(X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})(X_d - Z_dx)^2/4yZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_d^2$.

10 $Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})(X_d - Z_dx)^2/4yZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_d^2$. In step 1415 $(4yZ_{d-1}Z_{d+1}Z_dX_d)/(4yZ_{d-1}Z_{d+1}Z_dZ_d)$ is stored in the register x_d , and is not updated thereafter, and therefore the value is held.

A reason why all values in the affine coordinate (x_d, y_d) of the scalar-multiplied point are recovered from $x, y, X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}, X_{d-1}, Z_{d-1}$ given by

15 the aforementioned procedure is as follows. The point $(d+1)P$ is a point obtained by adding the point P to the point dP , and the point $(d-1)P$ is a point obtained by subtracting the point P from the point dP . Assignment

20 to addition formulae in the affine coordinates of the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve results in the following equations.

$$(x + x_d + x_{d+1})(x_d - x)^2 = (y_d - y)^2$$

... Equation 27

25 $(x + x_d + x_{d-1})(x_d - x)^2 = (y_d + y)^2$

... Equation 28

When opposite sides are individually subjected to

subtraction, the following equation is obtained.

$$(x_{d-1} - x_{d+1})(x_d - x)^2 = 4y_d y$$

... Equation 29

Therefore, the following results.

5
$$y_d = (x_{d-1} - x_{d+1})(x_d - x)^2 / 4y$$

... Equation 30

Here, $x_d = X_d/Z_d$, $x_{d+1} = X_{d+1}/Z_{d+1}$, $x_{d-1} = X_{d-1}/Z_{d-1}$. The value is assigned and thereby converted to a value of the projective coordinate. Then, the following equation is

10 obtained.

$$y_d = (X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})(X_d - Z_d x)^2 / 4yZ_{d-1}Z_{d+1}Z_d^2$$

... Equation 31

Although $x_d = X_d/Z_d$, reduction to a denominator common with that of y_d is performed for a purpose of reducing a

15 frequency of inversion, and the following equation is obtained.

$$x_d = \frac{4yZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dX_d}{4yZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d}$$

... Equation 32

Here, x_d , y_d are given by the processing of FIG. 14.

20 Therefore, all the values of the affine coordinate (x_d, y_d) are recovered.

For the aforementioned procedure, in the

steps 1401, 1402, 1404, 1407, 1409, 1410, 1411, 1412, 1413, 1415, and 1416, the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is required.

Moreover, in the multiplication in the step 1408, since
5 the value of the multiplicand is small as 4, the computational amount is relatively small as compared with the computational amount of usual multiplication, and may be ignored. Moreover, in the step 1406 the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is
10 required. Furthermore, in the step 1414, the computational amount of the inversion on the finite field is required. The computational amount of subtraction on the finite field is relatively small as compared with the computational amounts of multiplication on the
15 finite field, squaring, and inversion, and may therefore be ignored. Assuming that the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is M , the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is S , and the computational amount of inversion on the
20 finite field is I , the above procedure requires a computational amount of $11M+S+I$. This is very small as compared with the computational amount of fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational amount of the
25 fast scalar multiplication is estimated to be a little less than about 1500 M . Assuming $S=0.8 M$, $I=40 M$, the computational amount of coordinate recovering is 51.8 M , and this is very small as compared with the

computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. Therefore, it is indicated that the coordinate can efficiently be recovered.

Additionally, even when the above procedure
 5 is not taken, the values of x_d , y_d given by the above equation can be calculated, and the values of x_d , y_d can then be recovered. In this case, the computational amount necessary for the recovering generally increases.

10 A processing of the fast scalar multiplication unit which outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , X_{d-1} , Z_{d-1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve will next be described with reference to FIG. 7.

15 The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 inputs the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and outputs X_d and Z_d in the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinate in
 20 the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinate, and X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} in the point $(d-1)P=(X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by
 25 the projective coordinate by the following procedure. In step 716, the given point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is transformed to the point represented by the projective coordinates on the Montgomery-form

elliptic curve. This point is set anew as point P. In step 701, the initial value 1 is assigned to the variable I. A doubled point 2P of the point P is calculated in step 702. Here, the point P is

5 represented as $(x, y, 1)$ in the projective coordinate, and a formula of doubling in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is used to calculate the doubled point 2P. In step 703, the point P on the elliptic curve inputted into the scalar

10 multiplication unit 103 and the point 2P obtained in the step 702 are stored as a set of points $(P, 2P)$. Here, the points P and 2P are represented by the projective coordinate. It is judged in step 704 whether or not the variable I agrees with the bit

15 length of the scalar value d. With agreement, the flow goes to step 714. With disagreement, the flow goes to step 705. The variable I is increased by 1 in the step 705. It is judged in step 706 whether the value of the I-th bit of the scalar value is 0 or 1. When the value

20 of the bit is 0, the flow goes to the step 707. When the value of the bit is 1, the flow goes to step 710. In step 707, addition $mP + (m+1)P$ of points mP and $(m+1)P$ is performed from a set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinate, and a point

25 $(2m+1)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 708. Here, the addition $mP + (m+1)P$ is calculated using the addition formula in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In step 708,

25

outputted as X_d, Z_d from the point $mP=(X_m, Y_m, Z_m)$ represented by the projective coordinates on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, and X_{m+1} and Z_{m+1} are outputted as X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1} from the point $(m+1)P=(X_{m+1}, Y_{m+1}, Z_{m+1})$ represented by the projective coordinates on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. In the above procedure, m and scalar value d are equal in the bit length and bit pattern, and are therefore equal. Moreover, when $(m-1)P$ is obtained in step 714, it may be obtained by Equations 13, 14. If m is an odd number, a value of $((m-1)/2)P$ is separately held in the step 712, and $(m-1)P$ may be obtained from the value by the doubling formula of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve.

15 The computational amount of the addition formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$ with $Z_1=1$. Here, M is the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field, and S is the computational amount of squaring on the finite field. The computational amount of the formula of doubling in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$. When the value of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 0, the computational amount of addition in the step 707, and the computational amount of doubling in the step 708 are required. That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. When the value of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 1, the computational

amount of addition in the step 710, and the computational amount of doubling in the step 711 are required. That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. In any case, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is

5 required. The number of repetitions of the steps 704, 705, 706, 707, 708, 709, or the steps 704, 705, 706, 710, 711, 712 is (bit length of the scalar value d)-1. Therefore, in consideration of the computational amount of doubling in the step 702, the computational amount

10 necessary for transform to the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the step 716, and the computational amount of transform to the point on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the step 715, the entire computational amount is $(6M+4S)k+4M$. Here, k is

15 the bit length of the scalar value d . In general, since the computational amount S is estimated to be of the order of $S=0.8 M$, the entire computational amount is approximately $(9.2k+4)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the

20 computational amount of algorithm of the aforementioned procedure is about 1476 M . The computational amount per bit of the scalar value d is about 9.2 M . In A. Miyaji, T. Ono, H. Cohen, Efficient elliptic curve exponentiation using mixed coordinates, Advances in

25 Cryptology Proceedings of ASIACRYPT'98, LNCS 1514 (1998) pp.51-65, the scalar multiplication method using the window method and mixed coordinates mainly including Jacobian coordinates in the Weierstrass-form

elliptic curve is described as the fast scalar multiplication method. In this case, the computational amount per bit of the scalar value is estimated to be about 10 M. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount of the scalar multiplication method is about 1600 M. Therefore, the algorithm of the aforementioned procedure can be said to have a small computational amount and high speed.

10 Additionally, instead of using the aforementioned algorithm in the fast scalar multiplication unit 202, another algorithm may be used as long as the algorithm outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , X_{d-1} , Z_{d-1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve at high speed.

 The computational amount required for recovering the coordinate of the coordinate recovering unit 203 in the scalar multiplication unit 103 is $11M+S+I$, and this is far small as compared with the computational amount of $(9.2k+4)M$ necessary for fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. Therefore, the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is substantially equal to the computational amount necessary for the fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit. Assuming $I=40M$, and $S=0.8M$, the computational amount can be estimated to be about $(9.2k+55.8)M$. For

example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits
($k=160$), the computational amount necessary for the
scalar multiplication is about 1528 M. The
Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic
5 curve, the scalar multiplication method is used in
which the window method and the mixed coordinates
mainly including the Jacobian coordinates are used, and
the scalar-multiplied point is outputted as the affine
coordinates. In this case, the required computational
10 amount is about 1640 M, and as compared with this, the
required computational amount is reduced.

In a seventh embodiment, a Weierstrass-form
elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve. That is,
the elliptic curve for use in input/output of the
15 scalar multiplication unit 103 is the Weierstrass-form
elliptic curve. Additionally, as the elliptic curve
used in internal calculation of the scalar multipli-
cation unit 103, the Montgomery-form elliptic curve to
which the given Weierstrass-form elliptic curve can be
20 transformed may be used. The scalar multiplication
unit 103 calculates a scalar-multiplied point (X_d, Y_d, Z_d)
with the complete coordinate given thereto as the point
of the projective coordinates in the Weierstrass-form
elliptic curve from the scalar value d and the point P
25 on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The scalar
value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form
elliptic curve are inputted into the scalar multipli-
cation unit 103, and received by the scalar multipli-

5
10
15
20

25

The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates, X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} in the coordinate of the point $(d-1)P=(X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates, and (x, y) as representation of the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the affine coordinates inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto in the projective coordinates in the following procedure. Here, the affine coordinate of the inputted point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is represented by (x, y) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_1, Y_1, Z_1) . Assuming that the inputted scalar value is d , the affine coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point dP in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_d, y_d) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) . The affine coordinate of the point $(d-1)P$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_{d-1}, y_{d-1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by $(X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1})$. The affine coordinate of the point $(d+1)P$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve

is represented by (x_{d+1}, y_{d+1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by $(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$.

In step 1501 $X_{d-1} \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated, and stored in T_1 . In step 1502 $Z_{d-1} \times X_{d+1}$ is calculated, and stored
 5 in T_2 . In step 1503 $T_1 - T_2$ is calculated. Here, $X_{d-1} Z_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_1 , $Z_{d-1} X_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $X_{d-1} Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1} X_{d+1}$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in T_1 . In step 1504 $Z_d \times x$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_2 . In step 1505
 10 $X_d - T_2$ is calculated. Here, $Z_d \times x$ is stored in T_2 , and $X_d - x Z_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in T_2 . In step 1506 a square of T_2 is calculated. Here, $X_d - x Z_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $(X_d - x Z_d)^2$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in T_2 . In
 15 step 1507 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, $X_{d-1} Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1} X_{d+1}$ is stored in T_1 , $(X_d - x Z_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and therefore $(X_d - x Z_d)^2 (X_{d-1} Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1} X_{d+1})$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register Y_d . In step 1508 $4 \times y$ is calculated. The result is stored in T_2 . In step
 20 1509 $T_2 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, $4y$ is stored in T_2 , and $4y Z_{d+1}$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in T_2 . In step 1510 $T_2 \times Z_{d-1}$ is calculated. Here, $4y Z_{d+1}$ is stored in T_2 , and $4y Z_{d+1} Z_{d-1}$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in T_2 . In step 1511 $T_2 \times Z_d$ is calcu-
 25 lated. Here, $4y Z_{d+1} Z_{d-1}$ is stored in the T_2 , and $4y Z_{d+1} Z_{d-1} Z_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in T_2 . In step 1512 $T_2 \times X_d$ is calculated. Here, $4y Z_{d+1} Z_{d-1} Z_d$ is stored in T_2 , and $4y Z_{d+1} Z_{d-1} Z_d X_d$ is therefore

calculated. The result is stored in the register X_d .
 In step 1513 $T_2 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, $4yZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_d$ is
 stored in T_2 , and $4yZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$ is therefore calculated.
 The result is stored in Z_d . Therefore, $4yZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$ is
 5 stored in the register Z_d . In the step 1507
 $(X_d - xZ_d)^2 (X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})$ is stored in the register Y_d , and
 is not updated thereafter, and therefore the value is
 held. In the step 1512 $4yZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dX_d$ is stored in the
 register X_d , and is not updated thereafter, and there-
 10 fore the value is held.

A reason why all values in the projective
 coordinate (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) of the scalar-multiplied point in
 the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are recovered from
 $x, y, X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}, X_{d-1}, Z_{d-1}$ given by the afore-
 15 mentioned procedure is as follows. The point $(d+1)P$ is
 a point obtained by adding the point P to the point dP ,
 and the point $(d-1)P$ is a point obtained by subtracting
 the point P from the point dP . Assignment to addition
 formulae in the affine coordinates of the Weierstrass-
 20 form elliptic curve results in Equations 27, 28. When
 opposite sides are individually subjected to subtrac-
 tion, Equation 29 is obtained. Therefore, Equation 30
 results. Here, $x_d = X_d/Z_d$, $x_{d+1} = X_{d+1}/Z_{d+1}$, $x_{d-1} = X_{d-1}/Z_{d-1}$. The
 value is assigned and thereby converted to a value of
 25 the projective coordinate. Then, Equation 31 is
 obtained. Although $x_d = X_d/Z_d$, reduction to the
 denominator common with that of y_d is performed, and
 Equation 32 is obtained.

The following results.

$$Y_d = (X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})(X_d - Z_d x)^2$$

... Equation 33

Then, X_d and Z_d may be updated by the following.

5 $4yZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dX_d$

... Equation 34

$$4yZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$$

... Equation 35

The updating is shown above.

10 Here, X_d , Y_d , Z_d are given by the processing shown in FIG. 15. Therefore, all the values of the projective coordinate (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) are all recovered.

For the aforementioned procedure, in the steps 1501, 1505, 1504, 1507, 1509, 1510, 1511, 1512, 15 and 1513, the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is required.

Additionally, in the multiplication of the step 1508, since the value of the multiplicand is small as 4, the computational amount is relatively small as 20 compared with the computational amount of usual multiplication, and may therefore be ignored. Moreover, in the step 1506 the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is required. The computational amount of subtraction on the finite field is relatively small 25 as compared with the computational amounts of multiplication on the finite field, and squaring, and

may therefore be ignored. Assuming that the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is M , and the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is S , the above procedure requires a
5 computational amount of $9M+S$. This is very small as compared with the computational amount of fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication is estimated to be a little
10 less than about 1500 M . Assuming $S=0.8 M$, the computational amount of coordinate recovering is 9.8 M , and this is very small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. Therefore, it is indicated that the coordinate can efficiently be
15 recovered.

Additionally, even when the above procedure is not taken, the values of X_d, Y_d, Z_d given by the above equation can be calculated, and the values of X_d, Y_d, Z_d can be recovered. Moreover, the values of X_d, Y_d, Z_d are
20 selected so that x_d, y_d take the values given by the above equations, and the values can be calculated, then the X_d, Y_d, Z_d can be recovered. In these cases, the computational amount required for recovering generally increases.

25 The algorithm which outputs $X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}, X_{d-1}, Z_{d-1}$ from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve will next be described.

As the fast scalar multiplication method of

the scalar multiplication unit 202 of the seventh embodiment, the fast scalar multiplication method of the sixth embodiment is used. Thereby, as the algorithm which outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , X_{d-1} , Z_{d-1} from
5 the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, a fast algorithm can be achieved. Additionally, instead of using the aforementioned algorithm in the scalar multiplication unit 202, any algorithm may be used as long as the algorithm outputs
10 X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , X_{d-1} , Z_{d-1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve at high speed.

The computational amount required for recovering the coordinate of the coordinate recovering
15 unit 203 in the scalar multiplication unit 103 is $9M+S$, and this is far small as compared with the computational amount of $(9.2k+4)M$ necessary for fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. Therefore, the computational amount necessary for
20 the scalar multiplication of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is substantially equal to the computational amount necessary for the fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit. Assuming that $S=0.8 M$, the computational amount can be estimated to
25 be about $(9.2k+13.8)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication is about 1486 M. The Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as

the elliptic curve, the scalar multiplication method is used in which the window method and the mixed coordinates mainly including the Jacobian coordinates are used, and the scalar-multiplied point is outputted as
 5 the affine coordinates. In this case, the required computational amount is about 1600 M, and as compared with this, the required computational amount is reduced.

In an eighth embodiment, the Weierstrass-form
 10 elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve. That is, the elliptic curve for use in input/output of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. Additionally, as the elliptic curve used in internal calculation of the scalar multiplica-
 15 tion unit 103, the Montgomery-form elliptic curve to which the given Weierstrass-form elliptic curve can be transformed may be used. The scalar multiplication unit 103 calculates a scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto as the point
 20 of the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are inputted into the scalar multiplica-
 25 tion unit 103, and received by the scalar multiplication unit 202. The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 calculates x_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates

in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, x_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(x_{d+1},y_{d+1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates, and x_{d-1} in the coordinate of the point $(d-1)P=(x_{d-1},y_{d-1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates from the received scalar value d and the given point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, and gives the information together with the inputted point $P=(x,y)$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates to the coordinate recovering unit 203. The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers coordinate y_d of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d,y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the given coordinate values x_d, x_{d+1}, x_{d-1}, x and y . The scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d,y_d) with the coordinate completely given thereto in the affine coordinates as the calculation result.

A processing of the coordinate recovering unit which outputs x_d, y_d from the given coordinates $x, y, x_d, x_{d+1}, x_{d-1}$ will next be described with reference to FIG. 16.

The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs x_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d,y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, x_{d+1} in the coordinate

of the point $(d+1)P=(x_{d+1},y_{d+1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates, x_{d-1} in the coordinate of the point $(d-1)P=(x_{d-1},y_{d-1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates, and (x,y) as representation of the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the affine coordinates inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d,y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto in the affine coordinates in the following procedure.

In step 1601 x_d-x is calculated, and stored in T_1 . In step 1602 a square of T_1 , that is, $(x_d-x)^2$ is calculated, and stored in T_1 . In step 1603 $x_{d-1}-x_{d+1}$ is calculated, and stored in T_2 . In step 1604 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, $(x_d-x)^2$ is stored in T_1 , $x_{d-1}-x_{d+1}$ is stored in T_2 , and therefore $(x_d-x)^2(x_{d-1}-x_{d+1})$ is calculated. The result is stored in T_1 . In step 1605 $4xy$ is calculated, and stored in T_2 . In step 1606 the inverse element of T_2 is calculated. Here, $4y$ is stored in T_2 , and $1/4y$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1607 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, $(x_d-x)^2(x_{d-1}-x_{d+1})$ is stored in T_1 , $1/4y$ is stored in T_2 , and $(x_d-x)^2(x_{d-1}-x_{d+1})/4y$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register y_d . Therefore, $(x_d-x)^2(x_{d-1}-x_{d+1})/4y$ is stored in the register y_d . Since the register x_d is not updated, the inputted value is held.

A reason why the y-coordinate y_d of the scalar-multiplied point is recovered by the afore-

mentioned procedure is as follows. Additionally, the point $(d+1)P$ is a point obtained by adding the point P to the point dP , and the point $(d-1)P$ is a point obtained by subtracting the point P from the point dP .

5 Thereby, assignment to the addition formulae in the affine coordinates of the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve results in Equations 27, 28. When the opposite sides are individually subjected to subtraction, Equation 29 is obtained. Therefore, Equation 30
10 results. Here, x_d, y_d are given by the processing of FIG. 16. Therefore, all the values of the affine coordinate (x_d, y_d) are all recovered.

For the aforementioned procedure, in the steps 1604, and 1607, the computational amount of
15 multiplication on the finite field is required. Moreover, for the multiplication of the step 1605, since the value of the multiplicand is small as 4, the computational amount is relatively small as compared with the computational amount of the usual multiplica-
20 tion, and may therefore be ignored. Moreover, in the step 1602, the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is required. Furthermore, the computational amount of inversion on the finite field is required in the step 1606. The computational amount of
25 subtraction on the finite field is relatively small as compared with the computational amounts of multiplication on the finite field, squaring, and inversion, and may therefore be ignored. Assuming that the computa-

tional amount of multiplication on the finite field is M , the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is S , and the computational amount of inversion on the finite field is I , the above procedure requires
5 a computational amount of $2M+S+I$. This is far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication is estimated to be a
10 little less than about 1500 M . Assuming $S=0.8M$ and $I=40M$, the computational amount of coordinate recovering is 42.8 M , and far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. Therefore, it is indicated that the coordinate can
15 efficiently be recovered.

Additionally, even when the above procedure is not taken, and when the value of the right side of the equation can be calculated, the value of y_d can be recovered. In this case, the computational amount
20 required for recovering generally increases.

An algorithm which outputs x_d , x_{d+1} , x_{d-1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve will next be described with reference to FIG. 7.

25 The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 inputs the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and outputs x_d in the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$

represented by the affine coordinate in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, x_{d+1} in the point $(d+1)P=(x_{d+1},y_{d+1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinate, and x_{d-1} in the point $(d-1)P=(x_{d-1},y_{d-1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinate by the following procedure. In step 716, the given point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is transformed to the point represented by the projective coordinates on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. This point is set anew as point P . In step 701, the initial value 1 is assigned to the variable I . A doubled point $2P$ of the point P is calculated in step 702. Here, the point P is represented as $(x,y,1)$ in the projective coordinate, and a formula of doubling in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is used to calculate the doubled point $2P$. In step 703, the point P on the elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103 and the point $2P$ obtained in the step 702 are stored as a set of points $(P,2P)$. Here, the points P and $2P$ are represented by the projective coordinate. It is judged in step 704 whether or not the variable I agrees with the bit length of the scalar value d . With agreement, $m=d$ is satisfied and the flow goes to step 714. With disagreement, the flow goes to step 705. The variable I is increased by 1 in the step 705. It is judged in step 706 whether the value of the I -th bit of the

scalar value is 0 or 1. When the value of the bit is 0, the flow goes to the step 707. When the value of the bit is 1, the flow goes to step 710. In step 707, addition $mP + (m+1)P$ of points mP and $(m+1)P$ is performed

5 from a set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinate, and the point $(2m+1)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 708. Here, the addition $mP + (m+1)P$ is calculated using the addition formula in the projective coordinate of the

10 Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In step 708, doubling $2(mP)$ of the point mP is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinate, and the point $2mP$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 709. Here, the doubling

15 $2(mP)$ is calculated using the formula of doubling in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 709, the point $2mP$ obtained in the step 708 and the point $(2m+1)P$ obtained in the step 707 are stored as a set of points $(2mP,$

20 $(2m+1)P)$ instead of the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow returns to the step 704. Here, the points $2mP, (2m+1)P, mP,$ and $(m+1)P$ are all represented in the projective coordinates. In step

710, addition $mP + (m+1)P$ of the points $mP, (m+1)P$ is

25 performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and the point $(2m+1)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 711. Here, the addition $mP + (m+1)P$ is calcu-

lated using the addition formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 711, doubling $2((m+1)P)$ of the point $(m+1)P$ is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and a point $(2m+2)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 712. Here, the doubling $2((m+1)P)$ is calculated using the formula of doubling in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 712, the point $(2m+1)P$ obtained in the step 710 and the point $(2m+2)P$ obtained in the step 711 are stored as a set of points $((2m+1)P, (2m+2)P)$ instead of the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow returns to the step 704. Here, the points $(2m+1)P$, $(2m+2)P$, mP , and $(m+1)P$ are all represented in the projective coordinates. In step 714, from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates, X-coordinate X_{m-1} and Z-coordinate Z_{m-1} are obtained in the projective coordinates of the point $(m-1)P$. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 715. In the step 715, the point $(m-1)P$ in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is transformed to the point represented by the affine coordinates on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The x-coordinate of the point is set anew to x_{m-1} . With respect to the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, the points mP and $(m+1)P$ are transformed to points represented by the

affine coordinates on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The respective points are replaced as $mP=(x_m, y_m)$ and $(m+1)P=(x_{m+1}, y_{m+1})$. Here, since the Y-coordinate cannot be obtained by the addition and doubling formulae in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, y_m and y_{m+1} are not obtained. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 713. In the step 713, x-coordinate x_{m-1} of the point $(m-1)P$ represented by the affine coordinates on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is set to x_{d-1} , x_m is set to x_d from the point $mP=(x_m, y_m)$ represented by the projective coordinates on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, and x_{m+1} is outputted as x_{d+1} from the point $(m+1)P=(x_{m+1}, y_{m+1})$ represented by the affine coordinates on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. In the above procedure, m and scalar value d are equal in the bit length and bit pattern, and are therefore equal. Moreover, when $(m-1)P$ is obtained in step 714, it may be obtained by Equations 13, 14. If m is an odd number, a value of $((m-1)/2)P$ is separately held in the step 712, and $(m-1)P$ may be obtained from the value by the doubling formula of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve.

The computational amount of the addition formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$ with $Z_1=1$. Here, M is the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field, and S is the computational amount

of squaring on the finite field. The computational amount of the doubling formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$. When the value of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 0, the computational amount of addition in the step 707, and the computational amount of doubling in the step 708 are required. That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. When the value of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 1, the computational amount of addition in the step 710, and the computational amount of doubling in the step 711 are required. That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. In any case, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. The number of repetitions of the steps 704, 705, 706, 707, 708, 709, or the steps 704, 705, 706, 710, 711, 712 is (bit length of the scalar value d)-1. Therefore, in consideration of the computational amount of doubling in the step 702, the computational amount necessary for transform to the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the step 716, and the computational amount necessary for transform to the point on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the step 715, the entire computational amount is $(6M+4S)k+15M+I$. Here, k is the bit length of the scalar value d . In general, since the computational amount S is estimated to be of the order of $S=0.8 M$, and the computational amount of I is estimated to be of the order of $I=40 M$, the entire computational amount is approximately

(9.2k+55)M. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount of algorithm of the aforementioned procedure is about 1527 M. The computational amount per bit of the scalar value d is about 9.2 M. In A. Miyaji, T. Ono, H. Cohen, Efficient elliptic curve exponentiation using mixed coordinates, Advances in Cryptology Proceedings of ASIACRYPT'98, LNCS 1514 (1998) pp.51-65, the scalar multiplication method using the window method and mixed coordinates mainly including Jacobian coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is described as the fast scalar multiplication method. In this case, the computational amount per bit of the scalar value is estimated to be about 10 M. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount of the scalar multiplication method is about 1640 M. Therefore, the algorithm of the aforementioned procedure can be said to have a small computational amount and high speed.

20 Additionally, instead of using the aforementioned algorithm in the fast scalar multiplication unit 202, another algorithm may be used as long as the algorithm outputs x_d , x_{d+1} , x_{d-1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve at high speed.

 The computational amount required for recovering the coordinate of the coordinate recovering unit 203 in the scalar multiplication unit 103 is

2M+S+I, and this is far small as compared with the computational amount of (9.2k+55)M necessary for fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. Therefore, the computational amount

5 necessary for the scalar multiplication of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is substantially equal to the computational amount necessary for the fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit. Assuming I=40 M, and S=0.8 M, the computational amount

10 can be estimated to be about (9.2k+97.8)M. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits (k=160), the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication is about 1570 M. The Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic

15 curve, the scalar multiplication method is used in which the window method and the mixed coordinates mainly including the Jacobian coordinates are used, and the scalar-multiplied point is outputted as the affine coordinates. In this case, the required computational

20 amount is about 1640 M, and as compared with this, the required computational amount is reduced.

In a ninth embodiment, the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve for input/output, and the Montgomery-form elliptic curve to

25 which the given Weierstrass-form elliptic curve can be transformed is used for the internal calculation. The scalar multiplication unit 103 calculates and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the complete

coordinate given thereto as the point of the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The scalar value d and the point

5 P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and received by the scalar multiplication unit 202. The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 calculates X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$

10 represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates from the received scalar value d

15 and the given point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. Moreover, the inputted point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is transformed to the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve which can be transformed from the given Weierstrass-form elliptic

20 curve, and the point is set anew to $P=(x, y)$. The scalar multiplication unit 202 gives X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , x , and y to the coordinate recovering unit 203. The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers coordinate x_d and y_d of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$

25 represented by the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the given coordinate values X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , x , and y . The scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar-multiplied

point (x_d, y_d) with the coordinate completely given thereto in the affine coordinates as the calculation result.

A processing of the coordinate recovering unit which outputs x_d , y_d from the given coordinates x , y , X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} will next be described with reference to FIG. 17.

The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates, and (x, y) as representation of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the affine coordinates inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto in the affine coordinates in the following procedure. Here, the affine coordinate of the inputted point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x, y) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_1, Y_1, Z_1) . Assuming that the inputted scalar value is d , the affine coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point dP in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by $(x_d^{\text{Mon}}, y_d^{\text{Mon}})$, and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) . The affine coordinate of the point $(d-1)P$ on

the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by
 (x_{d-1}, y_{d-1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is
represented by $(X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1})$. The affine coordinate of
the point $(d+1)P$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve
5 is represented by (x_{d+1}, y_{d+1}) , and the projective coordi-
nate thereof is represented by $(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$.

In step 1701 $X_d x$ is calculated, and stored in
the register T_1 . In step 1702 $T_1 - Z_d$ is calculated.
Here, $X_d x$ is stored in the register T_1 , and $X_d x - Z_d$ is
10 therefore calculated. The result is stored in the
register T_1 . In step 1703 $Z_d x$ is calculated, and
stored in the register T_2 . In step 1704 $X_d - T_2$ is calcu-
lated. Here, $Z_d x$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $X_d -$
 $x Z_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in
15 the register T_2 . In step 1705 $X_{d+1} \times T_2$ is calculated.
Here, $X_d - x Z_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $X_{d+1} (X_d - x Z_d)$
is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the
register T_3 . In step 1706 the square of T_2 is calcu-
lated. Here, $(X_d - x Z_d)$ is stored in the register T_2 , and
20 $(X_d - x Z_d)^2$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored
in the register T_2 . In step 1707 $T_2 \times X_{d+1}$ is calculated.
Here, $(X_d - x Z_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $X_{d+1} (X_d -$
 $x Z_d)^2$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in
the register T_2 . In step 1708 $T_2 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated.
25 Here, $X_{d+1} (X_d - x Z_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and
 $Z_{d+1} X_{d+1} (X_d - x Z_d)^2$ is therefore calculated. The result is
stored in the register T_2 . In step 1709 $T_2 \times y$ is
calculated. Here, $Z_{d+1} X_{d+1} (X_d - x Z_d)^2$ is stored in the

register T_2 , and $yZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1710 $T_2 \times B$ is calculated. Here, $yZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is therefore

5 calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1711 $T_2 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1712 $T_2 \times X_d$ is calculated. Here,

10 $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d X_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_4 . In step 1713 $T_2 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d$ is therefore calcu-

15 lated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1714 the register $T_2 \times s$ is calculated. Here, $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and therefore $sByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1715 the inverse

20 element of T_2 is calculated. Here, $sByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d^2$ is stored in T_2 , and $1/sByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in T_2 . In step 1716 $T_2 \times T_4$ is calculated. Therefore, $1/sByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d X_d$ is stored

25 in the register T_4 , and therefore $(ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d X_d) / (sByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d^2)$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_4 . In step 1717 $T_4 + \alpha$ is calculated. Here, the register T_4 stores $(ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d -$

$xZ_d)^2 Z_d X_d) / (sByZ_{d+1} X_{d+1} (X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d^2)$, and Equation 36 is therefore calculated.

$$\frac{ByZ_{d+1} X_{d+1} Z_d (X_d - xZ_d)^2 X_d}{sByZ_{d+1} X_{d+1} Z_d (X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d} + \alpha$$

... Equation 36

- 5 The result is stored in the register x_d . In step 1718 $T_1 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, $X_d x - Z_d$ is stored in the register T_1 , and therefore $Z_{d+1} (X_d x - Z_d)$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_4 . In step 1719 a square of the register T_1 is calculated.
- 10 Here $(X_d x - Z_d)$ is stored in the register T_1 , and therefore $(X_d x - Z_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 1720 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here $(X_d x - Z_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_1 , $1/sByZ_{d+1} X_{d+1} (X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and therefore
- 15 $(X_d x - Z_d)^2 / sByZ_{d+1} X_{d+1} (X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1721 $T_3 + T_4$ is calculated. Here $X_{d+1} (X_d - xZ_d)$ is stored in the register T_3 , $Z_{d+1} (X_d x - Z_d)$ is stored in the register T_4 , and therefore $X_{d+1} (X_d - xZ_d) + Z_{d+1} (X_d x - Z_d)$ is calculated. The
- 20 result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 1722 $T_3 - T_4$ is calculated. Here $X_{d+1} (X_d - xZ_d)$ is stored in the register T_3 , and $Z_{d+1} (X_d x - Z_d)$ is stored in the register T_4 , and therefore $X_{d+1} (X_d - xZ_d) - Z_{d+1} (X_d x - Z_d)$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 1723
- 25 $T_1 \times T_3$ is calculated. Here $X_{d+1} (X_d - xZ_d) + Z_{d+1} (X_d x - Z_d)$ is

stored in the register T_1 , $X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d) - Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d)$ is stored in the register T_3 , and therefore $\{X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d) + Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d)\} \{X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d) - Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d)\}$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 1724 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here $\{X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d) + Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d)\} \{X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d) - Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d)\}$ is stored in the register T_1 , $(X_d x - Z_d)^2 / sByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and therefore the following is calculated.

$$\frac{\{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) + X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)\} \{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) - X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)\} (X_d x - Z_d)^2}{sByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d^2}$$

... Equation 37

10 The result is stored in y_d . Therefore, the value of Equation 37 is stored in the register y_d . The value of Equation 36 is stored in the register x_d , and is not updated thereafter, and the value is therefore held. As a result, all the values of the affine coordinate
15 (x_d, y_d) in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are recovered.

A reason why all values in the affine coordinate (x_d, y_d) of the scalar-multiplied point in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are recovered from x ,
20 y , X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} given by the aforementioned procedure is as follows. Additionally, point $(d+1)P$ is a point obtained by adding the point P to the point dP , and point $(d-1)P$ is a point obtained by subtracting the

point P from the point dP. Assignment to addition formulae in the affine coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve results in the following equations.

$$(A+x+x_d^{Mon}+x_{d+1})(x_d^{Mon}-x)^2 = B(y_d^{Mon}-y)^2$$

5 ... Equation 38

$$(A+x+x_d^{Mon}+x_{d-1})(x_d^{Mon}-x)^2 = B(y_d^{Mon}+y)^2$$

 ... Equation 39

When opposite sides are individually subjected to subtraction, the following equation is obtained.

$$(x_{d-1}-x_{d+1})(x_d^{Mon}-x)^2 = 4By_d^{Mon}y$$

10 ... Equation 40

Therefore, the following results.

$$y_d^{Mon} = (x_{d-1}-x_{d+1})(x_d^{Mon}-x)^2 / 4By$$

 ... Equation 41

15 Here, $x_d^{Mon}=X_d/Z_d$, $x_{d+1}=X_{d+1}/Z_{d+1}$, $x_{d-1}=X_{d-1}/Z_{d-1}$. The value is assigned and thereby converted to a value of the projective coordinate. Then, the following equation is obtained.

$$y_d^{Mon} = (X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})(X_d - Z_d x)^2 / 4ByZ_{d-1}Z_{d+1}Z_d^2$$

20 ... Equation 42

The addition formulae in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve are Equations 11, 12 described above. Here, X_m and Z_m are

X-coordinate and Z-coordinate in the projective coordinate of the m-multiplied point mP of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_n and Z_n are X-coordinate and Z-coordinate in the projective coordinate of an n-multiplied point nP of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{m-n} and Z_{m-n} are X-coordinate and Z-coordinate in the projective coordinate of the (m-n)-multiplied point (m-n)P of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{m+n} and Z_{m+n} are X-coordinate and Z-coordinate in the projective coordinate of a (m+n)-multiplied point (m+n)P of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and m, n are positive integers satisfying $m > n$. In the equation, when $X_m/Z_m = x_m$, $X_n/Z_n = x_n$, $X_{m-n}/Z_{m-n} = x_{m-n}$ are unchanged, $X_{m+n}/Z_{m+n} = x_{m+n}$ is also unchanged. Therefore, this functions well as the formula in the projective coordinate. On the other hand, also in Equations 13, 14, when $X_m/Z_m = x_m$, $X_n/Z_n = x_n$, $X_{m-n}/Z_{m-n} = x_{m-n}$ are unchanged, $X_{m+n}/Z_{m+n} = x_{m-n}$ is also unchanged. Moreover, since $X'_{m-n}/Z'_{m-n} = X_{m-n}/Z_{m-n} = x_{m-n}$ is satisfied, X'_{m-n} , Z'_{m-n} may be taken as the projective coordinate of x_{m-n} . When $m=d$, $n=1$ are set, the above formula is used, X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} are deleted from the equation of y_d^{Mon} , and $X_1=x$, $Z_1=1$ are set, the following equation is obtained.

$$y_d^{Mon} = \frac{\{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) + X_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)\} \{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) - X_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)\} (X_d x - Z_d)^2}{B y Z_{d+1} X_{d+1} (X_d - x Z_d)^2 Z_d^2}$$

Although $x_d^{\text{Mon}} = X_d/Z_d$, reduction to the denominator common with that of y_d^{Mon} is performed for the purpose of reducing the frequency of inversion, and the following equation is obtained.

$$x_d^{\text{Mon}} = \frac{ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2 X_d}{ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d}$$

... Equation 44

A correspondence between the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve and the point on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is described in K.Okeya,

- 10 H.Kurumatani, K.Sakurai, Elliptic Curves with the Montgomery-form and Their Cryptographic Applications, Public Key Cryptography, LNCS 1751 (2000) pp.238-257.
- Thereby, when conversion parameters are s, α , the relation is $y_d = s^{-1}y_d^{\text{Mon}}$ and $x_d = s^{-1}x_d^{\text{Mon}} + \alpha$. As a result,
- 15 Equations 45, 46 are obtained.

$$y_d = \frac{\{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) + X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)\}\{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) - X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)\}(X_d x - Z_d)^2}{sByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d^2}$$

... Equation 45

$$x_d = (ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2 X_d) / (sByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d) + \alpha$$

... Equation 46

- 20 Here, x_d, y_d are given by FIG. 17. Therefore, all values of the affine coordinate (x_d, y_d) in the

Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are recovered.

For the aforementioned procedure, in the steps 1701, 1703, 1705, 1707, 1708, 1709, 1710, 1711, 1712, 1713, 1714, 1716, 1718, 1720, 1723, and 1724, the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is required. Moreover, the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is required in the steps 1706 and 1719. Moreover, the computational amount of inversion on the finite field is required in the step 1715. The computational amounts of addition and subtraction on the finite field are relatively small as compared with the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field and the computational amounts of squaring and inversion, and may therefore be ignored. Assuming that the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is M , the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is S , and the computational amount of inversion on the finite field is I , the above procedure requires a computational amount of $16M+2S+I$. This is very small as compared with the computational amount of fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication is estimated to be a little less than about 1500 M . Assuming $S=0.8 M$, $I=40 M$, the computational amount of coordinate recovering is 57.6 M , and this is very small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication.

Therefore, it is indicated that the coordinate can efficiently be recovered.

Additionally, even when the above procedure is not taken, the values of x_d , y_d given by the above equation can be calculated, and the values of x_d , y_d can then be recovered. In this case, the computational amount necessary for the recovering generally increases. Moreover, when the value of B as the parameter of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve or the conversion parameter s to the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is set to be small, the computational amount of multiplication in the step 1710 or 1714 can be reduced.

A processing of the fast scalar multiplication unit which outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve will next be described with reference to FIG. 8.

The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 inputs the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and outputs X_d and Z_d in the scalar-multiplied point $dP = (X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinate in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the point $(d+1)P = (X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinate by the following procedure. In step 816, the given point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is transformed to the point represented by the projective

coordinates on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve.

This point is set anew as point P . In step 801, the initial value 1 is assigned to the variable I . The doubled point $2P$ of the point P is calculated in step

5 802. Here, the point P is represented as $(x,y,1)$ in the projective coordinate, and the doubling formula in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is used to calculate the doubled point $2P$. In step 803, the point P on the elliptic curve

10 inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103 and the point $2P$ obtained in the step 802 are stored as a set of points $(P,2P)$. Here, the points P and $2P$ are represented by the projective coordinate. It is judged in step 804 whether or not the variable I agrees with

15 the bit length of the scalar value d . With agreement, the flow goes to step 813. With disagreement, the flow goes to step 805. The variable I is increased by 1 in the step 805. It is judged in step 806 whether the value of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 0 or 1.

20 When the value of the bit is 0, the flow goes to the step 807. When the value of the bit is 1, the flow goes to step 810. In step 807, addition $mP+(m+1)P$ of points mP and $(m+1)P$ is performed from a set of points $(mP,(m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinate,

25 and the point $(2m+1)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 808. Here, the addition $mP+(m+1)P$ is calculated using the addition formula in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In

step 808, doubling $2(mP)$ of the point mP is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinate, and the point $2mP$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 809. Here, the

5 doubling $2(mP)$ is calculated using the formula of doubling in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 809, the point $2mP$ obtained in the step 808 and the point $(2m+1)P$ obtained in the step 807 are stored as a set of

10 points $(2mP, (2m+1)P)$ instead of the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow returns to the step 804. Here, the points $2mP$, $(2m+1)P$, mP , and $(m+1)P$ are all represented in the projective coordinates. In step 810, addition $mP+(m+1)P$ of the points mP , $(m+1)P$ is

15 performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and the point $(2m+1)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 811. Here, the addition $mP+(m+1)P$ is calculated using the addition formula in the projective

20 coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 811, doubling $2((m+1)P)$ of the point $(m+1)P$ is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and a point $(2m+2)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to

25 step 812. Here, the doubling $2((m+1)P)$ is calculated using the formula of doubling in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 812, the point $(2m+1)P$ obtained in the step

810 and the point $(2m+2)P$ obtained in the step 811 are stored as a set of points $((2m+1)P, (2m+2)P)$ instead of the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow returns to the step 804. Here, the points $(2m+1)P$,
5 $(2m+2)P$, mP , and $(m+1)P$ are all represented in the projective coordinates. In step 813, X_m and Z_m are outputted as X_d and Z_d in the point $mP(X_m, Y_m, Z_m)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and X_{m+1} and Z_{m+1} are outputted as X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the point
10 $(m+1)P(X_{m+1}, Y_{m+1}, Z_{m+1})$ represented by the projective coordinates from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates. Here, Y_m and Y_{m+1} are not obtained, because the Y-coordinate cannot be obtained by the addition and doubling formulae in the
15 projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the above procedure, m and scalar value d are equal in the bit length and bit pattern, and are therefore equal.

The computational amount of the addition
20 formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$ with $Z_1=1$. Here, M is the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field, and S is the computational amount of squaring on the finite field. The computational
25 amount of the doubling formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$. When the value of the i -th bit of the scalar value is 0, the computational amount of addition in the

step 807, and the computational amount of doubling in
 the step 808 are required. That is, the computational
 amount of $6M+4S$ is required. When the value of the I -
 th bit of the scalar value is 1, the computational
 5 amount of addition in the step 810, and the computa-
 tional amount of doubling in the step 811 are required.
 That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required.
 In any case, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is
 required. The number of repetitions of the steps 804,
 10 805, 806, 807, 808, 809, or the steps 804, 805, 806,
 810, 811, 812 is (bit length of the scalar value d)-1.
 Therefore, in consideration of the computational amount
 of doubling in the step 802, and the computational
 amount necessary for transform to the point on the
 15 Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the step 816, the
 entire computational amount is $(6M+4S)(k-1)+4M+2S$.
 Here, k is the bit length of the scalar value d . In
 general, since the computational amount S is estimated
 to be of the order of $S=0.8 M$, the entire computational
 20 amount is approximately $(9.2k-3.6)M$. For example, when
 the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the
 computational amount of algorithm of the aforementioned
 procedure is about 1468 M . The computational amount
 per bit of the scalar value d is about 9.2 M . In A.
 25 Miyaji, T. Ono, H. Cohen, Efficient elliptic curve
 exponentiation using mixed coordinates, Advances in
 Cryptology Proceedings of ASIACRYPT'98, LNCS 1514
 (1998) pp.51-65, the scalar multiplication method using

the window method and mixed coordinates mainly including Jacobian coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is described as the fast scalar multiplication method. In this case, the computational amount per bit of the scalar value is estimated to be about 10 M. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount of the scalar multiplication method is about 1600 M. Therefore, the algorithm of the aforementioned procedure can be said to have a small computational amount and high speed.

Additionally, instead of using the aforementioned algorithm in the fast scalar multiplication unit 202, another algorithm may be used as long as the algorithm outputs $X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}$ from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve at high speed.

The computational amount required for recovering the coordinate of the coordinate recovering unit 203 in the scalar multiplication unit 103 is $16M+2S+I$, and this is far small as compared with the computational amount of $(9.2k-3.6)M$ necessary for fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. Therefore, the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is substantially equal to the computational amount necessary for the fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit.

Assuming $I=40$ M, and $S=0.8$ M, the computational amount can be estimated to be about $(9.2k+54)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication is about 1526 M. The Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve, the scalar multiplication method is used in which the window method and the mixed coordinates mainly including the Jacobian coordinates are used, and the scalar-multiplied point is outputted as the affine coordinates. In this case, the required computational amount is about 1640 M, and as compared with this, the required computational amount is reduced.

In a tenth embodiment, the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve for input/output, and the Montgomery-form elliptic curve which can be transformed from the given Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used for the internal calculation. The scalar multiplication unit 103 calculates and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (X_d^w, Y_d^w, Z_d^w) with the complete coordinate given thereto as the point of the projective coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and received by the scalar multiplication unit 202. The fast scalar multiplication unit

202 calculates X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates from the received scalar value d and the given point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. Moreover, the inputted point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is transformed to the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve which can be transformed from the given Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, and the point is set anew to $P=(x, y)$. The scalar multiplication unit 202 gives X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , x , and y to the coordinate recovering unit 203. The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers coordinate X_d^w , Y_d^w , Z_d^w of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d^w, Y_d^w, Z_d^w)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the given coordinate values X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , x , and y . The scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar-multiplied point (X_d^w, Y_d^w, Z_d^w) with the coordinate completely given thereto in the projective coordinates as the calculation result.

A processing of the coordinate recovering unit which outputs X_d^w , Y_d^w , Z_d^w from the given coordinates x , y , X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} will next be described with reference to FIG. 18.

The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs X_d

and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the

5 Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates, and (x, y) as representation of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103 in the affine coordinates, and outputs the scalar-multiplied

10 point (X_d^w, Y_d^w, Z_d^w) with the complete coordinate given thereto in the projective coordinates on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the following procedure. Here, the affine coordinate of the inputted point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is

15 represented by (x, y) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_1, Y_1, Z_1) . Assuming that the inputted scalar value is d , the affine coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point dP in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_d, y_d) , and the

20 projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) . The affine coordinate of the point $(d-1)P$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_{d-1}, y_{d-1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by $(X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1})$. The affine coordinate of

25 the point $(d+1)P$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_{d+1}, y_{d+1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by $(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$.

In step 1801 $X_d \times x$ is calculated, and stored in

the register T_1 . In step 1802 $T_1 - Z_d$ is calculated. Here, $X_d x$ is stored in the register T_1 , and $X_d x - Z_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 1803 $Z_d x x$ is calculated, and
5 stored in the register T_2 . In step 1804 $X_d - T_2$ is calculated. Here, $Z_d x$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $X_d - x Z_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1805 $Z_{d+1} \times T_1$ is calculated. Here, $X_d x - Z_d$ is stored in the register T_1 , and $Z_{d+1} (X_d x - Z_d)$
10 is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 1806 $X_{d+1} \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, $X_d - x Z_d$ is stored in the register T_2 . Therefore, $X_{d+1} (X_d - x Z_d)$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_4 . In step 1807 a square of T_1 is calculated.
15 Here, $X_d x - Z_d$ is registered in the register T_1 , and therefore $(X_d x - Z_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 1808 a square of T_2 is calculated. Here, $X_d - x Z_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $(X_d - x Z_d)^2$ is therefore calculated. The result is
20 stored in the register T_2 . In step 1809 $T_2 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, $(X_d - x Z_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 . Therefore, $Z_d (X_d - x Z_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1810 $T_2 \times X_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, $Z_d (X_d - x Z_d)^2$ is stored in the register
25 T_2 , and $X_{d+1} Z_d (X_d - x Z_d)^2$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1811 $T_2 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, $X_{d+1} Z_d (X_d - x Z_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and therefore $Z_{d+1} X_{d+1} Z_d (X_d - x Z_d)^2$ is calcu-

lated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In
step 1812 $T_2 \times y$ is calculated. Here, $Z_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is
stored in the register T_2 , and $yZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is
therefore calculated. The result is stored in the
5 register T_2 . In step 1813 $T_2 \times B$ is calculated. Here,
 $yZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and
 $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is therefore calculated. The result
is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1814 $T_2 \times X_d$ is
calculated. Here, $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the
10 register T_2 . Therefore, $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2X_d$ is calcu-
lated. The result is stored in a register T_5 . In step
1815 $T_2 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is
stored in the register T_2 , and $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2Z_d$ is
therefore calculated. The result is stored in the
15 register T_2 . In step 1816 $T_2 \times s$ is calculated. Here,
 $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2Z_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and
therefore $sByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2Z_d$ is calculated. The
result is stored in Z_d^w . In step 1817 $\alpha \times Z_d^w$ is
calculated. Here, $sByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2Z_d$ is stored in Z_d^w .
20 Therefore, $\alpha sByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2Z_d$ is calculated. The
result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1818, $T_2 + T_5$
is calculated. Here, $\alpha sByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2Z_d$ is stored in
the register T_2 , and $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2X_d$ is stored in
the register T_5 . Therefore, $\alpha sByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2Z_d +$
25 $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2X_d$ is calculated. The result is stored
in X_d^w . In step 1819 $T_3 + T_4$ is calculated. Here $Z_{d+1}(X_d x -$
 $Z_d)$ is stored in the register T_3 , $X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)$ is stored
in the register T_4 , and therefore $Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) + X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)$

is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 .
 In step 1820 $T_3 - T_4$ is calculated. Here $Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d)$ is
 stored in the register T_3 , and $X_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)$ is stored in
 the register T_4 , and therefore $Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) - x_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)$ is
 5 calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 .
 In step 1821 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here $(X_d x - Z_d)^2$ is
 stored in the register T_1 , and $Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) + X_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)$ is
 stored in the register T_2 . Therefore, $\{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) +$
 $X_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)\}(X_d x - Z_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored
 10 in the register T_1 . In step 1822 $T_1 \times T_3$ is calculated.
 Here, $\{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) + X_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)\}(X_d x - Z_d)^2$ is stored in the
 register T_1 , and $Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) - x_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)$ is stored in the
 register T_3 , and therefore $\{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) + X_{d+1}(X_d -$
 $x Z_d)\}\{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) - X_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)\}(X_d x - Z_d)^2$ is calculated. The
 15 result is stored in the register Y_d^w . Therefore, Y_d^w
 stores $\{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) + X_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)\}\{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) - X_{d+1}(X_d -$
 $x Z_d)\}(X_d x - Z_d)^2$. In the step 1818 $ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - x Z_d)^2X_d +$
 $\alpha sByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - x Z_d)^2Z_d$ is stored in X_d^w , and is not
 updated thereafter, and the value is therefore held.
 20 In the step 1816 $sByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - x Z_d)^2Z_d$ is stored in Z_d^w ,
 and is not updated thereafter, and the value is
 therefore held. As a result, all the values of the
 projective coordinate (X_d^w, Y_d^w, Z_d^w) in the Weierstrass-
 form elliptic curve are recovered.

25 A reason why all values in the projective
 coordinate (X_d^w, Y_d^w, Z_d^w) of the scalar-multiplied point in
 the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are recovered from
 $x, y, X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}$ given by the aforementioned

procedure is as follows. Additionally, point $(d+1)P$ is a point obtained by adding the point P to the point dP , and point $(d-1)P$ is a point obtained by subtracting the point P from the point dP . Assignment to addition

5 formulae in the affine coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve results in Equations 6, 7. When opposite sides of Equation 6, 7 are individually subjected to subtraction, Equation 8 is obtained.

Therefore, Equation 9 results. Here, $x_d = X_d/Z_d$,

10 $x_{d+1} = X_{d+1}/Z_{d+1}$, $x_{d-1} = X_{d-1}/Z_{d-1}$. The value is assigned and thereby converted to a value of the projective coordinate. Then, Equation 10 is obtained. The addition formulae in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve are Equations 11, 12.

15 Here, X_m and Z_m are X-coordinate and Z-coordinate in the projective coordinate of the m-multiplied point mP of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_n and Z_n are X-coordinate and Z-coordinate in the projective coordinate of an n-multiplied point nP of the

20 point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{m-n} and Z_{m-n} are X-coordinate and Z-coordinate in the projective coordinate of the $(m-n)$ -multiplied point $(m-n)P$ of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{m+n} and Z_{m+n} are X-coordinate and Z-coordinate in the projective

25 coordinate of a $(m+n)$ -multiplied point $(m+n)P$ of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and m, n are positive integers satisfying $m > n$. In the equation, when $X_m/Z_m = x_m$, $X_n/Z_n = x_n$, $X_{m-n}/Z_{m-n} = x_{m-n}$ are unchanged,

$X_{m+n}/Z_{m+n}=x_{m+n}$ is also unchanged. Therefore, this functions well as the formula in the projective coordinate. On the other hand, also in Equations 13, 14, when $X_m/Z_m=x_m$, $X_n/Z_n=x_n$, $X_{m-n}/Z_{m-n}=x_{m-n}$ are unchanged, 5 $X_{m+n}/Z_{m+n}=x_{m+n}$ is also unchanged. Moreover, since $X'_{m-n}/Z'_{m-n}=X_{m-n}/Z_{m-n}=x_{m-n}$ is satisfied, X'_{m-n} , Z'_{m-n} may be taken as the projective coordinate of x_{m-n} . When $m=d$, $n=1$ are set, the above formula is used, X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} are deleted from the equation of y_d , and $X_1=x$, $Z_1=1$ are set, 10 Equation 15 is obtained. Although $x_d=X_d/Z_d$, reduction to the denominator common with that of y_d is performed, and Equation 16 is obtained. As a result, the following equation is obtained.

$$Y'_d = \{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) + X_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)\} \{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) - X_{d+1}(X_d - x Z_d)\} (X_d x - Z_d)^2$$

15 ... Equation 47

The following equations also result.

$$X'_d = B y Z_{d+1} X_{d+1} Z_d (X_d - x Z_d)^2 X_d$$

... Equation 48

$$Z'_d = B y Z_{d+1} X_{d+1} Z_d (X_d - x Z_d)^2 Z_d$$

20 ... Equation 49

Then, $(X'_d, Y'_d, Z'_d) = (X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$. The correspondence between the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve and the point on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is described in K.Okeya, H.Kurumatani, K.Sakurai, Elliptic 25 Curves with the Montgomery-Form and Their Cryptographic

Applications, Public Key Cryptography, LNCS 1751 (2000) pp.238-257. Thereby, when the conversion parameter is $s\alpha$, the relation is $Y_d^w=Y'_d$, $X_d^w=X'_d+\alpha Z_d^w$, and $Z_d^w=sZ'_d$. As a result, the following equations are obtained.

$$5 \quad Y_d^w = \{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) + X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)\} \{Z_{d+1}(X_d x - Z_d) - X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)\} (X_d x - Z_d)^2$$

... Equation 50

$$X_d^w = ByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2 X_d + \alpha Z_d^w$$

... Equation 51

$$Z_d^w = sByZ_{d+1}X_{d+1}Z_d(X_d - xZ_d)^2 Z_d$$

$$10 \quad \dots \text{Equation 52}$$

The values may be updated as described above. Here, X_d^w , Y_d^w , Z_d^w are given by the processing of FIG. 18. Therefore, all values of the projective coordinate (X_d^w, Y_d^w, Z_d^w) in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are

15 recovered.

For the aforementioned procedure, in the steps 1801, 1803, 1805, 1806, 1809, 1810, 1811, 1812, 1813, 1814, 1815, 1816, 1817, 1821, and 1822, the computational amount of multiplication on the finite
20 field is required. Moreover, the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is required in the steps 1807 and 1808. The computational amounts of addition and subtraction on the finite field are relatively small as compared with the computational
25 amount of multiplication on the finite field and the computational amount of squaring, and may therefore be

ignored. Assuming that the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is M , and the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is S , the above procedure requires a computational amount of $15M+2S$. This is far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication is estimated to be a little less than about 1500 M . Assuming $S=0.8 M$, the computational amount of coordinate recovering is 16.6 M , and far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. Therefore, it is indicated that the coordinate can efficiently be recovered.

Additionally, even when the above procedure is not taken, the values of X_d^w , Y_d^w , Z_d^w given by the above equation can be calculated, and the values of X_d^w , Y_d^w , Z_d^w can then be recovered. Moreover, when the scalar-multiplied point dP in the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is $dp=(x_d^w, y_d^w)$, the values of X_d^w , Y_d^w , Z_d^w are selected so that x_d^w , y_d^w take the values given by the aforementioned equations, the values can be calculated, and then X_d^w , Y_d^w , Z_d^w can be recovered. In this case, the computational amount required for recovering generally increases. Furthermore, when the values of B as the parameter of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve and the conversion parameter s to the Montgomery-form elliptic curve are

set to be small, the computational amount of multiplication in the step 1813 or 1816 can be reduced.

An algorithm which outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve will next be described.

As the fast scalar multiplication method of the scalar multiplication unit 202 of the tenth embodiment, the fast scalar multiplication method of the ninth embodiment is used. Thereby, as the algorithm which outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, a fast algorithm can be achieved. Additionally, instead of using the aforementioned algorithm in the scalar multiplication unit 202, any algorithm may be used as long as the algorithm outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve at high speed.

The computational amount required for recovering the coordinate of the coordinate recovering unit 203 in the scalar multiplication unit 103 is $15M+2S$, and this is far small as compared with the computational amount of $(9.2k-3.6)M$ necessary for fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. Therefore, the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is substantially equal to the computational amount necessary for the fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit.

Assuming that $S=0.8 M$, the computational amount can be estimated to be about $(9.2k+13)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication is about 1485 M . The Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve, the scalar multiplication method is used in which the window method and the mixed coordinates mainly including the Jacobian coordinates are used, and the scalar-multiplied point is outputted as the Jacobian coordinates. In this case, the required computational amount is about 1600 M , and as compared with this, the required computational amount is reduced.

In an eleventh embodiment, the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve for input/output, and the Montgomery-form elliptic curve which can be transformed from the given Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used for the internal calculation. The scalar multiplication unit 103 calculates and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto as the point of the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and received by the scalar multiplication unit 202. The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 calculates X_d

and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates, and X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} in the coordinate of the point $(d-1)P=(X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates from the received scalar value d and the given point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. Moreover, the inputted point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is transformed to the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve which can be transformed from the given Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, and the point is set anew to $P=(x, y)$. The scalar multiplication unit 202 gives $X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}, X_{d-1}, Z_{d-1}, x$, and y to the coordinate recovering unit 203. The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers coordinates x_d, y_d of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the given coordinate values $X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}, X_{d-1}, Z_{d-1}, x$, and y . The scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the coordinate completely given thereto in the affine coordinates on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve as the calculation result.

A processing of the coordinate recovering

unit which outputs x_d, y_d from the given coordinates $x, y, X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}, X_{d-1}, Z_{d-1}$ will next be described with reference to FIG. 19.

The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates, X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} in the coordinate of the point $(d-1)P=(X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates, and (x, y) as representation of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the affine coordinates inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto in the affine coordinates on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the following procedure. Here, the affine coordinate of the inputted point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x, y) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_1, Y_1, Z_1) . Assuming that the inputted scalar value is d , the affine coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point dP in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by $(x_d^{\text{Mon}}, y_d^{\text{Mon}})$, and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) . The affine coordinate of the point $(d-1)P$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is

represented by (x_{d-1}, y_{d-1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by $(X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1})$. The affine coordinate of the point $(d+1)P$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_{d+1}, y_{d+1}) , and the
 5 projective coordinate thereof is represented by $(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$.

In step 1901 $X_{d-1} \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_1 . In step 1902 $Z_{d-1} \times X_{d+1}$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_2 . In step 1903 $T_1 - T_2$ is
 10 calculated. Here, $X_{d-1}Z_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_1 and $Z_{d-1}X_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1}$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 1904 $Z_d \times x$ is calculated and stored in the register T_2 . In step 1905 $X_d - T_2$ is
 15 calculated. Here, $Z_d x$ is stored in the register T_2 . Therefore, $X_d - xZ_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1906 a square of T_2 is calculated. Here, $X_d - xZ_d$ is stored in the register T_2 . Therefore, $(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored
 20 in the register T_2 . In step 1907 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, $X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1}$ is registered in the register T_1 , $(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and therefore $(X_d - xZ_d)^2 (X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 1908 $4Bxy$ is
 25 calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1909 $T_2 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, $4By$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $4ByZ_{d+1}$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1910 $T_2 \times Z_{d-1}$

is calculated. Here, 4ByZ_{d+1} is stored in the register T_2 , and $4\text{ByZ}_{d-1}\text{Z}_{d+1}$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1911 $T_2 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, $4\text{ByZ}_{d-1}\text{Z}_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_2 . Therefore, $4\text{ByZ}_{d-1}\text{Z}_{d+1}\text{Z}_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1912 $T_2 \times X_d$ is calculated. Here, $4\text{ByZ}_{d-1}\text{Z}_{d+1}\text{Z}_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $4\text{ByZ}_{d-1}\text{Z}_{d+1}\text{Z}_d\text{X}_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 1913 $T_2 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, $4\text{ByZ}_{d-1}\text{Z}_{d+1}\text{Z}_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $4\text{ByZ}_{d-1}\text{Z}_{d+1}\text{Z}_d\text{Z}_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1914 $T_2 \times s$ is calculated. Here, $4\text{ByZ}_{d-1}\text{Z}_{d+1}\text{Z}_d\text{Z}_d$ is stored in the register T_2 . Therefore, $4s\text{ByZ}_{d-1}\text{Z}_{d+1}\text{Z}_d\text{Z}_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1915 an inverse element of T_2 is calculated. Here, $4s\text{ByZ}_{d-1}\text{Z}_{d+1}\text{Z}_d\text{Z}_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $1/4s\text{ByZ}_{d-1}\text{Z}_{d+1}\text{Z}_d\text{Z}_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 1916 $T_2 \times T_3$ is calculated. Here, $1/4s\text{ByZ}_{d-1}\text{Z}_{d+1}\text{Z}_d\text{Z}_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , $4\text{ByZ}_{d-1}\text{Z}_{d+1}\text{Z}_d\text{X}_d$ is in the register T_3 , and therefore $(4\text{ByZ}_{d-1}\text{Z}_{d+1}\text{Z}_d\text{X}_d)/(4s\text{ByZ}_{d-1}\text{Z}_{d+1}\text{Z}_d\text{Z}_d)$ is calculated. The result is stored in T_3 . In step 1917 $T_3 + \alpha$ is calculated. Here, $(4\text{ByZ}_{d-1}\text{Z}_{d+1}\text{Z}_d\text{X}_d)/(4s\text{ByZ}_{d-1}\text{Z}_{d+1}\text{Z}_d\text{Z}_d)$ is stored in the register T_3 . Therefore, $(4\text{ByZ}_{d-1}\text{Z}_{d+1}\text{Z}_d\text{X}_d)/(4s\text{ByZ}_{d-1}\text{Z}_{d+1}\text{Z}_d\text{Z}_d) + \alpha$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register x_d . In step 1918 the register $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here $(X_d - x_{Z_d})^2 (X_{d-1}\text{Z}_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}\text{X}_{d+1})$ is stored in

the register T_1 , $1/4sByZ_{d-1}Z_{d+1}Z_dZ_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and therefore $(X_{d-1}Z_{d+1}-Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})(X_d-Z_dx)^2/4sByZ_{d-1}Z_{d+1}Z_d^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register y_d . Therefore, the register y_d stores $(X_{d-1}Z_{d+1}-$
 5 $Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})(X_d-Z_dx)^2/4sByZ_{d-1}Z_{d+1}Z_d^2$. In the step 1917 $(4ByZ_{d-1}Z_{d+1}Z_dX_d)/(4sByZ_{d-1}Z_{d+1}Z_dZ_d)+\alpha$ is stored in the register x_d , and is not updated thereafter, and the value is therefore held.

A reason why all the values in the affine
 10 coordinate (x_d, y_d) of the scalar-multiplied point in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are recovered from x , y , X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , X_{d-1} , Z_{d-1} given by the aforementioned procedure is as follows. Additionally, point $(d+1)P$ is a point obtained by adding the point P to the point dP ,
 15 and point $(d-1)P$ is a point obtained by subtracting the point P from the point dP . Assignment to the addition formulae in the affine coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve results in Equations 38, 39. When opposite sides are individually subjected to subtraction,
 20 tion, Equation 40 is obtained. Therefore, Equation 41 results. Here, $x_d^{Mon}=X_d/Z_d$, $x_{d+1}=X_{d+1}/Z_{d+1}$, $x_{d-1}=X_{d-1}/Z_{d-1}$. The value is assigned and thereby converted to the value of the projective coordinate. Then, Equation 42 is obtained. Although $x_d^{Mon}=X_d/Z_d$, the reduction to the
 25 denominator common with that of y_d^{Mon} is performed for the purpose of reducing the frequency of inversion, and Equation 53 is obtained.

$$x_d^{Mon} = (4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dX_d)/(4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d)$$

... Equation 53

The correspondence between the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve and the point on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is described in K.Okeya, H.Kurumatani, K.Sakurai, Elliptic Curves with the Montgomery-form and Their Cryptographic Applications, Public Key Cryptography, LNCS 1751 (2000) pp.238-257. Thereby, when the conversion parameters are s, α , the relation is $y_d = s^{-1}y_d^{Mon}$ and $x_d = s^{-1}x_d^{Mon} + \alpha$. As a result, the following equations are obtained.

$$y_d = (X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})(X_d - Z_d x)^2 / 4sByZ_{d-1}Z_{d+1}Z_d^2$$

... Equation 54

$$x_d = (4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dX_d)/(4sByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d) + \alpha$$

15 ... Equation 55

Here, x_d, y_d are given by FIG. 19. Therefore, all values of the affine coordinate (x_d, y_d) of the scalar-multiplied point in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are recovered.

20 For the aforementioned procedure, in the steps 1901, 1902, 1904, 1907, 1908, 1909, 1910, 1911, 1912, 1913, 1914, 1916, and 1818, the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is required. Moreover, the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is required in the step 25 1906. Moreover, in the step 1914 the computational

amount of the inversion on the finite field is required. The computational amounts of addition and subtraction on the finite field are relatively small as compared with the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field and the computational amounts of squaring and inversion, and may therefore be ignored. Assuming that the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is M , the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is S , and the computational amount of inversion on the finite field is I , the above procedure requires a computational amount of $13M+S+I$. This is far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication is estimated to be a little less than about 1500 M . Assuming $S=0.8 M$, $I=40 M$, the computational amount of coordinate recovering is 53.8 M , and far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. Therefore, it is indicated that the coordinate can efficiently be recovered.

Additionally, even when the above procedure is not taken, the values of x_d , y_d given by the above equation can be calculated, and the values of x_d , y_d can then be recovered. In this case, the computational amount required for recovering generally increases. Furthermore, when the values of B as the parameter of

the Montgomery-form elliptic curve and s as the conversion parameter to the Montgomery-form elliptic curve are set to be small, the computational amount of multiplication in the step 1908 or 1914 can be reduced.

5 A processing of the fast scalar multiplication unit which outputs $X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}, X_{d-1}, Z_{d-1}$ from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve will next be described with reference to FIG. 10.

10 The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 inputs the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and outputs X_d and Z_d in the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinate in

15 the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinate, and X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} in the point $(d-1)P=(X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by

20 the projective coordinate by the following procedure.

In step 1016, the given point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is transformed to the point represented by the projective coordinates on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. This point is set anew as point P . In

25 step 1001, the initial value 1 is assigned to the variable I . The doubled point $2P$ of the point P is calculated in step 1002. Here, the point P is represented as $(x, y, 1)$ in the projective coordinate,

5
10
15
20
25

$2(mP)$ is calculated using the formula of doubling in
the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form
elliptic curve. In the step 1009, the point $2mP$
obtained in the step 1008 and the point $(2m+1)P$
5 obtained in the step 1007 are stored as a set of points
 $(2mP, (2m+1)P)$ instead of the set of points $(mP,$
 $(m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow returns to the step
1004. Here, the points $2mP, (2m+1)P, mP,$ and $(m+1)P$
are all represented in the projective coordinates. In
10 step 1010, addition $mP+(m+1)P$ of the points $mP, (m+1)P$
is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$
represented by the projective coordinates, and the
point $(2m+1)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes
to step 1011. Here, the addition $mP+(m+1)P$ is calcu-
15 lated using the addition formula in the projective
coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In
the step 1011, doubling $2((m+1)P)$ of the point $(m+1)P$
is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$
represented by the projective coordinates, and the
20 point $(2m+2)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes
to step 1012. Here, the doubling $2((m+1)P)$ is calcu-
lated using the formula of doubling in the projective
coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In
the step 1012, the point $(2m+1)P$ obtained in the step
25 1010 and the point $(2m+2)P$ obtained in the step 1011
are stored as a set of points $((2m+1)P, (2m+2)P)$ instead
of the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow
returns to the step 1004. Here, the points $(2m+1)P,$

$(2m+2)P$, mP , and $(m+1)P$ are all represented in the projective coordinates. In step 1014, X_{m-1} and Z_{m-1} are outputted as X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} of the point $(m-1)P$ in the projective coordinates from the set of points

- 5 $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 1013. In the step 1013, X_m and Z_m as X_d and Z_d from the point $mP=(X_m, Y_m, Z_m)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and X_{m+1} and Z_{m+1} as X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} of the point $(m+1)P=(X_{m+1}, Y_{m+1}, Z_{m+1})$
- 10 represented by the projective coordinates are outputted together with X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} . Here, Y_m and Y_{m+1} are not obtained, because the Y-coordinate cannot be obtained by the addition and doubling formulae in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In
- 15 the above procedure, m and scalar value d are equal in the bit length and bit pattern, and are therefore equal.

Moreover, when $(m-1)P$ is obtained in step 1014, it may be obtained by Equations 13, 14. If m is

20 an odd number, a value of $((m-1)/2)P$ is separately held in the step 1012, and $(m-1)P$ may be obtained from the value by the doubling formula of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve.

The computational amount of the addition

25 formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$ with $Z_1=1$. Here, M is the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field, and S is the computational amount

of squaring on the finite field. The computational amount of the doubling formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$. When the value of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 0, the computational amount of addition in the step 1007, and the computational amount of doubling in the step 1008 are required. That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. When the value of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 1, the computational amount of addition in the step 1010, and the computational amount of doubling in the step 1011 are required. That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. In any case, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. The number of repetitions of the steps 1004, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1008, 1009, or the steps 1004, 1005, 1006, 1010, 1011, 1012 is (bit length of the scalar value d)-1. Therefore, in consideration of the computational amount of doubling in the step 1002, and the computational amount necessary for the calculation of $(m-1)P$ in the step 1014, the entire computational amount is $(6M+4S)k+M$. Here, k is the bit length of the scalar value d . In general, since the computational amount S is estimated to be of the order of $S=0.8 M$, the entire computational amount is approximately $(9.2k+3)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount of algorithm of the aforementioned procedure is about 1475 M . The computational amount per bit of the scalar

value d is about 9.2 M. In A. Miyaji, T. Ono, H. Cohen, Efficient elliptic curve exponentiation using mixed coordinates, Advances in Cryptology Proceedings of ASIACRYPT'98, LNCS 1514 (1998) pp.51-65, the scalar
5 multiplication method using the window method and mixed coordinates mainly including Jacobian coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is described as the fast scalar multiplication method. In this case, the computational amount per bit of the scalar value is
10 estimated to be about 10 M. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount of the scalar multiplication method is about 1600 M. Therefore, the algorithm of the aforementioned procedure can be said to have a small
15 computational amount and high speed.

Additionally, instead of using the aforementioned algorithm in the fast scalar multiplication unit 202, another algorithm may be used as long as the algorithm outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} from the scalar value
20 d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve at high speed.

The computational amount required for recovering the coordinate of the coordinate recovering unit 203 in the scalar multiplication unit 103 is
25 $13M+S+I$, and this is far small as compared with the computational amount of $(9.2k+1)M$ necessary for fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. Therefore, the computational amount

necessary for the scalar multiplication of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is substantially equal to the computational amount necessary for the fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit.

5 Assuming $I=40$ M, $S=0.8$ M, the computational amount can be estimated to be about $(9.2k+56.8)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount necessary for the scalar multipli-
 10 cation is about 1529 M. The Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve, the scalar multiplication method is used in which the window method and the mixed coordinates mainly including the Jacobian coordinates are used, and the scalar-multiplied point is outputted as the affine coordi-
 15 nates. In this case, the required computational amount is about 1640 M, and as compared with this, the required computational amount is reduced.

In a twelfth embodiment, the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve for
 20 input/output, and the Montgomery-form elliptic curve which can be transformed from the given Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used for the internal calculation. The scalar multiplication unit 103 calculates and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (X_d^w, Y_d^w, Z_d^w) with
 25 the complete coordinate given thereto as the point of the projective coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The scalar

value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and received by the scalar multiplication unit 202. The fast scalar multiplication unit 5 202 calculates X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic 10 curve represented by the projective coordinates, and X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} in the coordinate of the point $(d-1)P=(X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates from the received scalar value d and the given point P on the 15 Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The information is given to the coordinate recovering unit 203 together with the inputted point $P=(x, y)$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates. The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers 20 coordinate X_d^w, Y_d^w, Z_d^w of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d^w, Y_d^w, Z_d^w)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the given coordinate values $X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}, X_{d-1}, Z_{d-1}, x$, and y . The scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar- 25 multiplied point (X_d^w, Y_d^w, Z_d^w) with the coordinate completely given thereto in the projective coordinates on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve as the calculation result.

A processing of the coordinate recovering unit which outputs X_d^w , Y_d^w , Z_d^w from the given coordinates x , y , X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , X_{d-1} , Z_{d-1} will next be described with reference to FIG. 20.

5 The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the
10 Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates, X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} in the coordinate of the point $(d-1)P=(X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates, and (x, y) as representation of the point P on
15 Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the projective coordinates inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (X_d^w, Y_d^w, Z_d^w) with the complete coordinate given thereto in the projective coordinates on the Weierstrass-form
20 elliptic curve in the following procedure. Here, the affine coordinate of the inputted point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x, y) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_1, Y_1, Z_1) . Assuming that the inputted scalar value is
25 d , the affine coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point dP in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_d, y_d) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) . The affine coordinate of the

point $(d-1)P$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_{d-1}, y_{d-1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by $(X_{d-1}, Y_{d-1}, Z_{d-1})$. The affine coordinate of the point $(d+1)P$ on the Montgomery-form
5 elliptic curve is represented by (x_{d+1}, y_{d+1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by $(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$.

In step 2001 $X_{d-1} \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_1 . In step 2002 $Z_{d-1} \times X_{d+1}$ is calculated,
10 and stored in the register T_2 . In step 2003 $T_1 - T_2$ is calculated. Here, $X_{d-1}Z_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_1 , $Z_{d-1}X_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1}$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 2004 $Z_d \times x$ is calculated, and
15 stored in the register T_2 . In step 2005 $X_d - T_2$ is calculated. Here, $Z_d \times x$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $X_d - xZ_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 2006 a square of T_2 is calculated. Here, $X_d - xZ_d$ is stored in the register T_2 ,
20 and $(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 2007 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, $X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_1 , $(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and therefore $(X_d - xZ_d)^2 (X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})$ is calculated. The result is
25 stored in the register Y_d^w . In step 2008 $4B \times y$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 2009 $T_2 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, $4By$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $4ByZ_{d+1}$ is therefore calculated.

167

The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 2010 $T_2 \times Z_{d-1}$ is calculated. Here, $4ByZ_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 2011 $T_2 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 2012 $T_2 \times X_d$ is calculated. Here, $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dX_d$ is therefore calculated.

10 The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 2013 $T_2 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in T_2 . In step 2014 $T_2 \times s$ is calculated. Here the register T_2 stores $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_d$, and

15 therefore $4sByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register Z_d^w . In step 2015 $\alpha \times Z_d^w$ is calculated. Here, the register Z_d^w stores $4sByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$, and therefore $4\alpha sByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 2016 $T_1 + T_2$ is

20 calculated. Here, the register T_1 stores $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dX_d$, the register T_2 stores $4\alpha sByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$, and therefore $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dX_d + 4\alpha sByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register X_d^w . Therefore, X_d^w stores $4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dX_d + 4\alpha sByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$. In the step 2007 $(X_d -$

25 $xZ_d)^2 (X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})$ is stored in the register Y_d^w , and is not updated thereafter, and therefore the value is held. In the step 2014 $4sByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$ is stored in the register Z_d^w , and is not updated thereafter, and there-

fore the value is held.

A reason why all values in the projective coordinate (X_d^w, Y_d^w, Z_d^w) of the scalar-multiplied point in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are recovered from

5 $x, y, X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}, X_{d-1}, Z_{d-1}$ given by the aforementioned procedure is as follows. Additionally, the point $(d+1)P$ is a point obtained by adding the point P to the point dP , and the point $(d-1)P$ is a point obtained by subtracting the point P from the point dP .

10 Assignment to the addition formula in the affine coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve results in Equations 6, 7. When opposite sides are individually subjected to subtraction, Equation 8 is obtained. Therefore, Equation 9 results. Here,

15 $x_d = X_d/Z_d, x_{d+1} = X_{d+1}/Z_{d+1}, x_{d-1} = X_{d-1}/Z_{d-1}$. The value is assigned and thereby converted to a value of the projective coordinate. Then, Equation 10 is obtained. Although $x_d = X_d/Z_d$, the reduction to the denominator common with that of y_d is performed, and Equation 20

20 results. As a result, the following equation is obtained.

$$Y_d' = (X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})(X_d - Z_d x)^2$$

... Equation 56

Then, the followings are obtained.

25 $X_d' = 4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dX_d$

... Equation 57

$$Z_d' = 4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$$

... Equation 58

Here, $(X_d', Y_d', Z_d') = (X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$. The correspondence
between the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve
5 and the point on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is
described in K.Okeya, H.Kurumatani, K.Sakurai, Elliptic
Curves with the Montgomery-form and Their Cryptographic
Applications, Public Key Cryptography, LNCS 1751 (2000)
pp.238-257. Thereby, when the conversion parameters
10 are s, α , the relation is $Y_d^w = Y_d', X_d^w = X_d' + \alpha Z_d^w$, and
 $Z_d^w = sZ_d'$. As a result, the following equations are
obtained.

$$Y_d^w = (X_{d-1}Z_{d+1} - Z_{d-1}X_{d+1})(X_d - Z_d x)^2$$

... Equation 59

$$15 \quad X_d^w = 4ByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dX_d + \alpha 4sByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$$

... Equation 60

$$Z_d^w = 4sByZ_{d+1}Z_{d-1}Z_dZ_d$$

... Equation 61

Here, X_d^w, Y_d^w, Z_d^w are given by FIG. 20. Therefore, all
20 the values of the projective coordinate (X_d^w, Y_d^w, Z_d^w) in
the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are recovered.

For the aforementioned procedure, in the
steps 2001, 2002, 2004, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011,
2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015, the computational amount of
25 multiplication on the finite field is required. More-
over, the computational amount of squaring on the

finite field is required in the step 2006. The computational amounts of addition and subtraction on the finite field are relatively small as compared with the computational amount of multiplication on the

5 finite field and the computational amount of squaring, and may therefore be ignored. Assuming that the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is M , and the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is S , the above procedure requires a

10 computational amount of $12M+S$. This is far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication is estimated to be a

15 little less than about 1500 M . Assuming $S=0.8 M$, the computational amount of coordinate recovering is $12.8 M$, and far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. Therefore, it is indicated that the coordinate can efficiently be

20 recovered.

Additionally, even when the above procedure is not taken, the values of X_d^w , Y_d^w , Z_d^w given by the above equation can be calculated, and the values of X_d^w , Y_d^w , Z_d^w can then be recovered. Moreover, when the

25 scalar-multiplied point dP in the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is $dP=(x_d^w, y_d^w)$, the values of X_d^w , Y_d^w , Z_d^w are selected so that x_d^w , y_d^w take the values given by the aforementioned equations, the

values can be calculated, and then X_d^w , Y_d^w , Z_d^w can be recovered. In this case, the computational amount required for recovering generally increases. Furthermore, when the values of B as the parameter of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve and s as the conversion parameter to the Montgomery-form elliptic curve are set to be small, the computational amount of multiplication in the step 2008 or 2014 can be reduced.

An algorithm which outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , X_{d-1} , Z_{d-1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve will next be described.

As the fast scalar multiplication method of the scalar multiplication unit 202 of the twelfth embodiment, the fast scalar multiplication method of the eleventh embodiment is used. Thereby, as the algorithm which outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , X_{d-1} , Z_{d-1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, a fast algorithm can be achieved. Additionally, instead of using the aforementioned algorithm in the scalar multiplication unit 202, any algorithm may be used as long as the algorithm outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , X_{d-1} , Z_{d-1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve at high speed.

The computational amount required for recovering the coordinate of the coordinate recovering unit 203 in the scalar multiplication unit 103 is $12M+S$, and this is far small as compared with the

computational amount of $(9.2k+1)M$ necessary for fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. Therefore, the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is substantially equal to the computational amount necessary for the fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit. Assuming that $S=0.8 M$, the computational amount can be estimated to be about $(9.2k+13.8)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication is about 1486 M. The Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve, the scalar multiplication method is used in which the window method and the mixed coordinates mainly including the Jacobian coordinates are used, and the scalar-multiplied point is outputted as the Jacobian coordinates. In this case, the required computational amount is about 1600 M, and as compared with this, the required computational amount is reduced.

In a thirteenth embodiment, the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve for input/output, and the Montgomery-form elliptic curve which can be transformed from the given Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used for the internal calculation. The scalar multiplication unit 103 calculates and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d^w, y_d^w) with the complete coordinate given thereto as the point of

the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve

5 are inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and received by the scalar multiplication unit 202. The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 calculates x_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the

10 Montgomery-form elliptic curve, x_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(x_{d+1}, y_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates, and x_{d-1} in the coordinate of the point $(d-1)P=(x_{d-1}, y_{d-1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by

15 the affine coordinates from the received scalar value d and the given point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The information is given to the coordinate recovering unit 203 together with the inputted point $P=(x, y)$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve

20 represented by the affine coordinates. The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers coordinate y_d^w of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d^w, y_d^w)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the given coordinate values x_d , x_{d+1} , x_{d-1} , x ,

25 and y . The scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d^w, y_d^w) with the coordinate completely given thereto in the affine coordinates on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve as the calculation

result.

A processing of the coordinate recovering unit which outputs x_d^w, y_d^w from the given coordinates $x, y, x_d, x_{d+1}, x_{d-1}$ will next be described with reference to
 5 FIG. 21.

The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs x_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, x_{d+1} in the coordinate of
 10 the point $(d+1)P=(x_{d+1}, y_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates, x_{d-1} in the coordinate of the point $(d-1)P=(x_{d-1}, y_{d-1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates, and (x, y) as representation of the
 15 point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the affine coordinates inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d^w, y_d^w) with the complete coordinate given thereto in the affine coordinates in the following
 20 procedure.

In step 2101 $x_d - x$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_1 . In step 2102 a square of T_1 , that is, $(x_d - x)^2$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_1 . In
 step 2103 $x_{d-1} - x_{d+1}$ is calculated, and stored in T_2 . In
 25 step 2104 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, $(x_d - x)^2$ is stored in the register T_1 , $x_{d-1} - x_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_2 , and therefore $(x_d - x)^2 (x_{d-1} - x_{d+1})$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 2105 $4Bxy$ is

calculated, and stored in the register T_2 . In step 2106 the inverse element of T_2 is calculated. Here, $4By$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $1/4By$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In
5 step 2107 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, $(x_d - x)^2(x_{d-1} - x_{d+1})$ is stored in the register T_1 , $1/4By$ is stored in the register T_2 , and $(x_d - x)^2(x_{d-1} - x_{d+1})/4By$ is therefore calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In
step 2108 $T_1 \times s^{-1}$ is calculated. Here, $(x_d - x)^2(x_{d-1} - x_{d+1})/4By$ is stored in the register T_1 , and therefore $(x_d - x)^2(x_{d-1} - x_{d+1})/4sBy$ is calculated. The result is stored
10 in the register y_d^w . Additionally, since s is given beforehand, s^{-1} can be calculated beforehand. In step 2109 $x_d \times s^{-1}$ is calculated. The result is stored in the
15 register T_1 . In step 2110 $T_1 + \alpha$ is calculated. Here $s^{-1}x_d$ is stored in the register T_1 , and therefore $s^{-1}x_d + \alpha$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register x_d^w . Therefore, $s^{-1}x_d + \alpha$ is stored in the register x_d^w .
In the step 2108, since $(x_d - x)^2(x_{d-1} - x_{d+1})/4sBy$ is stored
20 in the register y_d^w , and is not updated thereafter, the inputted value is held.

A reason why the y-coordinate y_d of the scalar-multiplied point is recovered by the aforementioned procedure is as follows. Additionally, the
25 point $(d+1)P$ is a point obtained by adding the point P to the point dP , and the point $(d-1)P$ is a point obtained by subtracting the point P from the point dP .
Thereby, assignment to the addition formulae in the

affine coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve results in Equations 6, 7. When the opposite sides are individually subjected to subtraction, Equation 8 is obtained. Therefore, Equation 9 results.

5 The correspondence between the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve and the point on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is described in K.Okeya, H.Kurumatani, K.Sakurai, Elliptic Curves with the Montgomery-Form and Their Cryptographic Applications, 10 Public Key Cryptography, LNCS 1751 (2000) pp.238-257. Thereby, when the conversion parameters are s, α , the relation is $y_d^w = s^{-1}y_d$, and $x_d^w = s^{-1}x_d + \alpha$. As a result, the following equations are obtained.

$$y_d^w = (x_{d-1} - x_{d+1})(x_d - x)^2 / 4sBy$$

15 ... Equation 62

$$x_d^w = s^{-1}x_d + \alpha$$

... Equation 63

Here, x_d^w, y_d^w are given by FIG. 21. Therefore, all values of the affine coordinate (x_d^w, y_d^w) are 20 recovered.

For the aforementioned procedure, in the steps 2104, 2105, 2107, 2108 and 2109, the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is required. Moreover, the computational amount of 25 squaring on the finite field is required in the step 2102. Furthermore, the computational amount of the inversion on the finite field is required in the step

2106. The computational amounts of addition and subtraction on the finite field are relatively small as compared with the computational amounts of multiplication, squaring, and inversion on the finite field, and
5 may therefore be ignored. Assuming that the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is M , the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is S , and the computational amount of inversion on the finite field is I , the above procedure requires
10 a computational amount of $5M+S+I$. This is far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication is estimated to be a
15 little less than about 1500 M . Assuming $S=0.8 M$ and $I=40 M$, the computational amount of coordinate recovering is 45.8 M , and far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. Therefore, it is indicated that the coordinate
20 can efficiently be recovered.

Additionally, even when the above procedure is not taken, but when the values of the right side of the above equation can be calculated, the value of y_d^w can be recovered. In this case, the computational
25 amount required for recovering generally increases. Furthermore, when the values of B as the parameter of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve and s as the conversion parameter to the Montgomery-form elliptic curve

are set to be small, the computational amount of multiplication in the steps 2105, 2108, 2109 can be reduced.

A processing of the fast scalar multiplication unit which outputs x_d , x_{d+1} , x_{d-1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve will next be described with reference to FIG. 24.

The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 inputs the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and outputs x_d in the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinate in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, x_{d+1} in the point $(d+1)P=(x_{d+1}, y_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinate, and x_{d-1} in the point $(d-1)P=(x_{d-1}, y_{d-1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinate by the following procedure. In step 2416, the point P on the given Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is transformed to the point by the projective coordinates on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. This point is set anew to the point P . In step 2401, the initial value 1 is assigned to the variable I . The doubled point $2P$ of the point P is calculated in step 2402. Here, the point P is represented as $(x, y, 1)$ in the projective coordinate, and the formula of doubling in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is used to

calculate the doubled point $2P$. In step 2403, the point P on the elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103 and the point $2P$ obtained in the step 2402 are stored as a set of points $(P, 2P)$.

- 5 Here, the points P and $2P$ are represented by the projective coordinate. It is judged in step 2404 whether or not the variable I agrees with the bit length of the scalar value d . With agreement, $m=d$ is satisfied and the flow goes to step 2414. With
- 10 disagreement, the flow goes to step 2405. The variable I is increased by 1 in the step 2405. It is judged in step 2406 whether the value of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 0 or 1. When the value of the bit is 0, the flow goes to the step 2407. When the value of
- 15 the bit is 1, the flow goes to step 2410. In step 2407, addition $mP+(m+1)P$ of points mP and $(m+1)P$ is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinate, and the point $(2m+1)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to
- 20 step 2408. Here, the addition $mP+(m+1)P$ is calculated using the addition formula in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In step 2408, doubling $2(mP)$ of the point mP is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective
- 25 coordinate, and the point $2mP$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 2409. Here, the doubling $2(mP)$ is calculated using the formula of doubling in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form

elliptic curve. In the step 2409, the point $2mP$ obtained in the step 2408 and the point $(2m+1)P$ obtained in the step 2407 are stored as the set of points $(2mP, (2m+1)P)$ instead of the set of points

5 $(mP, (m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow returns to the step 2404. Here, the points $2mP$, $(2m+1)P$, mP , and $(m+1)P$ are all represented in the projective coordinates. In step 2410, addition $mP+(m+1)P$ of the points mP , $(m+1)P$ is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$

10 represented by the projective coordinates, and the point $(2m+1)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 2411. Here, the addition $mP+(m+1)P$ is calculated using the addition formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In

15 the step 2411, doubling $2((m+1)P)$ of the point $(m+1)P$ is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and the point $(2m+2)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 2412. Here, the doubling $2((m+1)P)$ is calcu-

20 lated using the formula of doubling in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 2412, the point $(2m+1)P$ obtained in the step 2410 and the point $(2m+2)P$ obtained in the step 2411 are stored as the set of points $((2m+1)P, (2m+2)P)$

25 instead of the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow returns to the step 2404. Here, the points $(2m+1)P$, $(2m+2)P$, mP , and $(m+1)P$ are all represented in the projective coordinates. In step 2414, from the set

of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates, X-coordinate X_{m-1} and Z-coordinate Z_{m-1} in the projective coordinates of the point $(m-1)P$ are obtained as X_{d-1} and Z_{d-1} . Thereafter, the flow goes to

5 step 2415. In the step 2415, X_m and Z_m are obtained as X_d and Z_d from the point $mP=(X_m, Y_m, Z_m)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and X_{m+1} and Z_{m+1} are obtained as X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} from the point $(m+1)P=(X_{m+1}, Y_{m+1}, Z_{m+1})$ represented by the projective coordinates. Here, Y_m and

10 Y_{m+1} are not obtained, because Y-coordinate cannot be obtained by the addition and doubling formulae in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. From X_{d-1} , Z_{d-1} , X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} , x_{d-1} , x_d , x_{d+1} are obtained as in Equations 24, 25, 26. Thereafter,

15 the flow goes to step 2413. In the step 2413, x_{d-1} , x_d , x_{d+1} are outputted. In the above procedure, m and scalar value d are equal in the bit length and bit pattern, and are therefore equal. Moreover, when $(m-1)P$ is obtained in step 2414, it may be obtained by Equations

20 13, 14. If m is an odd number, the value of $((m-1)/2)P$ is separately held in the step 2412, and $(m-1)P$ may be obtained from the value by the doubling formula of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve.

The computational amount of the addition

25 formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$ with $Z_1=1$. Here, M is the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field, and S is the computational amount

of squaring on the finite field. The computational amount of the doubling formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$. When the value of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 0, the computational amount of addition in the step 2407, and the computational amount of doubling in the step 2408 are required. That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. When the value of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 1, the computational amount of addition in the step 2410, and the computational amount of doubling in the step 2411 are required. That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. In any case, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. The number of repetitions of the steps 2404, 2405, 2406, 2407, 2408, 2409, or the steps 2404, 2405, 2406, 2410, 2411, 2412 is $(\text{bit length of the scalar value } d) - 1$. Therefore, in consideration of the computational amount of doubling in the step 2402, the computational amount necessary for the calculation of $(m-1)P$ in the step 2414, and the computational amount of the transform to the affine coordinates in the step 2415, the entire computational amount is $(6M+4S)k+11M+I$. Here, k is the bit length of the scalar value d . In general, since the computational amount S is estimated to be of the order of $S=0.8 M$, and the computational amount I is estimated to be of the order of $I=40 M$, the entire computational amount is approximately $(9.2k+51)M$. For example, when the scalar

5 H. Cohen, Efficient elliptic curve exponentiation using
mixed coordinates, Advances in Cryptology Proceedings
of ASIACRYPT'98, LNCS 1514 (1998) pp.51-65, the scalar
multiplication method using the window method and mixed
coordinates mainly including Jacobian coordinates in
10 the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is described as the
fast scalar multiplication method. In this case, the
computational amount per bit of the scalar value is
estimated to be about 10 M. Additionally, the
computational amount of the transform to the affine
15 coordinates is required. For example, when the scalar
value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational
amount of the scalar multiplication method is about
1640 M. Therefore, the algorithm of the aforementioned
procedure can be said to have a small computational
20 amount and high speed.

25 point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve at high
speed.

In a fourteenth embodiment, the scalar multiplication unit 103 calculates and outputs the

scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto as the point of the affine coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-

5 form elliptic curve. The scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve are inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and received by the scalar multiplication unit 202. The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 calculates X_d and Z_d in the

10 coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the

15 projective coordinates from the received scalar value d and the given point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. The information is given to the coordinate recovering unit 203 together with the inputted point $P=(x, y)$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve

20 represented by the affine coordinates. The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers coordinate x_d and y_d of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve from the given coordinate values X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} ,

25 x , and y . The scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the coordinate completely given thereto in the affine coordinates as the calculation result.

A processing of the coordinate recovering unit which outputs x_d , y_d from the given coordinates x , y , X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} will next be described with reference to FIG. 34.

5 The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs X_d
and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point
 $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates
in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in
the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the
10 Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the
projective coordinates, and (x, y) as representation of
the point P on Montgomery-form elliptic curve inputted
into the scalar multiplication unit 103 in the affine
coordinates, and outputs the scalar-multiplied point
15 (x_d, y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto in
the affine coordinates in the following procedure.
Here, the affine coordinate of the inputted point P on
the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by
 (x, y) , and the projective coordinate thereof is
20 represented by (X_1, Y_1, Z_1) . Assuming that the inputted
scalar value is d , the affine coordinate of the scalar-
multiplied point dP in the Montgomery-form elliptic
curve is represented by (x_d, y_d) , and the projective
coordinate thereof is represented by (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) . The
25 affine coordinate of the point $(d+1)P$ on the
Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by
 (x_{d+1}, y_{d+1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is
represented by $(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$.

In step 3401, xxZ_d is calculated and stored in the register T_1 . In step 3402 X_d+T_1 is calculated. Here, xZ_d is stored in the register T_1 , and therefore xZ_d+X_d is calculated. The result is stored in the

5 register T_2 . In step 3403 X_d-T_1 is calculated, here the register T_1 stores xZ_d , and therefore xZ_d-X_d is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 3404 a square of the register T_3 is calculated. Here, xZ_d-X_d is stored in the register T_3 , and therefore

10 $(X_d-xZ_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 3405 $T_3 \times X_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, $(X_d-xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_3 , and therefore $X_{d+1}(X_d-xZ_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 3406 $2A \times Z_d$ is calculated, and

15 stored in the register T_1 . In step 3407 T_2+T_1 is calculated. Here, xZ_d+X_d is stored in the register T_2 , $2AZ_d$ is stored in the register T_1 , and therefore $xZ_d+X_d+2AZ_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 3408 xxX_d is calculated and stored

20 in the register T_4 . In step 3409 T_4+Z_d is calculated. Here, the register T_4 stores xxX_d , and therefore xxX_d+Z_d is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_4 . In step 3410 $T_2 \times T_4$ is calculated. Here T_2 stores $xZ_d+X_d+2AZ_d$, the register T_4 stores xxX_d+Z_d , and therefore,

25 $(xZ_d+X_d+2AZ_d)(xxX_d+Z_d)$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 3411 $T_1 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, since the register T_1 stores $2AZ_d$, $2AZ_d^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the

register T_1 . In step 3412 $T_2 - T_1$ is calculated. Here $(xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xX_d + Z_d)$ is stored in the register T_2 , $2AZ_d^2$ is stored in the register T_1 , and therefore $(xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xX_d + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2$ is calculated. The result is

5 stored in the register T_2 . In step 3413 $T_2 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here $(xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xX_d + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and therefore, $Z_{d+1}((xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xX_d + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2)$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 3414 $T_2 - T_3$ is calculated. Here

10 $Z_{d+1}((xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xX_d + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2)$ is stored in the register T_2 , $X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_3 , and therefore $Z_{d+1}((xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xX_d + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2) - X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 3415 $2Bxy$ is calculated, and stored in the

15 register T_1 . In step 3416 $T_1 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, $2By$ is stored in the register T_1 , and therefore $2ByZ_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 3417 $T_1 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here the register T_1 stores $2ByZ_d$, and therefore $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}$ is calculated. The

20 result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 3418 $T_1 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here the register T_1 stores $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}$, and therefore $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 3419 the inverse element of the register T_3 is stored. Here the register

25 T_3 stores $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$, and therefore $1/2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 3420 $T_2 \times T_3$ is calculated. Here, the register T_2 stores $Z_{d+1}((xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xX_d + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2) - X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$, the

register T_3 stores $1/2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$, and therefore
 $\{Z_{d+1}((xZ_d+X_d+2AZ_d)(xX_d+Z_d)-2AZ_d^2)-X_{d+1}(X_d-xZ_d)^2\}/2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$ is
calculated. The result is stored in the register y_d .
In step 3421 $T_1 \times X_d$ is calculated. Here the register T_1
5 stores $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}$, and therefore $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}X_d$ is calculated.
The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 3422
 $T_1 \times T_3$ is calculated. Here, the register T_1 stores
 $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}X_d$, the register T_3 stores $1/2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$, and
therefore $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}X_d/2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d (=X_d/Z_d)$ is calculated.
10 The result is stored in x_d . In the step 3420 since
 $\{Z_{d+1}((xZ_d+X_d+2AZ_d)(xX_d+Z_d)-2AZ_d^2)-X_{d+1}(X_d-xZ_d)^2\}/2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$ is
stored in y_d , and is not updated thereafter, the value
is held.

A reason why all the values in the affine
15 coordinate (x_d, y_d) of the scalar-multiplied point in the
Montgomery-form elliptic curve are recovered from x , y ,
 X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} given to the coordinate recovering unit
203 by the aforementioned procedure is as follows.
Additionally, the point $(d+1)P$ is a point obtained by
20 adding the point P to the point dP . The assignment to
the addition formulae in the affine coordinates of the
Montgomery-form elliptic curve results in Equation 6.
Since the points P and dP are points on the Montgomery-
form elliptic curve, $By_d^2 = x_d^3 + Ax_d^2 + x_d$ and $By^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + x$ are
25 satisfied. When the value is assigned to Equation 6,
 By_d^2 and By^2 are deleted, and the equation is arranged,
the following is obtained.

$$y_d = \{(x_d x + 1)(x_d + x + 2A) - 2A - (x_d - x)^2 x_{d+1}\} / (2By)$$

... Equation 64

Here, $x_d = X_d/Z_d$, $x_{d+1} = X_{d+1}/Z_{d+1}$. The value is assigned and thereby converted to the value of the projective
5 coordinate. Then, the following equation is obtained.

$$y_d = \{Z_{d+1}((X_d x + Z_d)(X_d + xZ_d + 2AZ_d) - 2AZ_d^2) - (X_d - xZ_d)^2 X_{d+1}\} / (2ByZ_d Z_{d+1} Z_d)$$

... Equation 65

Although $x_d = X_d/Z_d$, the reduction to the denominator
10 common with that of y_d is performed for the purpose of reducing the frequency of inversion, and following equation is obtained.

$$x_d = (2ByZ_d Z_{d+1} X_d) / (2ByZ_d Z_{d+1} Z_d)$$

... Equation 66

15 Here, x_d , y_d are given by the processing of FIG. 34. Therefore, all values of the affine coordinate (x_d, y_d) are recovered.

For the aforementioned procedure, in the steps 3401, 3405, 3406, 3408, 3410, 3411, 3413, 3415,
20 3416, 3417, 3418, 3420, 3421, and 3422, the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is required. Moreover, the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is required in the step 3404. Moreover, in the step 3419 the computational
25 amount of inversion on the finite field is required. The computational amounts of addition and subtraction

on the finite field are relatively small as compared with the computational amounts of multiplication, squaring, and inversion on the finite field, and may therefore be ignored. Assuming that the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is M , the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is S , and the computational amount of inversion on the finite field is I , the above procedure requires a computational amount of $14M+S+I$. This is far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication is estimated to be a little less than about 1500 M . Assuming $S=0.8 M$, $I=40 M$, the computational amount of coordinate recovering is 54.8 M , and far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. Therefore, it is indicated that the coordinate can efficiently be recovered.

20 Additionally, even when the above procedure is not taken, but if the values of x_d , y_d given by the above equation can be calculated, the values of x_d , y_d can be recovered. In this case, the computational amount required for recovering generally increases.

25 Furthermore, when the value of A or B as the parameter of the elliptic curve is set to be small, the computational amount of multiplication in the step 3406 or 3415 can be reduced.

A processing of the fast scalar multiplication unit which outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve will next be described.

5 As the fast scalar multiplication method of the scalar multiplication unit 202 of the fourteenth embodiment, the fast scalar multiplication method of the first embodiment is used. Thereby, as the algorithm which outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} from the scalar
10 value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, the fast algorithm can be achieved. Additionally, instead of using the aforementioned algorithm in the scalar multiplication unit 202, any algorithm may be used as long as the algorithm outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1}
15 from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve at high speed.

The computational amount required for recovering the coordinate of the coordinate recovering unit 203 in the scalar multiplication unit 103 is
20 $14M+S+I$, and this is far small as compared with the computational amount of $(9.2k-4.6)M$ necessary for fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. Therefore, the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication of the scalar
25 multiplication unit 103 is substantially equal to the computational amount necessary for the fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit. Assuming that $I=40 M$, $S=0.8 M$, the computational amount

can be estimated to be about $(9.2k+50)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication is 1522 M . The Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve, the scalar multiplication method is used in which the window method and the mixed coordinates mainly including the Jacobian coordinates are used, and the scalar-multiplied point is outputted as the affine coordinates. In this case, the required computational amount is about 1640 M , and as compared with this, the required computational amount is reduced.

In a fifteenth embodiment, the scalar multiplication unit 103 calculates and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto as the point of the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. The scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve are inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and received by the scalar multiplication unit 202. The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 calculates X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the

projective coordinates from the received scalar value d and the given point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. The information is given to the coordinate recovering unit 203 together with the inputted point

5 $P=(x,y)$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates. The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers coordinate X_d , Y_d , and Z_d of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d,Y_d,Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form
 10 elliptic curve from the given coordinate values X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , x , and y . The scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar-multiplied point (X_d,Y_d,Z_d) with the coordinate completely given thereto in the projective coordinates as the calculation result.

15 A processing of the coordinate recovering unit which outputs X_d , Y_d , Z_d from the given coordinates x , y , X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} will next be described with reference to FIG. 35.

The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs X_d
 20 and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d,Y_d,Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1},Y_{d+1},Z_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the
 25 projective coordinates, and (x,y) as representation of the point P on Montgomery-form elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103 in the affine coordinates, and outputs the scalar-multiplied point

(X_d, Y_d, Z_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto in the projective coordinates in the following procedure. Here, the affine coordinate of the inputted point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by

5 (x, y) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_1, Y_1, Z_1) . Assuming that the inputted scalar value is d, the affine coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point dP in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_d, y_d) , and the projective

10 coordinate thereof is represented by (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) . The affine coordinate of the point $(d+1)P$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_{d+1}, y_{d+1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by $(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$.

15 In step 3501, xZ_d is calculated and stored in the register T_1 . In step 3502 X_d+T_1 is calculated. Here, xZ_d is stored in the register T_1 , and therefore xZ_d+X_d is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 3503 X_d-T_1 is calculated, here the

20 register T_1 stores xZ_d , and therefore xZ_d-X_d is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 3504 a square of the register T_3 is calculated. Here, xZ_d-X_d is stored in the register T_3 , and therefore $(X_d-xZ_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the

25 register T_3 . In step 3505 $T_3 \times X_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, $(X_d-xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_3 , and therefore $X_{d+1}(X_d-xZ_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 3506 $2AxZ_d$ is calculated, and

stored in the register T_1 . In step 3507 T_2+T_1 is calculated. Here, xZ_d+X_d is stored in the register T_2 , $2AZ_d$ is stored in the register T_1 , and therefore $xZ_d+X_d+2AZ_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 3508 xxX_d is calculated and stored in the register T_4 . In step 3509 T_4+Z_d is calculated. Here, the register T_4 stores xxX_d , and therefore xxX_d+Z_d is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_4 . In step 3510 $T_2 \times T_4$ is calculated. Here T_2 stores $xZ_d+X_d+2AZ_d$, the register T_4 stores xxX_d+Z_d , and therefore $(xZ_d+X_d+2AZ_d)(xxX_d+Z_d)$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 3511 $T_1 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, since the register T_1 stores $2AZ_d$, $2AZ_d^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 .

15 In step 3512 T_2-T_1 is calculated. Here $(xZ_d+X_d+2AZ_d)(xxX_d+Z_d)$ is stored in the register T_2 , $2AZ_d^2$ is stored in the register T_1 , and therefore $(xZ_d+X_d+2AZ_d)(xxX_d+Z_d)-2AZ_d^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 3513 $T_2 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here $(xZ_d+X_d+2AZ_d)(xxX_d+Z_d)-2AZ_d^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and therefore $Z_{d+1}((xZ_d+X_d+2AZ_d)(xxX_d+Z_d)-2AZ_d^2)$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 3514 T_2-T_3 is calculated. Here $Z_{d+1}((xZ_d+X_d+2AZ_d)(xxX_d+Z_d)-2AZ_d^2)$ is stored in the register T_2 , $X_{d+1}(X_d-xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_3 , and therefore $Z_{d+1}((xZ_d+X_d+2AZ_d)(xxX_d+Z_d)-2AZ_d^2)-X_{d+1}(X_d-xZ_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register Y_d . In step 3515 $2Bxy$ is calculated, and stored in the

196

register T_1 . In step 3516 $T_1 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here,
 Since $2By$ is stored in the register T_1 , $2ByZ_d$ is
 calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 .
 In step 3417 $T_1 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, since the
 5 register T_1 stores $2ByZ_d$, $2ByZ_d Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. The
 result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 3518 $T_1 \times X_d$
 is calculated. Here, since the register T_1 stores
 $2ByZ_d Z_{d+1}$, $2ByZ_d Z_{d+1} X_d$ is calculated. The result is stored
 in the register X_d . In step 3519 $T_1 \times Z_d$ is calculated.
 10 Here, since the register T_1 stores $2ByZ_d Z_{d+1}$, $2ByZ_d Z_{d+1} Z_d$ is
 calculated. The result is stored in the register Z_d .
 Since $2ByZ_d Z_{d+1} X_d$ is stored in X_d in the step 3518, and is
 not updated thereafter, the value is held. Since
 $Z_{d+1}((xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xX_d + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2) - x_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in
 15 Y_d , and is not updated thereafter, the value is held.

A reason why all the values in the projective
 coordinate (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) of the scalar-multiplied point are
 recovered from $x, y, X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}$ by the afore-
 mentioned procedure is as follows. Additionally, the
 20 point $(d+1)P$ is a point obtained by adding the point P
 to the point dP . The assignment to the addition
 formulae in the affine coordinates of the Montgomery-
 form elliptic curve results in Equation 6. Since the
 points P and dP are points on the Montgomery-form
 25 elliptic curve, $By_d^2 = x_d^3 + Ax_d^2 + x_d$ and $By^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + x$ are
 satisfied. When the value is assigned to Equation 6,
 By_d^2 and By^2 are deleted, and the equation is arranged,
 Equation 64 is obtained. Here, $x_d = X_d/Z_d$, $x_{d+1} = X_{d+1}/Z_{d+1}$.

The value is assigned and thereby converted to the value of the projective coordinate. Then, the Equation 65 is obtained. Although $x_d = X_d/Z_d$, the reduction to the denominator common with that of y_d is performed for the purpose of reducing the frequency of inversion, and Equation 66 results. As a result, the following equation is obtained.

$$Y_d = Z_{d+1}[(X_d + xZ_d + 2AZ_d)(X_dx + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2] - (X_d - xZ_d)^2 X_{d+1}$$

... Equation 67

Here, X_d , Y_d may be updated by the following equations.

$$2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}X_d$$

... Equation 68

$$2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}X_d$$

... Equation 69

Here, X_d , Y_d , Z_d are given by the processing of FIG. 35. Therefore, all the values of the projective coordinate (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) are recovered.

For the aforementioned procedure, in the steps 3501, 3505, 3506, 3508, 3510, 3511, 3513, 3515, 3516, 3517, 3518, and 3519, the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is required. Moreover, the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is required in the step 3504. The computational amounts of addition and subtraction on the finite field are relatively small as compared with the computational amounts of multiplication and squar-

ing on the finite field, and may therefore be ignored. Assuming that the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is M , and the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is S , the above
5 procedure requires a computational amount of $12M+S$. This is far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication
10 is estimated to be a little less than about 1500 M . Assuming $S=0.8 M$, the computational amount of coordinate recovering is 12.8 M , and far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. Therefore, it is indicated that the
15 coordinate can efficiently be recovered.

Additionally, even when the above procedure is not taken, but if the values of X_d , Y_d , Z_d given by the above equation can be calculated, the values of X_d , Y_d , Z_d can be recovered. Moreover, the values of X_d , Y_d , Z_d
20 Z_d are selected so that x_d , y_d take the values given by the aforementioned equations, the values can be calculated, and then X_d , Y_d , Z_d can be recovered. In this case, the computational amount required for recovering generally increases. Furthermore, when the value of A
25 or B as the parameter of the elliptic curve is set to be small, the computational amount of multiplication in the step 3506 or 3515 can be reduced.

An algorithm for outputting X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1}

from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve will next be described.

As the fast scalar multiplication method of the scalar multiplication unit 202 of the fifteenth
 5 embodiment, the fast scalar multiplication method of the first embodiment is used. Thereby, as the algorithm which outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, the fast algorithm can be achieved. Addition-
 10 ally, instead of using the aforementioned algorithm in the scalar multiplication unit 202, any algorithm may be used as long as the algorithm outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve at high speed.

15 The computational amount required for recovering the coordinate of the coordinate recovering unit 203 in the scalar multiplication unit 103 is $12M+S$, and this is far small as compared with the computational amount of $(9.2k-4.6)M$ necessary for fast
 20 scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. Therefore, the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is substantially equal to the computational amount necessary for the fast scalar
 25 multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit. Assuming that $S=0.8 M$, the computational amount can be estimated to be about $(9.2k+8)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computa-

tional amount necessary for the scalar multiplication is 1480 M. The Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve, the scalar multiplication method is used in which the window method and the mixed
5 coordinates mainly including the Jacobian coordinates are used, and the scalar-multiplied point is outputted as the Jacobian coordinates. In this case, the required computational amount is about 1600 M, and as compared with this, the required computational amount
10 is reduced.

In a sixteenth embodiment, the scalar multiplication unit 103 calculates and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto as the point of the affine
15 coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. The scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve are inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and received
20 by the scalar multiplication unit 202. The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 calculates x_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and x_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=$
25 (x_{d+1}, y_{d+1}) on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates from the received scalar value d and the given point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. The information is given to the

coordinate recovering unit 203 together with the inputted point $P=(x,y)$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates. The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers coordinate y_d of
 5 the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d,y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve from the given coordinate values x_d , x_{d+1} , x , and y . The scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d,y_d) with the coordinate
 10 completely given thereto in the affine coordinates as the calculation result.

A processing of the coordinate recovering unit which outputs x_d , y_d from the given coordinates x , y , x_d , x_{d+1} will next be described with reference to FIG.
 15 36.

The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs x_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d,y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, x_{d+1} in the coordinate of
 20 the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve $(d+1)P=(x_{d+1},y_{d+1})$ represented by the affine coordinates, and (x,y) as representation of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the affine coordinates inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103,
 25 and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d,y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto in the affine coordinates in the following procedure.

In step 3601 $x_d \times x$ is calculated, and stored in

the register T_1 . In step 3602 T_1+1 is calculated. Here, since $x_d x$ is stored in the register T_1 , $x_d x+1$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 3603 x_d+x is calculated, and stored in the

5 register T_2 . In step 3604 T_2+2A is calculated. Here, since x_d+x is stored in the register T_2 , x_d+x+2A is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 3605 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, since $x_d x+1$ is stored in the register T_1 , and x_d+x+2A is stored in the

10 register T_2 , $(x_d x+1)(x_d+x+2A)$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 3606 T_1-2A is calculated. Here, since $(x_d x+1)(x_d+x+2A)$ is stored in the register T_1 , $(x_d x+1)(x_d+x+2A)-2A$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 3607 x_d-x

15 is calculated, and stored in the register T_2 . In step 3608 a square of T_2 is calculated. Here, since x_d-x is stored in the register T_2 , $(x_d-x)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 3609 $T_2 \times x_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, since $(x_d-x)^2$ is stored in the

20 register T_2 , $(x_d-x)^2 x_{d+1}$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 3610 T_1-T_2 is calculated. Here, since $(x_d x+1)(x_d+x+2A)-2A$ is stored in the register T_1 and $(x_d-x)^2 x_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_2 , $(x_d x+1)(x_d+x+2A)-2A-(x_d-x)^2 x_{d+1}$ is calculated.

25 The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 3611, $2B \times y$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_2 . In step 3612 the inverse element of T_2 is calculated. Here, since $2B y$ is stored in the register T_2 , $1/2B y$ is

calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 .
 In step 3613 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, since
 $(x_d x + 1)(x_d + x + 2A) - 2A - (x_d - x)^2 x_{d+1}$ is stored in the register
 T_1 and $1/2By$ is stored in the register T_2 ,
 5 $(x_d x + 1)(x_d + x + 2A) - 2A - (x_d - x)^2 x_{d+1} / 2By$ is calculated. The
 result is stored in the register y_d . Therefore,
 $(x_d x + 1)(x_d + x + 2A) - 2A - (x_d - x)^2 x_{d+1} / 2By$ is stored in the
 register y_d . Since the x_d is not updated, the inputted
 value is held.

10 A reason why the y-coordinate y_d of the
 scalar-multiplied point is recovered by the afore-
 mentioned procedure is as follows. The point $(d+1)P$ is
 obtained by adding the point P to the point dP .
 The assignment to the addition formulae in the affine
 15 coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve
 results in Equation 6. Since the points P and dP are
 points on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve,
 $By_d^2 = x_d^3 + Ax_d^2 + x_d$ and $By^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + x$ are satisfied. When the
 value is assigned to Equation 6, By_d^2 and By^2 are
 20 deleted, and the equation is arranged, Equation 64 is
 obtained. Here, x_d , y_d are given by the processing of
 FIG. 36. Therefore, all the values of the affine
 coordinate (x_d, y_d) are recovered.

For the aforementioned procedure, in the
 25 steps 3601, 3605, 3609, 3611, and 3613, the computa-
 tional amount of multiplication on the finite field is
 required. Moreover, the computational amount of
 squaring on the finite field is required in the step

204

3608. Furthermore, the computational amount of the inversion on the finite field is required in the step 3612. The computational amounts of addition and subtraction on the finite field are relatively small as compared with the computational amounts of multiplication, squaring, and inversion on the finite field, and may therefore be ignored. Assuming that the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is M , the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is S , and the computational amount of inversion on the finite field is I , the above procedure requires a computational amount of $5M+S+I$. This is far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication is estimated to be a little less than about 1500 M . Assuming $S=0.8 M$, $I=40 M$, the computational amount of coordinate recovering is 45.8 M , and far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. Therefore, it is indicated that the coordinate can efficiently be recovered.

Additionally, even when the above procedure is not taken, but if the values of the right side of the equation can be calculated, the value of y_d can be recovered. In this case, the computational amount required for recovering generally increases. Furthermore, when the value of B as the parameter of the

elliptic curve is set to be small, the computational amount of multiplication in the step 2605 can be reduced.

A processing of the fast scalar multipli-
 5 cation unit for outputting x_d , x_{d+1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve will next be described with reference to FIG. 43.

The fast scalar multiplication unit 202
 inputs the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic
 10 curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103,
 and outputs x_d in the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$
 represented by the affine coordinate in the Montgomery-
 form elliptic curve, and x_{d+1} in the point $(d+1)P=$
 (x_{d+1}, y_{d+1}) on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve
 15 represented by the affine coordinate by the following
 procedure. In step 4301, the initial value 1 is
 assigned to the variable I . The doubled point $2P$ of
 the point P is calculated in step 4302. Here, the
 point P is represented as $(x, y, 1)$ in the projective
 20 coordinate, and the formula of doubling in the projec-
 tive coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve
 is used to calculate the doubled point $2P$. In step
 4303, the point P on the elliptic curve inputted into
 the scalar multiplication unit 103 and the point $2P$
 25 obtained in the step 4302 are stored as a set of points
 $(P, 2P)$. Here, the points P and $2P$ are represented by
 the projective coordinate. It is judged in step 4304
 whether or not the variable I agrees with the bit

length of the scalar value d . With agreement, the flow goes to step 4315. With disagreement, the flow goes to step 4305. The variable I is increased by 1 in the step 4305. It is judged in step 4306 whether the value
5 of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 0 or 1. When the value of the bit is 0, the flow goes to the step 4307. When the value of the bit is 1, the flow goes to step 4310. In step 4307, addition $mP + (m+1)P$ of points mP and $(m+1)P$ is performed from the set of points
10 $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinate, and the point $(2m+1)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 4308. Here, the addition $mP + (m+1)P$ is calculated using the addition formula in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic
15 curve. In step 4308, doubling $2(mP)$ of the point mP is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinate, and the point $2mP$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 4309. Here, the doubling $2(mP)$ is calculated using the
20 formula of doubling in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 4309, the point $2mP$ obtained in the step 4308 and the point $(2m+1)P$ obtained in the step 4307 are stored as the set of points $(2mP, (2m+1)P)$ instead of the set of points
25 $(mP, (m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow returns to the step 4304. Here, the points $2mP$, $(2m+1)P$, mP , and $(m+1)P$ are all represented in the projective coordinates. In step 4310, addition $mP + (m+1)P$ of the points mP , $(m+1)P$

is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and the point $(2m+1)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 4311. Here, the addition $mP + (m+1)P$ is calculated using the addition formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 4311, doubling $2((m+1)P)$ of the point $(m+1)P$ is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and the point $(2m+2)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 4312. Here, the doubling $2((m+1)P)$ is calculated using the formula of doubling in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 4312, the point $(2m+1)P$ obtained in the step 4310 and the point $(2m+2)P$ obtained in the step 4311 are stored as the set of points $((2m+1)P, (2m+2)P)$ instead of the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow returns to the step 4304. Here, the points $(2m+1)P$, $(2m+2)P$, mP , and $(m+1)P$ are all represented in the projective coordinates. In step 4315, X_m and Z_m as X_d and Z_d from the point $mP = (X_m, Y_m, Z_m)$ represented by the projective coordinates and X_{m+1} and Z_{m+1} as X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} from the point $(m+1)P = (X_{m+1}, Y_{m+1}, Z_{m+1})$ represented by the projective coordinates are obtained. Here, Y_m and Y_{m+1} are not obtained, because Y -coordinate cannot be obtained by the addition and doubling formulae in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. From X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} , $x_d = X_d Z_{d+1} / Z_d Z_{d+1}$ and

$x_{d+1}=Z_dX_{d+1}/Z_dZ_{d+1}$ are set, and x_d, x_{d+1} are obtained.

Thereafter, the flow goes to step 4313. In the step 4313, x_d, x_{d+1} are outputted. In the above procedure, m and scalar value d are equal in the bit length and bit pattern, and are therefore equal.

The computational amount of the addition formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$ with $Z_1=1$. Here, M is the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field, and S is the computational amount of squaring on the finite field. The computational amount of the doubling formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$. When the value of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 0, the computational amount of addition in the step 4307, and the computational amount of doubling in the step 4308 are required. That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. When the value of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 1, the computational amount of addition in the step 4310, and the computational amount of doubling in the step 4311 are required. That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. In any case, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. The number of repetitions of the steps 4304, 4305, 4306, 4307, 4308, 4309, or the steps 4304, 4305, 4306, 4310, 4311, 4312 is (bit length of the scalar value d)-1. Therefore, in consideration of the computational amount of doubling in the step 4302,

and the computational amount of the transform to the affine coordinates, the entire computational amount is $(6M+4S)k+2M-2S+I$. Here, k is the bit length of the scalar value d . In general, since the computational

5 amount S is estimated to be of the order of $S=0.8 M$, and the computational amount I is estimated to be of the order of $I=40 M$, the entire computational amount is approximately $(9.2k+40.4)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the

10 computational amount of algorithm of the aforementioned procedure is about 1512 M. The computational amount per bit of the scalar value d is about 9.2 M. In A. Miyaji, T. Ono, H. Cohen, Efficient elliptic curve exponentiation using mixed coordinates, Advances in

15 Cryptology Proceedings of ASIACRYPT'98, LNCS 1514 (1998) pp.51-65, the scalar multiplication method using the window method and mixed coordinates mainly including Jacobian coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is described as the fast scalar

20 multiplication method. In this case, the computational amount per bit of the scalar value is estimated to be about 10 M. Additionally, the computational amount of the transform to the affine coordinates is required. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits

25 ($k=160$), the computational amount of the scalar multiplication method is about 1640 M. Therefore, the algorithm of the aforementioned procedure can be said to have a small computational amount and high speed.

Additionally, instead of using the afore-mentioned algorithm in the scalar multiplication unit 202, any algorithm may be used as long as the algorithm outputs x_d , x_{d+1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve at high speed.

The computational amount required for recovering the coordinate of the coordinate recovering unit 203 in the scalar multiplication unit 103 is $5M+S+I$, and this is far small as compared with the computational amount of $(9.2k+40.4)M$ necessary for fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. Therefore, the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is substantially equal to the computational amount necessary for the fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit. Assuming that $S=0.8 M$, $I=40 M$, the computational amount can be estimated to be about $(9.2k+86.2)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication is 1558 M. The Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve, the scalar multiplication method is used in which the window method and the mixed coordinates mainly including the Jacobian coordinates are used, and the scalar-multiplied point is outputted as the affine coordinates. In this case, the required computational amount is about 1640 M, and as compared with this, the

required computational amount is reduced.

In a seventeenth embodiment, the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve. That is, the elliptic curve for use in input/output of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. Additionally, as the elliptic curve for use in the internal calculation of the scalar multiplication unit 103, the Montgomery-form elliptic curve which can be transformed from the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve may be used. The scalar multiplication unit 103 calculates and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto as the point of the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and received by the scalar multiplication unit 202. The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 calculates X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP = (X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, and X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P = (X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates from the received scalar value d and the given point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The information is given to the coordinate

recovering unit 203 together with the inputted point $P=(x,y)$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates. The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers coordinate x_d , and y_d of
 5 the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d,y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the given coordinate values X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , x , and y . The scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d,y_d) with the coordinate
 10 completely given thereto in the affine coordinates as the calculation result.

A processing of the coordinate recovering unit which outputs x_d , y_d from the given coordinates x , y , X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} will next be described with reference
 15 to FIG. 37.

The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d,Y_d,Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in
 20 the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1},Y_{d+1},Z_{d+1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates, and (x,y) as representation of the point P on Weierstrass-form elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103 in the affine
 25 coordinates, and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d,y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto in the affine coordinates in the following procedure. Here, the affine coordinate of the inputted point P on

the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is represented by (x, y) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_1, Y_1, Z_1) . Assuming that the inputted scalar value is d , the affine coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point dP in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_d, y_d) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) . The affine coordinate of the point $(d+1)P$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_{d+1}, y_{d+1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by $(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$.

In step 3701, $x \times Z_d$ is calculated and stored in the register T_1 . In step 3702 $X_d + T_1$ is calculated. Here, xZ_d is stored in the register T_1 , and therefore $xZ_d + X_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 3703 $X_d - T_1$ is calculated, here the register T_1 stores xZ_d , and therefore $xZ_d - X_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 3704 a square of the register T_3 is calculated. Here, since $xZ_d - X_d$ is stored in the register T_3 , $(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 3705 $T_3 \times X_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, since $(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_3 , $X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 3706 $x \times X_d$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_1 . In step 3707 $a \times Z_d$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_4 . In step 3708 $T_1 + T_4$ is calculated. Here, since xX_d is stored in the register T_1 , and aZ_d is stored in the

register T_4 , $xX_d + aZ_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 3709 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, since the register T_1 stores $xX_d + aZ_d$, and $xZ_d + X_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , $(xX_d + aZ_d)(xZ_d + X_d)$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 3710 a square of Z_d is calculated, and stored in the register T_2 . In step 3711 $T_2 \times 2b$ is calculated. Here, since the register T_2 stores Z_d^2 , $2bZ_d^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 3712 $T_1 + T_2$ is calculated. Here, since $(xX_d + aZ_d)(xZ_d + X_d)$ is stored in the register T_1 and $2bZ_d^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , $(xX_d + aZ_d)(xZ_d + X_d) + 2bZ_d^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 3713 $T_1 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, since $(xX_d + aZ_d)(xZ_d + X_d) + 2bZ_d^2$ is stored in the register T_1 , $Z_{d+1}((xX_d + aZ_d)(xZ_d + X_d) + 2bZ_d^2)$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 3714 $T_1 - T_3$ is calculated. Here, since $Z_{d+1}((xX_d + aZ_d)(xZ_d + X_d) + 2bZ_d^2)$ is stored in the register T_1 and $X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_3 , $Z_{d+1}((xX_d + aZ_d)(xZ_d + X_d) + 2bZ_d^2) - X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is calculated, and the result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 3715 $2y \times Z_d$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_2 . In step 3716 $T_2 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, since the register T_2 stores $2yZ_d$, $2yZ_dZ_{d+1}$ is calculated, and the result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 3717 $T_2 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, since $2yZ_dZ_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_2 , $2yZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$ is calculated, and the result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 3718, the

inverse element of the register T_3 is calculated. Here, since the register T_3 stores $2yZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$ is stored, $1/2yZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$ is calculated, and the result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 3719 $T_1 \times T_3$ is calculated.

- 5 Here, since the register T_1 stores $Z_{d+1}((xX_d + aZ_d)(xZ_d + X_d) + 2bZ_d^2) - x_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ and the register T_3 stores $1/2yZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$, $Z_{d+1}((xX_d + aZ_d)(xZ_d + X_d) + 2bZ_d^2) - x_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 / 2yZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$ is calculated, and the result is stored in the register y_d . In step 3720 $T_2 \times X_d$ is calculated. Here, since the
- 10 register T_2 stores $2yZ_dZ_{d+1}$, $2yZ_dZ_{d+1}X_d$ is calculated, and the result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 3721 $T_2 \times T_3$ is calculated. Here, since T_2 stores $2yZ_dZ_{d+1}X_d$ and the register T_3 stores $1/2yZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$, $2yZ_dZ_{d+1}X_d / 2yZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$ is calculated, and the result is stored in the register x_d .
- 15 Therefore, the register x_d stores $2yZ_dZ_{d+1}X_d / 2yZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$. In the step 3719 since $Z_{d+1}((xX_d + aZ_d)(xZ_d + X_d) + 2bZ_d^2) - x_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2 / 2yZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$ is stored in the register y_d , and is not updated thereafter, the value is held.

- A reason why all the values in the affine
- 20 coordinate (x_d, y_d) of the scalar-multiplied point in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are recovered from the given $x, y, X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}$ by the aforementioned procedure is as follows. Additionally, the point $(d+1)P$ is a point obtained by adding the point P to the
- 25 point dP . The assignment to the addition formulae in the affine coordinates of the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve results in Equations 27. Since the points P and dP are points on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve,

$y_d^2 = x_d^3 + ax_d + b$ and $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ are satisfied. When the value is assigned to Equation 27, y_d^2 and y^2 are deleted, and the equation is arranged, the following equation is obtained.

$$5 \quad y_d = \{(x_d x + a)(x_d + x) + 2b - (x_d - x)^2 x_{d+1}\} / (2y) \\ \dots \text{Equation 70}$$

Here, $x_d = X_d / Z_d$, $x_{d+1} = X_{d+1} / Z_{d+1}$. The value is assigned and thereby converted to the value of the projective coordinate. Then, the following equation is obtained.

$$10 \quad y_d = \{Z_{d+1}((X_d x + aZ_d)(X_d + xZ_d) - 2bZ_d^2) - (X_d - xZ_d)^2 X_{d+1}\} / (2yZ_d Z_{d+1} Z_d) \\ \dots \text{Equation 71}$$

Although $x_d = X_d / Z_d$, the reduction to the denominator common with that of y_d is performed for the purpose of reducing the frequency of inversion, and the following equation results.

$$15 \quad x_d = (2yZ_d Z_{d+1} X_d) / (2yZ_d Z_{d+1} Z_d) \\ \dots \text{Equation 72}$$

Here, x_d , y_d are given by the processing shown in FIG. 37. Therefore, all the values of the affine coordinate (x_d, y_d) are recovered.

For the aforementioned procedure, in the steps 3701, 3705, 3706, 3707, 3709, 3710, 3711, 3713, 3715, 3716, 3717, 3719, 3720, and 3721, the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is required. Moreover, the computational amount of

squaring on the finite field is required in the step 3704. Furthermore, the computational amount of the inversion on the finite field is required in the step 3718. The computational amounts of addition and subtraction on the finite field are relatively small as compared with the computational amounts of multiplication, squaring, and inversion on the finite field, and may therefore be ignored. Assuming that the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is M , the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is S , and the computational amount of inversion on the finite field is I , the above procedure requires a computational amount of $14M+S+I$. This is far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication is estimated to be a little less than about 1500 M . Assuming $S=0.8 M$, $I=40 M$, the computational amount of coordinate recovering is 54.8 M , and far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. Therefore, it is indicated that the coordinate can efficiently be recovered.

Additionally, even when the above procedure is not taken, but if the values of x_d , y_d can be calculated, the values of x_d , y_d can be recovered. In this case, the computational amount required for recovering generally increases.

A processing of the fast scalar multiplication unit for outputting X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve will next be described with reference to
 5 FIG. 44.

The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 inputs the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and outputs X_d and Z_d in the scalar-multiplied point
 10 $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinate in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, and X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinate by the following procedure. In step 4416, the given
 15 point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is transformed to the point represented by the projective coordinates on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. This point is set anew to point P . In step 4401, the initial value 1 is assigned to the variable I . The
 20 doubled point $2P$ of the point P is calculated in step 4402. Here, the point P is represented as $(x, y, 1)$ in the projective coordinate, and the doubling formula in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is used to calculate the doubled point
 25 $2P$. In step 4403, the point P on the elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103 and the point $2P$ obtained in the step 4402 are stored as a set of points $(P, 2P)$. Here, the points P and $2P$ are

represented by the projective coordinate. It is judged in step 4404 whether or not the variable I agrees with the bit length of the scalar value d. With agreement, the flow goes to step 4415. With disagreement, the

5 flow goes to step 4405. The variable I is increased by 1 in the step 4405. It is judged in step 4406 whether the value of the I-th bit of the scalar value is 0 or 1. When the value of the bit is 0, the flow goes to the step 4407. When the value of the bit is 1, the

10 flow goes to step 4410. In step 4407, addition $mP + (m+1)P$ of points mP and $(m+1)P$ is performed from a set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinate, and the point $(2m+1)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 4408. Here, the

15 addition $mP + (m+1)P$ is calculated using the addition formula in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In step 4408, doubling $2(mP)$ of the point mP is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinate,

20 and the point $2mP$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 4409. Here, the doubling $2(mP)$ is calculated using the formula of doubling in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 4409, the point $2mP$ obtained in the step 4408

25 and the point $(2m+1)P$ obtained in the step 4407 are stored as a set of points $(2mP, (2m+1)P)$ instead of the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow returns to the step 4404. Here, the points $2mP$,

($2m+1$)P, mP, and $(m+1)$ P are all represented in the projective coordinates. In step 4410, addition $mP+(m+1)P$ of the points mP, $(m+1)P$ is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the

5 projective coordinates, and the point $(2m+1)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 4411. Here, the addition $mP+(m+1)P$ is calculated using the addition formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 4411,

10 doubling $2((m+1)P)$ of the point $(m+1)P$ is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and the point $(2m+2)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 4412. Here, the doubling $2((m+1)P)$ is calculated using the

15 formula of doubling in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 4412, the point $(2m+1)P$ obtained in the step 4410 and the point $(2m+2)P$ obtained in the step 4411 are stored as a set of points $((2m+1)P, (2m+2)P)$ instead of the set of

20 points $(mP, (m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow returns to the step 4404. Here, the points $(2m+1)P$, $(2m+2)P$, mP, and $(m+1)P$ are all represented in the projective coordinates. In step 4415, the point $(m-1)P$ in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is transformed to the

25 point shown by the projective coordinates on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The X-coordinate and Z-coordinate of the point are set anew to X_{m-1} and Z_{m-1} . Moreover, with respect to the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$

represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, the points mP and $(m+1)P$ are transformed to the points represented by the projective coordinates on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, and are set anew to $mP=(X_m, Y_m, Z_m)$ and $(m+1)P=(X_{m+1}, Y_{m+1}, Z_{m+1})$. Here, Y_m and Y_{m+1} are not obtained, because the Y -coordinate cannot be obtained by the addition and doubling formulae in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In step 4413, X_m and Z_m are outputted as X_d and Z_d from the point $mP=(X_m, Y_m, Z_m)$ represented by the projective coordinates on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, and X_{m+1} and Z_{m+1} are outputted as X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} from the point $(m+1)P=(X_{m+1}, Y_{m+1}, Z_{m+1})$ represented by the projective coordinates on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. In the above procedure, m and scalar value d are equal in the bit length and bit pattern, and are therefore equal.

The computational amount of the addition formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$ with $Z_1=1$. Here, M is the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field, and S is the computational amount of squaring on the finite field. The computational amount of the doubling formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$. When the value of the i -th bit of the scalar value is 0, the computational amount of addition in the

step 4407, and the computational amount of doubling in the step 4408 are required. That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. When the value of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 1, the computational

5 amount of addition in the step 4410, and the computational amount of doubling in the step 4411 are required. That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. In any case, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. The number of repetitions of the

10 steps 4404, 4405, 4406, 4407, 4408, 4409, or the steps 4404, 4405, 4406, 4410, 4411, 4412 is $(\text{bit length of the scalar value } d) - 1$. Therefore, in consideration of the computational amount of doubling in the step 4402, the computational amount necessary for the transform to

15 the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the step 4416, and the computational amount necessary for the transform to the point on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the step 4415, the entire computational amount is $(6M+4S)k+2M-2S$. Here, k is the bit

20 length of the scalar value d . In general, since the computational amount S is estimated to be of the order of $S=0.8 M$, the entire computational amount is approximately $(9.2k+0.4)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the

25 computational amount of algorithm of the aforementioned procedure is about 1472 M . The computational amount per bit of the scalar value d is about 9.2 M . In A. Miyaji, T. Ono, H. Cohen, Efficient elliptic curve

exponentiation using mixed coordinates, Advances in Cryptology Proceedings of ASIACRYPT'98, LNCS 1514 (1998) pp.51-65, the scalar multiplication method using the window method and mixed coordinates mainly including Jacobian coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is described as the fast scalar multiplication method. In this case, the computational amount per bit of the scalar value is estimated to be about 10 M. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount of the scalar multiplication method is about 1600 M. Therefore, the algorithm of the aforementioned procedure according to the present invention can be said to have a small computational amount and high speed.

Additionally, instead of using the aforementioned algorithm in the fast scalar multiplication unit 202, another algorithm may be used as long as the algorithm outputs $X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}$ from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve at high speed.

The computational amount required for recovering the coordinate of the coordinate recovering unit 203 in the scalar multiplication unit 103 is $14M+S+I$, and this is far small as compared with the computational amount of $(9.2k+0.4)M$ necessary for fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. Therefore, the computational amount

necessary for the scalar multiplication of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is substantially equal to the computational amount necessary for the fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit.

5 Assuming $I=40$ M, $S=0.8$ M, the computational amount can be estimated to be about $(9.2k+55.2)$ M. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication is about 1527 M. The Weierstrass-form elliptic
10 curve is used as the elliptic curve, the scalar multiplication method is used in which the window method and the mixed coordinates mainly including the Jacobian coordinates are used, and the scalar-multiplied point is outputted as the affine coordi-
15 nates. In this case, the required computational amount is about 1640 M, and as compared with this, the required computational amount is reduced.

In a eighteenth embodiment, the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve.

20 That is, the elliptic curve for use in input/output of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. Additionally, as the elliptic curve for use in the internal calculation of the scalar multiplication unit 103, the Montgomery-form elliptic
25 curve which can be transformed from the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve may be used. The scalar multiplication unit 103 calculates and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) with the complete coordinate

given thereto as the point of the projective coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and received by the scalar multiplication unit 202. The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 calculates X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, and X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates from the received scalar value d and the given point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The information is given to the coordinate recovering unit 203 together with the inputted point $P=(x, y)$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates. The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers coordinate X_d , Y_d , and Z_d of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the given coordinate values X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , x , and y . The scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar-multiplied point (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) with the coordinate completely given thereto in the projective coordinates as the calculation result.

A processing of the coordinate recovering

unit which outputs X_d , Y_d , and Z_d from the given coordinates x , y , X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} will next be described with reference to FIG. 38.

The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates, and (x, y) as representation of the point P on Weierstrass-form elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103 in the affine coordinates, and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto in the projective coordinates in the following procedure. Here, the affine coordinate of the inputted point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is represented by (x, y) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_1, Y_1, Z_1) . Assuming that the inputted scalar value is d , the affine coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point dP in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_d, y_d) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) . The affine coordinate of the point $(d+1)P$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_{d+1}, y_{d+1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by $(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$.

In step 3801, xxZ_d is calculated and stored in

the register T_1 . In step 3802 $X_d + T_1$ is calculated. Here, xZ_d is stored in the register T_1 , and therefore $xZ_d + X_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 3803 $X_d - T_1$ is calculated, here the

5 register T_1 stores xZ_d , and therefore $xZ_d - X_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 3804 a square of the register T_3 is calculated. Here, since $xZ_d - X_d$ is stored in the register T_3 , $(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 .

10 In step 3805 $T_3 \times X_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, since $(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_3 , $X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 3806 xxX_d is calculated, and stored in the register T_1 . In step 3807 axZ_d is calculated, and stored in the register

15 T_4 . In step 3808 $T_1 + T_4$ is calculated. Here, since xxX_d is stored in the register T_1 , and axZ_d is stored in the register T_4 , $xxX_d + axZ_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 3809 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, since the register T_1 stores $xxX_d + axZ_d$, and $xZ_d + X_d$ is

20 stored in the register T_2 , $(xxX_d + axZ_d)(xZ_d + X_d)$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 3810 a square of the register Z_d is calculated, and stored in the register T_2 . In step 3811 $T_2 \times 2b$ is calculated. Here, since the register T_2 stores Z_d^2 ,

25 $2bZ_d^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 3812 $T_1 + T_2$ is calculated. Here, since $(xxX_d + axZ_d)(xZ_d + X_d)$ is stored in the register T_1 and $2bZ_d^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , $(xxX_d + axZ_d)(xZ_d + X_d) + 2bZ_d^2$

is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 .
 In step 3813 $T_1 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, since
 $(xX_d + aZ_d)(xZ_d + X_d) + 2bZ_d^2$ is stored in the register T_1 ,
 $Z_{d+1}((xX_d + aZ_d)(xZ_d + X_d) + 2bZ_d^2)$ is calculated. The result is
 5 stored in the register T_1 . In step 3814 $T_1 - T_3$ is
 calculated. Here, since $Z_{d+1}((xX_d + aZ_d)(xZ_d + X_d) + 2bZ_d^2)$ is
 stored in the register T_1 and $X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in
 the register T_3 , $Z_{d+1}((xX_d + aZ_d)(xZ_d + X_d) + 2bZ_d^2) - X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$
 is calculated, and the result is stored in the register
 10 Y_d . In step 3815 $2y \times Z_d$ is calculated, and stored in the
 register T_2 . In step 3816 $T_2 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here,
 since the register T_2 stores $2yZ_d$, $2yZ_dZ_{d+1}$ is calculated,
 and the result is stored in the register T_2 . In step
 3817 $T_2 \times X_d$ is calculated. Here, since $2yZ_dZ_{d+1}$ is stored
 15 in the register T_2 , $2yZ_dZ_{d+1}X_d$ is calculated, and the
 result is stored in the register X_d . In step 3819, $T_2 \times Z_d$
 is calculated. Here, since the register T_2 stores
 $2yZ_dZ_{d+1}$, $2yZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$ is calculated, and the result is
 stored in the register Z_d . Therefore, the register Z_d
 20 stores $2yZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$. In the step 3814 since
 $Z_{d+1}((xX_d + aZ_d)(xZ_d + X_d) + 2bZ_d^2) + X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the
 register Y_d , and is not updated thereafter, the value is
 held. In the step 3817, since $2yZ_dZ_{d+1}X_d$ is stored in
 the register X_d , and is not updated thereafter, the
 25 value is held.

A reason why all the values in the projective
 coordinate (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) of the scalar-multiplied point in
 the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are recovered from

the given $x, y, X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}$ by the aforementioned procedure is as follows. Additionally, the point $(d+1)P$ is a point obtained by adding the point P to the point dP . The assignment to the addition formulae in
5 the affine coordinates of the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve results in Equations 27. Since the points P and dP are points on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, $y_d^2 = x_d^3 + ax_d + b$ and $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ are satisfied. When the value is assigned to Equation 27, y_d^2 and y^2 are deleted,
10 and the equation is arranged, Equation 70 is obtained. Here, $x_d = X_d/Z_d$, $x_{d+1} = X_{d+1}/Z_{d+1}$. The value is assigned and thereby converted to the value of the projective coordinate. Then, Equation 71 is obtained. Although $x_d = X_d/Z_d$, the reduction to the denominator common with
15 that of y_d is performed for the purpose of reducing the frequency of inversion, and Equation 72 results.

$$Y_d = Z_{d+1}[(X_d x + aZ_d)(X_d + xZ_d) + 2bZ_d^2] - (X_d - xZ_d)^2 X_{d+1}$$

... Equation 73

Here, X_d and Z_d may be updated by the following.

20

$$2yZ_d Z_{d+1} X_d$$

... Equation 74

$$2yZ_d Z_{d+1} Z_d$$

... Equation 75

Here, X_d, Y_d, Z_d are given by the processing shown in
25 FIG. 38. Therefore, all the values of the projective coordinate (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) are recovered.

For the aforementioned procedure, in the steps 3801, 3805, 3806, 3807, 3809, 3811, 3813, 3815, 3816, 3817 and 3818, the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is required.

5 Moreover, the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is required in the steps 3804 and 3810. The computational amounts of addition and subtraction on the finite field are relatively small as compared with the computational amounts of multiplication and
10 squaring on the finite field, and may therefore be ignored. Assuming that the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is M , and the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is S , the above procedure requires a computational amount
15 of $11M+2S$. This is far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication is estimated to be a little less than
20 about 1500 M . Assuming $S=0.8 M$, the computational amount of coordinate recovering is 12.6 M , and far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. Therefore, it is indicated that the coordinate can efficiently be recovered.

25 Additionally, even when the above procedure is not taken, but if the values of X_d , Y_d , Z_d can be calculated, the values of X_d , Y_d , Z_d can be recovered. Moreover, the values of X_d , Y_d , Z_d are selected so that

x_d, y_d take the values given by the aforementioned equations. When the values can be calculated, and X_d, Y_d, Z_d can be recovered. In this case, the computational amount required for recovering generally

5 increases.

An algorithm for outputting $X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}$ from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve will next be described.

As the fast scalar multiplication method of
 10 the scalar multiplication unit 202 of the eighteenth embodiment, the fast scalar multiplication method of the seventeenth embodiment is used. Thereby, as the algorithm which outputs $X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}$ from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form
 15 elliptic curve, the fast algorithm is achieved. Additionally, instead of using the aforementioned algorithm in the scalar multiplication unit 202, any algorithm may be used as long as the algorithm outputs $X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}$ from the scalar value d and the point P
 20 on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve at high speed.

The computational amount required for recovering the coordinate of the coordinate recovering unit 203 in the scalar multiplication unit 103 is $11M+2S$, and this is far small as compared with the
 25 computational amount of $(9.2k+0.4)M$ necessary for the fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. Therefore, the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication of the

In a nineteenth embodiment, the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve. That is, the elliptic curve for use in input/output of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. Additionally, as the elliptic curve for use in the internal calculation of the scalar multiplication unit 103, the Montgomery-form elliptic curve which can be transformed from the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve may be used. The scalar multiplication unit 103 calculates and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto as the point of the affine coordinates in

the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and received by the scalar multiplication unit 202. The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 calculates x_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, x_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(x_{d+1}, y_{d+1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates, and x_{d-1} in the coordinate of the point $(d-1)P=(x_{d-1}, y_{d-1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates from the received scalar value d and the given point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The information is given to the coordinate recovering unit 203 together with the inputted point $P=(x, y)$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates. The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers the coordinate y_d of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the given coordinate values x_d , x_{d+1} , x_{d-1} , x , and y . The scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the coordinate completely given thereto in the affine coordinates as the calculation result.

A processing of the coordinate recovering unit which outputs x_d, y_d from the given coordinates x, y, x_d, x_{d+1} will next be described with reference to FIG. 39.

5 The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs x_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, x_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(x_{d+1}, y_{d+1})$ on the Weierstrass-form
10 elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates, and (x, y) as representation of the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103 in the affine coordinates, and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d)
15 with the complete coordinate given thereto in the affine coordinates in the following procedure.

 In step 3901 $x_d \times x$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_1 . In step 3902 $T_1 + a$ is calculated. Here, since $x_d \times x$ is stored in the register T_1 , $x_d \times x + a$ is
20 calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 3903 $x_d + x$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_2 . In step 3904 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, since $x_d \times x + a$ is stored in the register T_1 , and $x_d + x$ is stored in the register T_2 , $(x_d \times x + a)(x_d + x)$ is calculated.
25 The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 3905 $T_1 + 2b$ is calculated. Here, since $(x_d \times x + a)(x_d + x)$ is stored in the register T_1 , $(x_d \times x + a)(x_d + x) + 2b$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 3906 $x_d - x$

is calculated, and stored in the register T_2 . In step 3907 a square of T_2 is calculated. Here, since $x_d - x$ is stored in the register T_2 , $(x_d - x)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 3908

5 $T_2 \times x_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, since $(x_d - x)^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , $x_{d+1}(x_d - x)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 3909 $T_1 - T_2$ is calculated. Here, since $(x_d x + a)(x_d + x) + 2b$ is stored in the register T_1 and $x_{d+1}(x_d - x)^2$ is stored in the register

10 T_2 , $(x_d x + a)(x_d + x) + 2b - x_{d+1}(x_d - x)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 3910 the inverse element of $2y$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_2 . In step 3911 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, since $(x_d x + a)(x_d + x) + 2b - x_{d+1}(x_d - x)^2$ is stored in the register T_1

15 and $1/2y$ is stored in the register T_2 , $((x_d x + a)(x_d + x) + 2b - x_{d+1}(x_d - x)^2)/2y$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register y_d . Therefore, $((x_d x + a)(x_d + x) + 2b - x_{d+1}(x_d - x)^2)/2y$ is stored in the register y_d . Since the register x_d is not updated, the inputted value is held.

20 A reason why the y-coordinate y_d of the scalar-multiplied point is recovered by the aforementioned procedure is as follows. The point $(d+1)P$ is obtained by adding the point P to the point dP . The assignment to the addition formulae in the affine

25 coordinates of the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve results in Equation 27. Since the points P and dP are points on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, $y_d^2 = x_d^3 + ax_d + b$ and $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ are satisfied. When the

1

5

For the aforementioned procedure, in the steps 3901, 3904, 3908, and 3911, the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is required. Moreover, the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is required in the step 3907. Furthermore, the computational amount of the inversion on the finite field is required in the step 3910. The computational amounts of addition and subtraction on the finite field are relatively small as compared with the computational amounts of multiplication, squaring, and inversion on the finite field, and may therefore be ignored. Assuming that the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is M , the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is S , and the computational amount of inversion on the finite field is I , the above procedure requires a computational amount of $4M+S+I$. This is far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication is estimated to be a little less than about 1500 M . Assuming $S=0.8 M$, $I=40 M$, the computational amount of coordinate

recovering is 44.8 M, and far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. Therefore, it is indicated that the coordinate can efficiently be recovered.

5 Additionally, even when the above procedure is not taken, but if the values of the right side of the equation can be calculated, the value of y_d can be recovered. In this case, the computational amount required for recovering generally increases.

10 An algorithm for outputting x_d , x_{d+1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve will next be described with reference to FIG. 44.

 The fast scalar multiplication unit 202
15 inputs the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and outputs x_d in the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinate in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, and x_{d+1} in the point
20 $(d+1)P=(x_{d+1}, y_{d+1})$ on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinate by the following procedure. In step 4416, the given point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is transformed to the point represented by the projective coordinates on the
25 Montgomery-form elliptic curve. This point is set anew to point P . In step 4401, the initial value 1 is assigned to the variable I . The doubled point $2P$ of the point P is calculated in step 4402. Here, the

point P is represented as $(x, y, 1)$ in the projective coordinate, and the formula of doubling in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is used to calculate the doubled point $2P$. In step 5 4403, the point P on the elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103 and the point $2P$ obtained in the step 4402 are stored as a set of points $(P, 2P)$. Here, the points P and $2P$ are represented by the projective coordinate. It is judged in step 4404 10 whether or not the variable I agrees with the bit length of the scalar value d . With agreement, the flow goes to step 4415. With disagreement, the flow goes to step 4405. The variable I is increased by 1 in the step 4405. It is judged in step 4406 whether the value 15 of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 0 or 1. When the value of the bit is 0, the flow goes to the step 4407. When the value of the bit is 1, the flow goes to step 4410. In step 4407, addition $mP + (m+1)P$ of points mP and $(m+1)P$ is performed from the set of points 20 $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinate, and the point $(2m+1)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 4408. Here, the addition $mP + (m+1)P$ is calculated using the addition formula in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. 25 In step 4408, doubling $2(mP)$ of the point mP is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinate, and the point $2mP$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step

4409. Here, the doubling $2(mP)$ is calculated the formula of doubling in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In step 4409, the point $2mP$ obtained in the step 4408 and the point

5 $(2m+1)P$ obtained in the step 4407 are stored as a set of points $(2mP, (2m+1)P)$ instead of the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow returns to the step 4404. Here, the points $2mP$, $(2m+1)P$, mP , and $(m+1)P$ are all represented in the projective coordinates. In

10 step 4410, addition $mP+(m+1)P$ of the points mP , $(m+1)P$ is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and the point $(2m+1)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 4411. Here, the addition $mP+(m+1)P$ is

15 calculated using the addition formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 4411, doubling $2((m+1)P)$ of the point $(m+1)P$ is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and the

20 point $(2m+2)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 4412. Here, the doubling $2((m+1)P)$ is calculated using the formula of doubling in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 4412, the point $(2m+1)P$ obtained in the step

25 4410 and the point $(2m+2)P$ obtained in the step 4411 are stored as a set of points $((2m+1)P, (2m+2)P)$ instead of the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow returns to the step 4404. Here, the points $(2m+1)P$,

($2m+2$)P, mP, and $(m+1)$ P are all represented in the projective coordinates. In step 4415, with respect to the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, the points mP and $(m+1)P$ are transformed to the point shown by the affine coordinates on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, and set anew to $mP=(x_m, y_m)$ and $(m+1)P=(x_{m+1}, y_{m+1})$. Here, y_m and y_{m+1} are not obtained, because the Y-coordinate cannot be obtained by the addition and doubling formulae in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 4413. In the step 4413, x_m is outputted as x_d from the point $mP=(x_m, y_m)$ represented by the affine coordinates on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, and x_{m+1} is outputted as x_{d+1} from the point $(m+1)P=(x_{m+1}, y_{m+1})$ represented by the affine coordinates on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. In the above procedure, m and scalar value d are equal in the bit length and bit pattern, and are therefore equal.

The computational amount of the addition formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$ with $Z_1=1$. Here, M is the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field, and S is the computational amount of squaring on the finite field. The computational amount of the doubling formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is

$3M+2S$. When the value of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 0, the computational amount of addition in the step 4407, and the computational amount of doubling in the step 4408 are required. That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. When the value of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 1, the computational amount of addition in the step 4410, and the computational amount of doubling in the step 4411 are required. That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. In any case, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. The number of repetitions of the steps 4404, 4405, 4406, 4407, 4408, 4409, or the steps 4404, 4405, 4406, 4410, 4411, 4412 is (bit length of the scalar value d)-1. Therefore, in consideration of the computational amount of doubling in the step 4402, the computational amount necessary for the transform to the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the step 4416, and the computational amount necessary for the transform to the point on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the step 4415, the entire computational amount is $(6M+4S)k+4M-2S+I$. Here, k is the bit length of the scalar value d . In general, since the computational amount S is estimated to be of the order of $S=0.8 M$, and the computational amount I is estimated to be of the order of $I=40 M$, the entire computational amount is approximately $(9.2k+42.4)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount of algorithm of the aforementioned

procedure is about 1514 M. The computational amount per bit of the scalar value d is about 9.2 M. In A. Miyaji, T. Ono, H. Cohen, Efficient elliptic curve exponentiation using mixed coordinates, Advances in Cryptology Proceedings of ASIACRYPT'98, LNCS 1514 (1998) pp.51-65, the scalar multiplication method using the window method and mixed coordinates mainly including Jacobian coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is described as the fast scalar multiplication method. In this case, the computational amount per bit of the scalar value is estimated to be about 10 M. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount of the scalar multiplication method is about 1640 M. Therefore, the algorithm of the aforementioned procedure can be said to have a small computational amount and high speed.

Additionally, instead of using the aforementioned algorithm in the fast scalar multiplication unit 202, another algorithm may be used as long as the algorithm outputs x_d , x_{d+1} , x_{d-1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve at high speed.

The computational amount required for recovering the coordinate of the coordinate recovering unit 203 in the scalar multiplication unit 103 is $4M+S+I$, and this is far small as compared with the computational amount of $(9.2k+42.4)M$ necessary for fast

scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. Therefore, the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is substantially equal to the computational amount necessary for the fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit. Assuming $I=40\text{ M}$, $S=0.8\text{ M}$, the computational amount can be estimated to be about $(9.2k+87.2)\text{M}$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication is about 1559 M. The Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve, the scalar multiplication method is used in which the window method and the mixed coordinates mainly including the Jacobian coordinates are used, and the scalar-multiplied point is outputted as the affine coordinates. In this case, the required computational amount is about 1640 M, and as compared with this, the required computational amount is reduced.

In a twentieth embodiment, the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve for the input/output, and the Montgomery-form elliptic curve which can be transformed from the inputted Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used for the internal calculation. The scalar multiplication unit 103 calculates and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto as the point of the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-

form elliptic curve from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and received by the scalar multiplication unit 202. The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 calculates X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates from the received scalar value d and the given point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. Moreover, the inputted point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is transformed to the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve which can be transformed from the given Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, and the point is set anew to $P=(x, y)$. The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 gives X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , x , and y to the coordinate recovering unit 203. The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers coordinate x_d , y_d of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the given coordinate values X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , x , and y . The scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the coordinate completely given thereto in the affine coordinates as the calculation

result.

A processing of the coordinate recovering unit for outputting x_d, y_d from the given coordinates $x, y, X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}$ will next be described with reference
 5 to FIG. 40.

The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in
 10 the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the projective coordinates, and (x, y) as representation of the point P on Montgomery-form elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103 in the affine
 15 coordinates, and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d, y_d) with the complete coordinate given thereto in the affine coordinates in the following procedure. Here, the affine coordinate of the inputted point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by
 20 (x, y) , and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by (X_1, Y_1, Z_1) . Assuming that the inputted scalar value is d , the affine coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point dP in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by $(x_d^{\text{Mon}}, y_d^{\text{Mon}})$, and the projective
 25 coordinate thereof is represented by (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) . The affine coordinate of the point $(d+1)P$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x_{d+1}, y_{d+1}) , and the projective coordinate thereof is

represented by $(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$.

In step 4001, $x \times Z_d$ is calculated and stored in the register T_1 . In step 4002 $X_d + T_1$ is calculated. Here, xZ_d is stored in the register T_1 , and therefore

5 $xZ_d + X_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 4003 $X_d - T_1$ is calculated, here the register T_1 stores xZ_d , and therefore $xZ_d - X_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 4004 a square of the register T_3 is calculated.

10 Here, $xZ_d - X_d$ is stored in the register T_3 , and therefore $(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 4005 $T_3 \times X_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, $(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_3 , and therefore $X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the

15 register T_3 . In step 4006 $2A \times Z_d$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_1 . In step 4007 $T_2 + T_1$ is calculated. Here, $xZ_d + X_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , $2AZ_d$ is stored in the register T_1 , and therefore $xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the

20 register T_2 . In step 4008 $x \times X_d$ is calculated and stored in the register T_4 . In step 4009 $T_4 + Z_d$ is calculated. Here, the register T_4 stores xX_d , and therefore $xX_d + Z_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_4 . In step 4010 $T_2 \times T_4$ is calculated. Here T_2 stores

25 $xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d$, the register T_4 stores $xX_d + Z_d$, and therefore $(xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xX_d + Z_d)$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 4011 $T_1 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, since the register T_1 stores $2AZ_d$,

$2AZ_d^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 4012 $T_2 - T_1$ is calculated. Here $(xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xX_d + Z_d)$ is stored in the register T_2 , $2AZ_d^2$ is stored in the register T_1 , and therefore
 5 $(xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xX_d + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 4013 $T_2 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here $(xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xX_d + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2$ is stored in the register T_2 , and therefore $Z_{d+1}((xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xX_d + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2)$ is calculated. The result is stored in the
 10 register T_2 . In step 4014 $T_2 - T_3$ is calculated. Here $Z_{d+1}((xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xX_d + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2)$ is stored in the register T_2 , $X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_3 , and therefore $Z_{d+1}((xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xX_d + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2) - X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 .
 15 In step 4015 $2Bxy$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_1 . In step 4016 $T_1 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, Since $2By$ is stored in the register T_1 , $2ByZ_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 4017 $T_1 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, since the
 20 register T_1 stores $2ByZ_d$, $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 4018 $T_1 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, since the register T_1 stores $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}$, $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 4019 $T_3 \times s$ is calculated.
 25 Here, since the register T_3 stores $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$, $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d s$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 4020 the inverse element of the register T_3 is calculated. Here, since $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d s$ is stored in the

register T_3 , $1/2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d s$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 4021 $T_2 \times T_3$ is calculated. Here, since the register T_2 stores $Z_{d+1}((xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xX_d + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2) - X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ and the

5 register T_3 stores $1/2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d s$, $\{Z_{d+1}((xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xX_d + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2) - X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2\}/2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d s$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register y_d . In step 4022 $T_1 \times X_d$ is calculated. Here, since the register T_1 stores $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}$, $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}X_d$ is calculated. The result is stored

10 in the register T_1 . In step 4023 $T_1 \times T_3$ is calculated. Here, since the register T_1 stores $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}X_d$ and the register T_3 stores $1/2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d s$, $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}X_d/2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d s$ ($=X_d/Z_d s$) is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 4024 $T_1 + \alpha$ is calculated. Here,

15 since the register T_1 stores $X_d/Z_d s$, $(X_d/Z_d s) + \alpha$ is calculated. The result is stored in x_d . Therefore, the value of $(X_d/Z_d s) + \alpha$ is stored in the register x_d . In the step 4021 since $\{Z_{d+1}((xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xX_d + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2) - X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2\}/2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d s$ is stored in y_d , and is not updated

20 thereafter, the value is held. As a result, all the values of the affine coordinate (x_d, y_d) in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are recovered.

A reason why all the values in the affine coordinates (x_d, y_d) of the scalar-multiplied point in

25 the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are recovered from $x, y, X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}$ given by the aforementioned procedure is as follows. The point $(d+1)P$ is a point obtained by adding the point P to the point dP . The

assignment to the addition formulae in the affine coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve results in Equation 38. Since the points P and dP are points on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve,

$$5 \quad By_d^{\text{Mon}2} = x_d^{\text{Mon}3} + Ax_d^{\text{Mon}2} + x_d^{\text{Mon}} \quad \text{and} \quad By^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + x \quad \text{are satisfied.}$$

When the value is assigned to Equation 38, $By_d^{\text{Mon}2}$ and By^2 are deleted, and the equation is arranged, the following equation is obtained.

$$10 \quad y_d^{\text{Mon}} = \left\{ (x_d^{\text{Mon}} x + 1)(x_d^{\text{Mon}} + x + 2A) - 2A - (x_d^{\text{Mon}} - x)^2 x_{d+1} \right\} / (2By)$$

... Equation 76

Here, $x_d^{\text{Mon}} = X_d/Z_d$, $x_{d+1} = X_{d+1}/Z_{d+1}$. The value is assigned and thereby converted to the value of the projective coordinate. Then, the following equation is obtained.

$$15 \quad y_d^{\text{Mon}} = \left\{ Z_{d+1}((X_d x + Z_d)(X_d + xZ_d + 2AZ_d) - 2AZ_d^2) - (x_d - xZ_d)^2 X_{d+1} \right\} / (2ByZ_d Z_{d+1} Z_d)$$

... Equation 77

Although $x_d^{\text{Mon}} = X_d/Z_d$, the reduction to the denominator common with that of y_d^{Mon} is performed for the purpose of reducing the frequency of inversion, and the following equation is obtained.

$$20 \quad x_d^{\text{Mon}} = (2ByZ_d Z_{d+1} X_d) / (2ByZ_d Z_{d+1} Z_d)$$

... Equation 78

The correspondence between the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve and the point on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is described in K.Okeya,

Montgomery-form and Their Cryptographic Applications,
Public Key Cryptography, LNCS 1751 (2000) pp.238-257.

Thereby, when the conversion parameters are s, α , the
relation is $y_d = s^{-1}y_d^{\text{Mon}}$ and $x_d = s^{-1}x_d^{\text{Mon}} + \alpha$. As a result,

5 Equations 79, 80 are obtained.

$$y_d = \{Z_{d+1}((X_d x + Z_d)(X_d + xZ_d + 2AZ_d) - 2AZ_d^2) - (X_d - xZ_d)^2 X_{d+1}\} / (2sByZ_d Z_{d+1} Z_d)$$

... Equation 79

$$x_d = ((2ByZ_d Z_{d+1} X_d) / (2sByZ_d Z_{d+1} Z_d)) + \alpha$$

... Equation 80

10 Here, x_d, y_d are given by FIG. 40. Therefore,
all the values of the affine coordinates (x_d, y_d) in the
Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are recovered.

For the aforementioned procedure, in the
steps 4001, 4005, 4006, 4008, 4010, 4011, 4013, 4015,
15 4016, 4017, 4018, 4019, 4021, 4022, and 4023, the
computational amount of multiplication on the finite
field is required. Moreover, the computational amount
of squaring on the finite field is required in the step
4004. Moreover, the computational amount of inversion
20 on the finite field is required in the step 4020. The
computational amounts of addition and subtraction on
the finite field are relatively small as compared with
the computational amounts of multiplication, squaring,
and inversion on the finite field, and may therefore be
25 ignored. Assuming that the computational amount of
multiplication on the finite field is M , the computa-

tional amount of squaring on the finite field is S , and the computational amount of the inversion on the finite field is I , the above procedure requires a computational amount of $15M+S+I$. This is far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication is estimated to be a little less than about 1500 M . Assuming that $S=0.8 M$, $I=40 M$, the computational amount of coordinate recovering is 55.8 M , and far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. Therefore, it is indicated that the coordinate can efficiently be recovered.

Additionally, even when the above procedure is not taken, but if the values of x_d, y_d given by the above equation can be calculated, the values of x_d, y_d can be recovered. In this case, the computational amount required for recovering generally increases. Furthermore, when the value of A or B as the parameter of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, or s as the transform parameter to the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is set to be small, the computational amount of multiplication in the step 4006 or 4015 or the computational amount of multiplication in step 4019 can be reduced.

A processing of the fast scalar multiplication unit for outputting $X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}$ from the scalar

value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve will next be described.

In this case, as the fast scalar multiplication method of the scalar multiplication unit 202 of the twentieth embodiment, the fast scalar multiplication method of the ninth embodiment (see Fig. 8) is used. Thereby, as the algorithm which outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, the fast algorithm can be achieved. Additionally, instead of using the aforementioned algorithm in the scalar multiplication unit 202, any algorithm may be used as long as the algorithm outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve at high speed.

The computational amount required for recovering the coordinate of the coordinate recovering unit 203 in the scalar multiplication unit 103 is $15M+S+I$, and this is far small as compared with the computational amount of $(9.2k-3.6)M$ necessary for fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. Therefore, the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is substantially equal to the computational amount necessary for the fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit. Assuming that $I=40 M$, $S=0.8 M$, the computational amount can be estimated to be about $(9.2k+52.2)M$. For

example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits
($k=160$), the computational amount necessary for the
scalar multiplication is 1524 M. The Weierstrass-form
elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve, the
5 scalar multiplication method is used in which the
window method and the mixed coordinates mainly includ-
ing the Jacobian coordinates are used, and the scalar-
multiplied point is outputted as the affine coordi-
nates. In this case, the required computational amount
10 is about 1640 M, and as compared with this, the
required computational amount is reduced.

In a twenty-first embodiment, the
Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic
curve for the input/output, and the Montgomery-form
15 elliptic curve which can be transformed from the
inputted Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used for
the internal calculation. The scalar multiplication
unit 103 calculates and outputs the scalar-multiplied
point (X_d^w, Y_d^w, Z_d^w) with the complete coordinate given
20 thereto as the point of the projective coordinates in
the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the scalar
value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form
elliptic curve. The scalar value d and the point P on
the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are inputted into
25 the scalar multiplication unit 103, and received by the
scalar multiplication unit 202. The fast scalar
multiplication unit 202 calculates X_d and Z_d in the
coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$

represented by the projective coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(X_{d+1},Y_{d+1},Z_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the

5 projective coordinates from the received scalar value d and the given point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. Moreover, the inputted point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is transformed to the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve which can

10 be transformed from the given Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, and the point is set anew to $P=(x,y)$. The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 gives X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , x , and y to the coordinate recovering unit 203. The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers coordinate X_d^w ,

15 Y_d^w , Z_d^w of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(X_d^w,Y_d^w,Z_d^w)$ represented by the projective coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the given coordinate values X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} , x , and y . The scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar-multiplied

20 point (X_d^w,Y_d^w,Z_d^w) with the coordinate completely given thereto in the projective coordinates as the calculation result.

A processing of the coordinate recovering unit for outputting X_d^w , Y_d^w , Z_d^w from the given coordinates x , y , X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} will next be described with

25 reference to FIG. 41.

The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs X_d and Z_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point

$dP = (X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$ represented by the projective coordinates
 in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} in
 the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P = (X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$ on the
 Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the
 5 projective coordinates, and (x, y) as representation of
 the point P on Montgomery-form elliptic curve inputted
 into the scalar multiplication unit 103 in the affine
 coordinates, and outputs the scalar-multiplied point
 (X_d^w, Y_d^w, Z_d^w) with the complete coordinate given thereto
 10 in the projective coordinates on the Weierstrass-form
 elliptic curve in the following procedure. Here, the
 affine coordinate of the inputted point P on the
 Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented by (x, y) ,
 and the projective coordinate thereof is represented by
 15 (X_1, Y_1, Z_1) . Assuming that the inputted scalar value is
 d , the affine coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point
 dP in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is represented
 by (x_d, y_d) , and the projective coordinate thereof is
 represented by (X_d, Y_d, Z_d) . The affine coordinate of the
 20 point $(d+1)P$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is
 represented by (x_{d+1}, y_{d+1}) , and the projective coordinate
 thereof is represented by $(X_{d+1}, Y_{d+1}, Z_{d+1})$.

In step 4101, $x \times Z_d$ is calculated and stored in
 the register T_1 . In step 4102 $X_d + T_1$ is calculated.
 25 Here, xZ_d is stored in the register T_1 , and therefore
 $xZ_d + X_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the
 register T_2 . In step 4103 $X_d - T_1$ is calculated, here the
 register T_1 stores xZ_d , and therefore $xZ_d - X_d$ is calcu-

lated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 4104 a square of the register T_3 is calculated. Here, $xZ_d - X_d$ is stored in the register T_3 , and therefore $(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 4105 $T_3 \times X_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, $(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_3 , and therefore $X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 4106 $2A \times Z_d$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_1 . In step 4107 $T_2 + T_1$ is calculated. Here, $xZ_d + X_d$ is stored in the register T_2 , $2AZ_d$ is stored in the register T_1 , and therefore $xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 4108 xxX_d is calculated and stored in the register T_4 . In step 4109 $T_4 + Z_d$ is calculated. Here, the register T_4 stores xxX_d , and therefore $xxX_d + Z_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_4 . In step 4110 $T_2 \times T_4$ is calculated. Here the register T_2 stores $xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d$, the register T_4 stores $xxX_d + Z_d$, and therefore $(xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xxX_d + Z_d)$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 4111 $T_1 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here, since the register T_1 stores $2AZ_d$, $2AZ_d^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 4112 $T_2 - T_1$ is calculated. Here $(xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xxX_d + Z_d)$ is stored in the register T_2 , $2AZ_d^2$ is stored in the register T_1 , and therefore $(xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xxX_d + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 4113 $T_2 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here $(xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xxX_d + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2$ is stored in

the register T_2 , and therefore $Z_{d+1}((xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xX_d + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2)$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 4114 $T_2 - T_3$ is calculated. Here $Z_{d+1}((xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xX_d + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2)$ is stored in the register

5 T_2 , $X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is stored in the register T_3 , and therefore $Z_{d+1}((xZ_d + X_d + 2AZ_d)(xX_d + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2) - X_{d+1}(X_d - xZ_d)^2$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register Y_d^w . In step 4115 $2B \times y$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_1 . In step 4116 $T_1 \times Z_d$ is calculated. Here,

10 Since $2By$ is stored in the register T_1 , $2ByZ_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 4117 $T_1 \times Z_{d+1}$ is calculated. Here, since the register T_1 stores $2ByZ_d$, $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 4118 $T_1 \times Z_d$

15 is calculated. Here, since the register T_1 stores $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}$, $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 4119 $T_3 \times s$ is calculated. Here, since the register T_3 stores $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$, $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d s$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register

20 Z_d^w . In step 4120 the $T_1 \times X_d$ is calculated. Here, since $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}$ is stored in the register T_1 , $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}X_d$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 4121 $Z_d^w \times \alpha$ is calculated. Here, since the register Z_d^w stores $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d s$, $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d s \alpha$ is calcu-

25 lated. The result is stored in the register T_3 . In step 4122 $T_1 + T_3$ is calculated. Here, since $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}X_d$ is stored in the register T_1 and $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d s \alpha$ is stored in the register T_3 , $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}X_d + 2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d s \alpha$ is calculated.

The result is stored in X_d^w . Therefore, the register x_d stores a value of $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}X_d+2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d\alpha$. In the step 4114 since $Z_{d+1}((xZ_d+X_d+2AZ_d)(xX_d+Z_d)-2AZ_d^2)-X_{d+1}(X_d-xZ_d)^2$ is stored in Y_d^w , and is not updated thereafter, the value
5 is held. In the step 4119 $2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d\alpha$ is stored in the Z_d^w , and is not updated thereafter, and therefore the value is held. As a result, all the values of the projective coordinate (X_d^w, Y_d^w, Z_d^w) in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are recovered.

10 A reason why all the values in the projective coordinates (X_d^w, Y_d^w, Z_d^w) of the scalar-multiplied point in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are recovered from $x, y, X_d, Z_d, X_{d+1}, Z_{d+1}$ given by the aforementioned procedure is as follows. The point $(d+1)P$ is a point
15 obtained by adding the point P to the point dP . The assignment to the addition formulae in the affine coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve results in Equation 6. Since the points P and dP are points on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve,

20 $By_d^2=x_d^3+Ax_d^2+x_d$ and $By^2=x^3+Ax^2+x$ are satisfied. When the value is assigned to Equation 6, By_d^2 and By^2 are deleted, and the equation is arranged, Equation 64 is obtained. Here, $x_d=X_d/Z_d, x_{d+1}=X_{d+1}/Z_{d+1}$. The value is assigned and thereby converted to the value of the
25 projective coordinate. Then, Equation 65 is obtained.

Although $x_d=X_d/Z_d$, the reduction to the denominator common with that of y_d is performed for the purpose of reducing the frequency of inversion, and Equation 66 is

obtained. As a result, the following equation is obtained.

$$Y'_d = Z_{d+1}[(X_d + xZ_d + 2AZ_d)(X_dx + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2] - (X_d - xZ_d)^2 X_{d+1}$$

... Equation 81

5 Then, the following equations are obtained.

$$X'_d = 2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}X_d$$

... Equation 82

$$Z'_d = 2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$$

... Equation 83

10 Then, $(X'_d, Y'_d, Z'_d) = (X_d, Y_d, Z_d)$. The correspondence between the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve and the point on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is described in K.Okeya, H.Kurumatani, K.Sakurai, Elliptic Curves with the Montgomery-form and Their Cryptographic Applications, Public Key Cryptography, LNCS 1751 (2000) pp.238-257. Thereby, when the conversion parameter is $s\alpha$, the relation is $Y_d^w = Y'_d$, $X_d^w = X'_d + \alpha Z_d^w$, and $Z_d^w = sZ'_d$. As a result, the following equations are obtained.

$$Y_d^w = Z_{d+1}[(X_d + xZ_d + 2AZ_d)(X_dx + Z_d) - 2AZ_d^2] - (X_d - xZ_d)^2 X_{d+1}$$

20 ... Equation 84

$$X_d^w = 2ByZ_dZ_{d+1}X_d + \alpha Z_d^w$$

... Equation 85

$$Z_d^w = 2sByZ_dZ_{d+1}Z_d$$

... Equation 86

The values may be updated by the above. Here, X_d^w, Y_d^w, Z_d^w are given by the processing of FIG. 41. Therefore, all the values of the projective coordinates (X_d^w, Y_d^w, Z_d^w) in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are recovered.

5 For the aforementioned procedure, in the steps 4101, 4105, 4106, 4108, 4110, 4111, 4113, 4115, 4116, 4117, 4118, 4119, 4120, and 4121, the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is required. Moreover, the computational amount of

10 squaring on the finite field is required in the step 4104. The computational amounts of addition and subtraction on the finite field are relatively small as compared with the computational amounts of multiplication and squaring on the finite field, and may there-

15 fore be ignored. Assuming that the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is M , and the computational amount of squaring on the finite field is S , the above procedure requires a computational amount of $14M+S$. This is far small as compared

20 with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication is estimated to be a little less than about 1500 M . Assuming that $S=0.8 M$, the

25 computational amount of coordinate recovering is 14.8 M , and far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. Therefore, it is indicated that the coordinate can efficiently be

recovered.

Additionally, even when the above procedure is not taken, but if the values of X_d^w , Y_d^w , Z_d^w given by the above equation can be calculated, the values of X_d^w , Y_d^w , Z_d^w can be recovered. Moreover, the scalar-multiplied point dP in the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is set to $dP=(x_d^w, y_d^w)$. Then, the values of X_d^w , Y_d^w , Z_d^w are selected so that x_d^w , y_d^w take the values given by the above equations. When the values can be calculated, X_d^w , Y_d^w , Z_d^w can be recovered. In this case, the computational amount required for recovering generally increases. Furthermore, when the value of A or B as the parameter of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, or s as the transform parameter to the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is set to be small, the computational amount of multiplication in the step 4106, 4115, or 4119 can be reduced.

An algorithm for outputting X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve will next be described.

As the fast scalar multiplication method of the scalar multiplication unit 202 of the twenty-first embodiment, the fast scalar multiplication method of the ninth embodiment is used. Thereby, as the algorithm which outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve, the fast algorithm can be achieved. Additionally, instead of using the aforementioned

algorithm in the fast scalar multiplication unit 202,
any algorithm may be used as long as the algorithm
outputs X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} from the scalar value d and the
point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve at high
5 speed.

The computational amount required for recovering the coordinate of the coordinate recovering unit 203 in the scalar multiplication unit 103 is $14M+S$, and this is far small as compared with the computational amount of $(9.2k-3.6)M$ necessary for fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. Therefore, the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is substantially equal to the computational amount necessary for the fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit. Assuming that $S=0.8 M$, the computational amount can be estimated to be about $(9.2k+11.2)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication is 1483 M. The Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve, the scalar multiplication method is used in which the window method and the mixed coordinates mainly including the Jacobian coordinates are used, and the scalar-multiplied point is outputted as the Jacobian coordinates. In this case, the required computational amount is about 1600 M, and as compared with this, the required computa-

tional amount is reduced.

In a twenty-second embodiment, the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve for input/output, and the Montgomery-form elliptic curve which can be transformed from the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used for the internal calculation. The scalar multiplication unit 103 calculates and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d^w, y_d^w) with the complete coordinate given thereto as the point of the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and received by the scalar multiplication unit 202. The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 calculates x_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, x_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(x_{d+1}, y_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates from the received scalar value d and the given point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve. The information is given to the coordinate recovering unit 203 together with the inputted point $P=(x, y)$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates. The coordinate recovering unit 203 recovers the coordinate y_d^w of the

scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d^w, y_d^w)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the given coordinate values x_d , x_{d+1} , and x .

The scalar multiplication unit 103 outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d^w, y_d^w) with the coordinate completely given thereto on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve in the affine coordinates as the calculation result.

A processing of the coordinate recovering unit which outputs x_d^w , y_d^w from the given coordinates x , y , x_d , x_{d+1} will next be described with reference to FIG. 42.

The coordinate recovering unit 203 inputs x_d in the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$ represented by the affine coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, x_{d+1} in the coordinate of the point $(d+1)P=(x_{d+1}, y_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinates, and (x, y) as representation of the point P on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve in the affine coordinates inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and outputs the scalar-multiplied point (x_d^w, y_d^w) with the complete coordinate given thereto in the affine coordinates in the following procedure.

In step 4201 $x_d \times x$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_1 . In step 4202 T_1+1 is calculated. Here, since $x_d \times x$ is stored in the register T_1 , $x_d \times x+1$ is calculated. The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 4203 x_d+x is calculated, and stored in the

register T_2 . In step 4204 T_2+2A is calculated. Here,
 since x_d+x is stored in the register T_2 , x_d+x+2A is
 calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 .
 In step 4205 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here since $x_d x+1$ is
 5 stored in the register T_1 and x_d+x+2A is stored in the
 register T_2 , $(x_d x+1)(x_d+x+2A)$ is calculated. The result
 is stored in the register T_1 . In step 4206 T_1-2A is
 calculated. Here, since $(x_d x+1)(x_d+x+2A)$ is stored in
 the register T_1 , $(x_d x+1)(x_d+x+2A)-2A$ is calculated. The
 10 result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 4207 x_d-x
 is calculated, and stored in the register T_2 . In step
 4208 a square of T_2 is calculated. Here, since x_d-x is
 stored in the register T_2 , $(x_d-x)^2$ is calculated. The
 result is stored in the register T_2 . In step 4209 $T_2 \times x_{d+1}$
 15 is calculated. Here, since $(x_d-x)^2$ is stored in the
 register T_2 , $(x_d-x)^2 x_{d+1}$ is calculated. The result is
 stored in the register T_2 . In step 4210 T_1-T_2 is
 calculated. Here, since $(x_d x+1)(x_d+x+2A)-2A$ is stored
 in the register T_1 and $(x_d-x)^2 x_{d+1}$ is stored in the
 20 register T_2 , $(x_d x+1)(x_d+x+2A)-2A-(x_d-x)^2 x_{d+1}$ is calculated.
 The result is stored in the register T_1 . In step 4211
 $2B \times y$ is calculated, and stored in the register T_2 . In
 step 4212 the inverse element of T_2 is calculated.
 Here, since $2B y$ is stored in the register T_2 , $1/2B y$ is
 25 calculated. The result is stored in the register T_2 .
 In step 4213 $T_1 \times T_2$ is calculated. Here, since
 $(x_d x+1)(x_d+x+2A)-2A-(x_d-x)^2 x_{d+1}$ is stored in the register
 T_1 and $1/2B y$ is stored in the register T_2 , $\{(x_d x+1)(x_d+x+$

$2A) - 2A - (x_d - x)^2 x_{d+1} \} / 2B_y$ is calculated. The result is
 stored in the register T_1 . In step 4214 $T_1 \times (1/s)$ is
 calculated. Here, since $\{(x_d x + 1)(x_d + x + 2A) - 2A - (x_d -$
 $x)^2 x_{d+1} \} / 2B_y$ is stored, $\{(x_d x + 1)(x_d + x + 2A) - 2A - (x_d - x)^2 x_{d+1} \} /$
 5 $2B_y$ is calculated. The result is stored in the
 register y_d^w . In step 4215 $x_d \times (1/s)$ is calculated, and
 stored in the register T_1 . In step 4216 $T_1 + \alpha$ is
 calculated. Here, since x_d/s is stored in the register
 T_1 , $(x_d/s) + \alpha$ is calculated. The result is stored in the
 10 register x_d^w . Therefore, the register x_d^w stores
 $(x_d/s) + \alpha$. In step 4214 since $\{(x_d x + 1)(x_d + x + 2A) - 2A - (x_d -$
 $x)^2 x_{d+1} \} / 2B_y$ is stored in the register y_d^w , and is not
 updated thereafter, the value is held.

A reason why the y-coordinate y_d of the
 15 scalar-multiplied point is recovered by the afore-
 mentioned procedure is as follows. The point $(d+1)P$ is
 obtained by adding the point P to the point dP .
 The assignment to the addition formulae in the affine
 coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve
 20 results in Equation 6. Since the points P and dP are
 points on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve,
 $By_d^2 = x_d^3 + Ax_d^2 + x_d$ and $By^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + x$ are satisfied. When the
 value is assigned to Equation 6, By_d^2 and By^2 are
 deleted, and the equation is arranged, Equation 64 is
 25 obtained. The correspondence between the point on the
 Montgomery-form elliptic curve and the point on the
 Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is described in
 K.Okeya, H.Kurumatani, K.Sakurai, Elliptic Curves with

the Montgomery-form and Their Cryptographic Applications, Public Key Cryptography, LNCS 1751 (2000) pp.238-257. Thereby, when the conversion parameters are s , α , there are relations of $y_d^w = s^{-1}y_d$ and $x_d^w = s^{-1}x_d + \alpha$.

5 As a result, Equations 87, 63 are obtained.

$$y_d^w = \{ (x_d x + 1)(x_d + x + 2A) - 2A - (x_d - x)^2 x_{d+1} \} / (2sBy)$$

... Equation 87

Here, x_d^w , y_d^w are given by FIG. 42. Therefore, all the values of the affine coordinate (x_d^w, y_d^w)
 10 are recovered.

For the aforementioned procedure, in the steps 4201, 4205, 4209, 4211, 4213, 4214, and 4215, the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is required. Moreover, the computational amount
 15 of squaring on the finite field is required in the step 4208. Furthermore, the computational amount of the inversion on the finite field is required in the step 4212. The computational amounts of addition and subtraction on the finite field are relatively small as
 20 compared with the computational amounts of multiplication, squaring, and inversion on the finite field, and may therefore be ignored. Assuming that the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field is M , the computational amount of squaring on the
 25 finite field is S , and the computational amount of inversion on the finite field is I , the above procedure requires a computational amount of $7M+S+I$. This is far

small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits, the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication is estimated to be a little less than about 1500 M. Assuming $S=0.8$ M, $I=40$ M, the computational amount of coordinate recovering is 47.8 M, and far small as compared with the computational amount of the fast scalar multiplication. Therefore, it is indicated that the coordinate can efficiently be recovered.

Additionally, even when the above procedure is not taken, but if the values of the right side of the equation can be calculated, the value of y_d^w can be recovered. In this case, the computational amount required for recovering generally increases. Furthermore, when the value of A or B as the parameter of the elliptic curve, or s as the transform parameter to the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is set to be small, the computational amount of multiplication in the step 4206, 4211, 4214, or 4215 can be reduced.

A processing of the fast scalar multiplication unit for outputting x_d , x_{d+1} from the scalar value d and the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve will next be described with reference to FIG. 45.

The fast scalar multiplication unit 202 inputs the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103, and outputs x_d in the scalar-multiplied point $dP=(x_d, y_d)$

represented by the affine coordinates in the Montgomery-form elliptic curve, and x_{d+1} in the point $(d+1)P=(x_{d+1}, y_{d+1})$ on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve represented by the affine coordinate by the following

5 procedure. In step 4516, the given point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is transformed to the point represented by the projective coordinates on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. This point is set anew to point P. In step 4501, the initial value 1 is

10 assigned to the variable I. The doubled point 2P of the point P is calculated in step 4502. Here, the point P is represented as $(x, y, 1)$ in the projective coordinates, and the formula of doubling in the projective coordinate of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve

15 is used to calculate the doubled point 2P. In step 4503, the point P on the elliptic curve inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 103 and the point 2P obtained in the step 4502 are stored as a set of points $(P, 2P)$. Here, the points P and 2P are represented by

20 the projective coordinate. It is judged in step 4504 whether or not the variable I agrees with the bit length of the scalar value d. With agreement, the flow goes to step 4515. With disagreement, the flow goes to step 4505. The variable I is increased by 1 in the

25 step 4505. It is judged in step 4506 whether the value of the I-th bit of the scalar value is 0 or 1. When the value of the bit is 0, the flow goes to the step 4507. When the value of the bit is 1, the flow goes to

step 4510. In step 4507, addition $mP + (m+1)P$ of points mP and $(m+1)P$ is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinate, and the point $(2m+1)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 4508. Here, the addition $mP + (m+1)P$ is calculated using the addition formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In step 4508, doubling $2(mP)$ of the point mP is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinate, and the point $2mP$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 4509. Here, the doubling $2(mP)$ is calculated the formulae of doubling in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In step 4509, the point $2mP$ obtained in the step 4508 and the point $(2m+1)P$ obtained in the step 4507 are stored as a set of points $(2mP, (2m+1)P)$ instead of the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow returns to the step 4504. Here, the points $2mP$, $(2m+1)P$, mP , and $(m+1)P$ are all represented in the projective coordinates. In step 4510, addition $mP + (m+1)P$ of the points mP , $(m+1)P$ is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and the point $(2m+1)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 4511. Here, the addition $mP + (m+1)P$ is calculated using the addition formulae in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 4511, doubling $2((m+1)P)$ of the point $(m+1)P$

is performed from the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and the point $(2m+2)P$ is calculated. Thereafter, the flow goes to step 4512. Here, the doubling $2((m+1)P)$ is calculated using the formula of doubling in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. In the step 4512, the point $(2m+1)P$ obtained in the step 4510 and the point $(2m+2)P$ obtained in the step 4511 are stored as a set of points $((2m+1)P, (2m+2)P)$ instead of the set of points $(mP, (m+1)P)$. Thereafter, the flow returns to the step 4504. Here, the points $(2m+1)P$, $(2m+2)P$, mP , and $(m+1)P$ are all represented in the projective coordinates. In step 4515, X_m and Z_m as X_d and Z_d from the point $mP=(X_m, Y_m, Z_m)$ represented by the projective coordinates, and X_{m+1} and Z_{m+1} as X_{d+1} and Z_{d+1} from the point $(m+1)P=(X_{m+1}, Y_{m+1}, Z_{m+1})$ represented by the projective coordinates are obtained. Here, Y_m and Y_{m+1} are not obtained, because the Y-coordinate cannot be obtained by the addition and doubling formulae in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve. With $x_d=X_dZ_{d+1}/Z_dZ_{d+1}$, and $x_{d+1}=Z_dX_{d+1}/Z_dZ_{d+1}$, x_d and x_{d+1} are obtained from X_d , Z_d , X_{d+1} , Z_{d+1} . Thereafter, the flow goes to step 4513. In the step 4513, x_d and x_{d+1} are outputted. In the above procedure, m and scalar value d are equal in the bit length and bit pattern, and are therefore equal.

The computational amount of the addition formula in the projective coordinates of the

Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$ with $Z_1=1$.

Here, M is the computational amount of multiplication on the finite field, and S is the computational amount of squaring on the finite field. The computational

5 amount of the doubling formula in the projective coordinates of the Montgomery-form elliptic curve is $3M+2S$. When the value of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 0, the computational amount of addition in the step 4507, and the computational amount of doubling in
10 the step 4508 are required. That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. When the value of the I -th bit of the scalar value is 1, the computational amount of addition in the step 4510, and the computational amount of doubling in the step 4511 are
15 required. That is, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. In any case, the computational amount of $6M+4S$ is required. The number of repetitions of the steps 4504, 4505, 4506, 4507, 4508, 4509, or the steps 4504, 4505, 4506, 4510, 4511, 4512 is (bit length of
20 the scalar value d)-1. Therefore, in consideration of the computational amount of doubling in the step 4502, and the computational amount of the transform to the affine coordinate in the step 4515, the entire computational amount is $(6M+4S)k+3M-2S+I$. Here, k is
25 the bit length of the scalar value d . In general, since the computational amount S is estimated to be of the order of $S=0.8 M$, and the computational amount I is estimated to be of the order of $I=40 M$, the entire

computational amount is approximately $(9.2k+41.4)M$.
 For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits
 ($k=160$), the computational amount of algorithm of the
 aforementioned procedure is about 1513 M. The computa-
 5 tional amount per bit of the scalar value d is about
 9.2 M. In A. Miyaji, T. Ono, H. Cohen, Efficient
 elliptic curve exponentiation using mixed coordinates,
 Advances in Cryptology Proceedings of ASIACRYPT'98,
 LNCS 1514 (1998) pp.51-65, the scalar multiplication
 10 method using the window method and mixed coordinates
 mainly including Jacobian coordinates in the
 Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is described as the
 fast scalar multiplication method. In this case, the
 computational amount per bit of the scalar value is
 15 estimated to be about 10 M. Additionally, the
 computational amount of the transform to the affine
 coordinate is required. For example, when the scalar
 value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational
 amount of the scalar multiplication method is about
 20 1640 M. Therefore, the algorithm of the aforementioned
 procedure can be said to have a small computational
 amount and high speed.

Additionally, instead of using the afore-
 mentioned algorithm in the fast scalar multiplication
 25 unit 202, another algorithm may be used as long as the
 algorithm outputs x_d, x_{d+1} from the scalar value d and
 the point P on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve at
 high speed.

The computational amount required for recovering the coordinate of the coordinate recovering unit 203 in the scalar multiplication unit 103 is $7M+S+I$, and this is far small as compared with the computational amount of $(9.2k+41.4)M$ necessary for fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit 202. Therefore, the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication of the scalar multiplication unit 103 is substantially equal to the computational amount necessary for the fast scalar multiplication of the fast scalar multiplication unit. Assuming $I=40\text{ M}$, $S=0.8\text{ M}$, the computational amount can be estimated to be about $(9.2k+89.2)M$. For example, when the scalar value d indicates 160 bits ($k=160$), the computational amount necessary for the scalar multiplication is about 1561 M. The Weierstrass-form elliptic curve is used as the elliptic curve, the scalar multiplication method is used in which the window method and the mixed coordinates mainly including the Jacobian coordinates are used, and the scalar-multiplied point is outputted as the affine coordinates. In this case, the required computational amount is about 1640 M, and as compared with this, the required computational amount is reduced.

The encryption/decryption processor shown in FIG. 1 has been described as the apparatus which performs a decryption processing in the first to twenty-second embodiments, but can similarly be used as

information in the encryption processing system of FIG.

1. FIG. 33 is a flowchart showing a flow of the processing in the example of the scalar multiplication method of FIG. 27.

5 In FIG. 33, a scalar multiplication unit 2701 of FIG. 27 calculates and outputs the scalar-multiplied point with the complete coordinate given thereto on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve from the scalar value and the point on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve as follows. When the scalar value and the point on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve are inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 2701, an elliptic curve transformer 2704 transforms the point on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve to the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve (step 3301). A fast scalar multiplication unit 2702 receives the scalar value inputted into the scalar multiplication unit 2701 and the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve transformed by the elliptic curve transformer 2704 (step 3302). A fast scalar multiplication unit 2702 calculates some values of the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve from the received scalar value and the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve (step 3303), and gives the information to a coordinate recovering unit 2703 (step 3304). The coordinate recovering unit 2703 recovers the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve from the infor-

mation of the given scalar-multiplied point on the processing elliptic curve and the point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve transformed by the elliptic curve transformer 2704 (step 3305). An
5 elliptic curve inverse transformer 2705 transforms the scalar-multiplied point on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve recovered by the coordinate recovering unit 2703 to the scalar-multiplied point on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve (step 3306). The scalar multiplication
10 unit 2701 outputs the scalar-multiplied point with the coordinate completely given thereto on the Weierstrass-form elliptic curve as the calculation result (step 3307).

For the scalar multiplication on the
15 Montgomery-form elliptic curve executed by the fast scalar multiplication unit 2702 and coordinate recovering unit 2703 in the scalar multiplication unit 2701, the scalar multiplication method on the Montgomery-form elliptic curve described above in the first to fifth
20 and fourteenth to sixteenth embodiments is applied as it is. Therefore, the scalar multiplication is the scalar multiplication method in which the complete coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point is given at the high speed.

25 FIG. 22 shows a constitution in which the encryption processing system of the present embodiment of FIG. 1 is used as a signature generation unit. The cryptography processor 102 of FIG. 1 is a signature

•

1

(2202 of FIG. 22) will be described. The signature unit 2901 receives the inputted message. The signature unit 2901 selects the point on the elliptic curve based on the inputted message, gives the point on the elliptic curve to a scalar multiplication unit 2902, and receives the scalar-multiplied point from the scalar multiplication unit 2902. The signature unit 2901 uses the received scalar-multiplied point to perform the signature generation processing and outputs the result as the output message.

The processing executed by the scalar multiplication unit 2902 (2203 of FIG. 22) will next be described. The scalar multiplication unit 2902 receives the point on the elliptic curve from the signature unit 2901. The scalar multiplication unit 2902 receives the scalar value from a private information storage 2903. The scalar multiplication unit 2902 calculates the scalar-multiplied point and sends the scalar-multiplied point to the signature unit 2901 from the received point on the elliptic curve and scalar value by the fast scalar multiplication method which gives the complete coordinate.

Finally, a processing executed by the private information storage 2903 (2204 of FIG. 22) will be described. The private information storage 2903 sends the scalar value to the scalar multiplication unit 2902 so that the scalar multiplication unit 2902 can calculate the scalar multiplication.

280

For the scalar multiplication executed by the scalar multiplication unit 2203, the method described in the first to twenty-second embodiments are applied as they are. Therefore, the scalar multiplication is a fast scalar multiplication method in which the complete coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point is given. Therefore, when the signature generation processing is performed in the signature unit 2202, the complete coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point can be used, and the calculation can be executed at the high speed.

FIG. 23 shows a constitution in which the encryption processing system of the present embodiment of FIG. 1 is used as a decryption unit. The cryptography processor 102 of FIG. 1 is a decryption unit 2302 in a decryption apparatus 2301 of FIG. 23. FIG. 30 is a flowchart showing a flow of the processing in the decryption unit. FIG. 31 is a sequence diagram showing the flow of the processing in the decryption unit of FIG. 23.

In FIG. 30, the decryption unit 2301 outputs a decrypted message 2306 from a given message 2305. The message 2305 is inputted into the decryption unit 2301 and received by the decryption unit 2302 (step 3001). The decryption unit 2302 gives a point on the elliptic curve to a scalar multiplication unit 2303 in accordance with the received message 2305 (step 3002). The scalar multiplication unit 2303 receives the scalar value as private information from a private information

281

storage 2304 (step 3003). The scalar multiplication unit 2303 calculates the scalar-multiplied point from the received point on the elliptic curve and the scalar value (step 3004), and sends the scalar-multiplied point to the decryption unit 2302 (step 3005). The decryption unit 2302 performs a decryption processing based on the scalar-multiplied point received from the scalar multiplication unit 2303 (step 3006). The result is outputted as the message 2306 with the decrypted result (step 3007).

The processing procedure will be described with reference to the sequence diagram of FIG. 31. First, a processing executed by a decryption unit 3101 (2302 of FIG. 23) will be described. The decryption unit 3101 receives the inputted message. The decryption unit 3101 selects the point on the elliptic curve based on the inputted message, gives the point on the elliptic curve to a scalar multiplication unit 3102, and receives the scalar-multiplied point from the scalar multiplication unit 3102. The signature unit 3101 uses the received scalar-multiplied point to perform the decryption processing and outputs the result as the output message.

The processing executed by the scalar multiplication unit 3102 (2303 of FIG. 23) will next be described. The scalar multiplication unit 3102 receives the point on the elliptic curve from the decryption unit 3101. The scalar multiplication unit

3102 receives the scalar value from a private information storage 3103. The scalar multiplication unit 3102 calculates the scalar-multiplied point from the received point on the elliptic curve and scalar value
 5 by the fast scalar multiplication method which gives the complete coordinate and sends the scalar-multiplied point to the decryption unit 3101.

Finally, a processing executed by the private information storage 3103 (2304 of FIG. 23) will be
 10 described. The private information storage 3103 sends the scalar value to the scalar multiplication unit 3102 so that the scalar multiplication unit 3102 can calculate the scalar multiplication.

For the scalar multiplication executed by the
 15 scalar multiplication unit 2303, the method described in the first to twenty-second embodiments are applied as they are. Therefore, the scalar multiplication is a fast scalar multiplication method in which the complete coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point is given.
 20 Therefore, when the decryption processing is performed in the decryption unit 2302, the complete coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point can be used, and the calculation can be executed at the high speed.

As described above, according to the present
 25 invention, the speed of the scalar multiplication for use in the cryptography processing using the private information in the cryptography processing system is raised, and a fast cryptography processing can be

achieved. Moreover, since the coordinate of the scalar-multiplied point can completely be given, all cryptography processing can be performed.